

LACSS92

Table of Contents

variable	variable description	page
CSID	Respondent ID	1
FNL	Final outcome code	1
INWV	Interviewer number	1
FNLD	Date	1
CTIM	Time	1
REPL	Interviews from each sample replicate	2
OSFL	Oversample indicator	2
CNTY	County code	2
RNDM	Random number for ballots	3
DM12	Ethnicity, ethnic test variable	3
conf	Introduction and phone number confirm	4
home	Is this your home phone	5
adlt	Are you over 18 years old	5
con2	How many adults in HH	6
con3	Next birthday (Respondent selection)	7
rnam	First name of Respondent	7
x	May I speak to Respondent	8
CLIK	Confidentiality	8
RSEX	Sex of Respondent	9
ETH1	What is your race - ethnicity	10
DMDF	Definition of ethnicities	11
ET1S	Store result of ETH1 into DM12	11
ETH2	Which ethnic group identify with	12
ET2S	Store result of ETH2 into DM12	12
ETH3	Which ethnic does community think of you	13
ET3S	Store result of ETH3 into DM12	13
DMET	Test for max number per ethnic group	14
DMEX	Reached maximum number for ethnic group	14
ETEX	Reroute excess of filled ethnic group	14
PBM1	Spending - improving environment	15
PBM2	Spending - improving nations health	16
PBM3	Spending - halt rising crime rate	16
PBM4	Spending - improving nation's educ sys	17
PBM5	Spending - military armaments/defense	17
PBM6	Spending - social security	18
PBM7	Spending - programs for the poor	18
SCH1	Grade given to your public schools	19
NBH1	Kind of dwelling you live in	20
NBH2	Do you own or rent dwelling	21
CMM1	Past 5 years LA better or worse living	22
LIF1	Satisfaction - job and conds of work	23
LIF2	Satisfaction - non-working activities	24
LIF3	Satisfaction - Family life	25
LIF4	Satisfaction - your friendships	26
LIF5	Satisfaction - your health	27
NB8T	Test on NBH1 for type of dwelling	27
NB8H	Satisfaction - the dwelling you live in	28
DOM1	Feelings - winning is more important	29

LACSS92

variable		page
DOM2	Feelings - getting ahead by any means	30
DOM3	Feelings - sometimes war is necessary	31
DOM4	Feelings - inferior groups keep place	32
INQ1	Some people better for import. position	33
INQ2	Some people better at running things	34
INQ3	Everyone does not get an equal chance	35
INQ4	If work hard will get what they want	36
INQ5	Even if ambitious, people can't succeed	37
INQ6	If can't get ahead, should blame self	38
POR1	Why poor - Failure to provide schools	39
POR2	Why poor - loose morals	40
POR3	Why poor - industry not provide jobs	41
POR4	Why poor - lack of effort by poor	42
REB1	Blacks - racial discrimination	43
REB2	Blacks - have less ability	44
REB3	Blacks - no chance for education	45
REB4	Blacks - don't have motivation	46
REB5	Blacks - other races more capable	47
NB40	Main ethnic group in neighborhood	48
NBH5	Is neighborhood ethnicity changing	49
NB61	If NBH5 yes, what is ethnic change	49
NB7A	If NBH5 yes, is ethnic change good/bad	50
RKT	Route cases in process before R.King	50
RK01	Jury verdict in beating of Rodney King	51
RK02	Blacks not treated fair in courts	52
RK03	Limit police chief term	53
RK04	Disturbances were protest or looting	54
RAC2	Attitude towards immigrants in So. CA	55
IMMG	CA should welcome immigrants or not	56
IMM2	Immigration reduces my politic influence	57
IMM3	Immigration reduces my econ opportunity	58
GVT1	Confidence - in Fed government	59
GVT2	Confidence - in State government	59
GVT3	Confidence - in local government	60
GVT5	Confidence - in local police	60
X39	Feelings about abortion issue	61
GRUP	Explanation of "Feeling Thermometer"	62
GP01	Feeling about business executives	63
GP02	Feeling about politicians	65
GP03	Feeling about whites	67
GP04	Feeling about Asians	69
GP05	Feeling about Hispanics	71
GP06	Feeling about blacks	73
GP07	Feeling about Hisp civil rights groups	75
GP08	Feeling about black civil rights groups	77
GP09	Feeling about militant Hispanics	78
GP10	Feeling about militant blacks	80
SOCD	Intro social contacts ethnic groups	82
SCDT	Test ethnic for proper segment to ask	83
SCD1	Whites - live half black neighborhood	83
SCD2	Whites - live half Asian neighborhood	84
SCD3	Whites - live half Hispan neighborhood	85

LACSS92

variable		page
SCD4	Whites - close relative marry a black	86
SCD5	Whites - close relative marry an Asian	87
SCD6	Whites - close relative marry an Hispan	88
SC21	Blacks - live half white neighborhood	89
SC22	Blacks - live half Asian neighborhood	90
SC23	Blacks - live half Hispan neighborhood	91
SC24	Blacks - close relative marry a white	92
SC25	Blacks - close relative marry an Asian	93
SC26	Blacks - close relative marry an Hispan	94
SC31	Asians - live half black neighborhood	95
SC32	Asians - live half white neighborhood	96
SC33	Asians - live half Hispan neighborhood	97
SC34	Asians - close relative marry a black	98
SC35	Asians - close relative marry a white	99
SC36	Asians - close relative marry an Hispan	100
SC41	Hispan - live half black neighborhood	101
SC42	Hispan - live half Asian neighborhood	102
SC43	Hispan - live half white neighborhood	103
SC44	Hispan - close relative marry a black	104
SC45	Hispan - close relative marry an Asian	105
SC46	Hispan - close relative marry a white	106
PA05	General political views	107
PA06	Very conservative or liberal	108
PA11	Which political party	109
PA12	Strong Democrat or Republican	110
PA13	If Moderate, close to Democats or Repub	111
CRM2	How likely HH member victim of crime	111
CRM3	Favor or oppose death penalty	112
BSN5	Good or bad time for major purchase	113
LJ1	How worried about losing job next 6 mo.	113
MRS1	Marital status	114
MR1A	If not married, living with someone	114
DM02	Were you born in the U.S.	115
SBIR	If born U.S., which state born in	116
CBIR	If not born U.S., which country born in	118
DM4A	If not born U.S., how long in U.S.	119
ANC	If born U.S., what is ethnic heritage	120
WRK1	Respondent's employment status	122
WRK2	Respondent's occupation	122
WRK3	Respondent's industry	123
LZ1	Work in work group or alone	123
LZ2	Ethnicity of work group	124
LZ3	Is ethnicity of work group changing	125
LZ4	How is work group ethnicity changing	126
LZ5A	Is this ethnic change good or bad	127
LZ6	Is there language barrier with workers	127
Z24A	Do you belong to a union	128
MRST	Test marital status	128
MRS2	Spouse employment status	129
BALT	Test on random number to select ballot	130
AAMN	Amnesty for illegal immigrants	131
ABIE	Bilingual education for immigrants	132

LACSS92

variable		page
APRE	Spending to prevent illegal immigration	133
ABUS	Immigrant business owners hire American	134
ACOU	Reduce number of legal immigrants	135
AIC1	Economic growth due to immigrants in CA	136
AIC2	Lower qual education due to immigrants	137
AIC3	English as common language reduced	138
AIC4	Culture enriched by immigrants	139
AIC5	Increased crime due to immigrants	140
AIC6	Higher taxes due to immigrants	141
AIC7	Increase job demand due to immigrants	142
AIF1	Asians should adopt English language	143
AIF2	Asians strengthen work Amer. force	144
ASR1	Asians don't need welfare	145
ASR2	Govt pays less attention to Asians	146
ASR3	Asians should progress without favor	147
AZS1	Asians cause fewer jobs for others	148
AZS2	More political influence for Asians	149
AZS3	Asians affect the available housing	150
AZS4	Asians succeed at expense of others	151
BAAC	Affirmative action continue higher educ	152
BJTB	Special job training and asst for blacks	153
BJTH	Special job training and asst for Hispan	154
BJTA	Special job training and asst for Asians	155
BHPB	Preferential hiring for blacks	156
BHPH	Preferential hiring for Hispanics	157
BHPA	Preferential hiring for Asians	158
BSOB	Special college opportun for blacks	159
BSOH	Special college opportun for Hispanics	160
BOSA	Special college opportun for Asians	161
BIF1	Affirm action for blacks is unfair	162
BIF2	Affirm action give opportun to blacks	163
BIF3	Affirm action makes hire unqualified	164
BIF4	Affirm act keeps work force competitive	165
BSR1	Most blacks could do without welfare	166
BSR2	Govt pays less attention to blacks	167
BSR3	Blacks should progress without favor	168
BZS1	More jobs for blacks, less for others	169
BZS2	More political influence for blacks	170
BZS3	More housing for blacks less for others	171
BZS4	Blacks succeed at expense of others	172
HAMN	Amnesty for illegal immigrants	173
HBIE	Bilingual education for immigrants	174
HPRE	Spending to prevent illegal immigration	175
HBUS	Immigrant business owners hire American	176
HCOU	Reduce number of legal immigrants	177
HIC1	Economic growth due to immigrants in CA	178
HIC2	Lower qual education due to immigrants	179
HIC3	English as common language reduced	180
HIC4	Culture enriched by immigrants	181
HIC5	Increased crime due to immigrants	182
HIC6	Higher taxes due to immigrants	183
HIC7	Increase job demand due to immigrants	184

LACSS92

variable		page
HIF1	Hispanics should adopt English language	185
HIF2	Hispanics strengthen work Amer. force	186
HSR1	Hispanics don't need welfare	187
HSR2	Govt pays less attention to Hispanics	188
HSR3	Hispanics should progress without favor	189
HZS1	Hispanics cause fewer jobs for others	190
HZS2	More political influence for Hispanics	191
HZS3	Hispanics affect on available housing	192
HZS4	Hispanics succeed at expense of others	193
DM06	Are you high school grad	194
DM07	Did you attend college or university	194
DM7A	Number of years attend college or univ	195
DM08	Highest degree received	195
FAM1	Number of people in your household	196
FAM2	How many adults in household	197
RAGE	Respondent's age	198
REL	Respondent's religion	200
INC1	Is household income above 30,000	200
INC2	Is household income under 10,000	201
INC3	Approximate household income range	201
INC4	Number of people contributing to income	202
INC5	Percent income from Respondent's salary	202
STRO	Explanation of rating scale	203
SRP1	Rating of whites, rich to poor	204
SRP2	Rating of blacks, rich to poor	205
SRP3	Rating of Hispanics, rich to poor	206
SRP4	Rating of Asians, rich to poor	207
SUI1	Rating of whites, intelligence	208
SUI2	Rating of blacks, intelligence	209
SUI3	Rating of Asians, intelligence	210
SUI4	Rating of Hispanics, intelligence	211
SSW1	Rating of whites, self-supporting	212
SSW2	Rating of blacks, self-supporting	213
SSW3	Rating of Asians, self-supporting	214
SSW4	Rating of Hispanics, self-supporting	215
SEH1	Rating of whites, getting along with	216
SEH2	Rating of blacks, getting along with	217
SEH3	Rating of Asians, getting along with	218
SEH4	Rating of Hispanics, getting along with	219
NBC1	Society owes my group better opportun	220
NFO2	Society provided us fair opportunity	221
NSO3	Grateful for special opportunities	222
NDF4	Society not fair to people of my group	223
PNLN	Number of phone lines in household	224
DM13	Respondent's county	224
DM14	Respondent's zip code	225
THAK	Thank Respondent, end of interview	225
INTC	Interview conducted in English or Span	225
IN1	Did Respondent understand questions	226
IN5	Did interviewer have difficulty probing	226
IN6	Did Respondent ask questions	227
IN7	Did interviewer have enough info to ans	227

LACSS92

variable		page
IN8	Did Resp complain about any questions	228
IN9	Did Resp make any comments about UCLA	228
B4RK	Flag - before or after jury verdict	228
IRAC	Interviewer ethnicity	229
EXC1	Telephone exchange flag #1	229
EXC2	Telephone exchange flag #2	229
Appendix A	Frequencies for occupation, industry, and zip code	
Appendix B	Data record layout	

Table of Contents sorted by item name

variable	variable description	page
AAMN	Amnesty for illegal immigrants	131
ABIE	Bilingual education for immigrants	132
ABUS	Immigrant business owners hire American	134
ACOU	Reduce number of legal immigrants	135
AIC1	Economic growth due to immigrants in CA	136
AIC2	Lower qual education due to immigrants	137
AIC3	English as common language reduced	138
AIC4	Culture enriched by immigrants	139
AIC5	Increased crime due to immigrants	140
AIC6	Higher taxes due to immigrants	141
AIC7	Increase job demand due to immigrants	142
AIF1	Asians should adopt English language	143
AIF2	Asians strengthen work Amer. force	144
ANC	If born U.S., what is ethnic heritage	120
APRE	Spending to prevent illegal immigration	133
ASR1	Asians don't need welfare	145
ASR2	Govt pays less attention to Asians	146
ASR3	Asians should progress without favor	147
AZS1	Asians cause fewer jobs for others	148
AZS2	More political influence for Asians	149
AZS3	Asians affect the available housing	150
AZS4	Asians succeed at expense of others	151
B4RK	Flag - before or after jury verdict	228
BAAC	Affirmative action continue higher educ	152
BALT	Test on random number to select ballot	130
BHPA	Preferential hiring for Asians	158
BHPB	Preferential hiring for blacks	156
BHPH	Preferential hiring for Hispanics	157
BIF1	Affirm action for blacks is unfair	162
BIF2	Affirm action give opportun to blacks	163
BIF3	Affirm action makes hire unqualified	164
BIF4	Affirm act keeps work force competitive	165
BJTA	Special job training and asst for Asians	155
BJTB	Special job training and asst for blacks	153
BJTH	Special job training and asst for Hispan	154
BOSA	Special college opportun for Asians	161
BSN5	Good or bad time for major purchase	113
BSOB	Special college opportun for blacks	159
BSOH	Special college opportun for Hispanics	160
BSR1	Most blacks could do without welfare	166
BSR2	Govt pays less attention to blacks	167
BSR3	Blacks should progress without favor	168
BZS1	More jobs for blacks, less for others	169
BZS2	More political influence for blacks	170
BZS3	More housing for blacks less for others	171
BZS4	Blacks succeed at expense of others	172

LACSS92

CBIR	If not born U.S., which country born in	118
CLIK	Confidentiality	8
CMM1	Past 5 years LA better or worse living	22
CNTY	County code	2
CRM2	How likely HH member victim of crime	111
CRM3	Favor or oppose death penalty	112
CSID	Respondent ID	1
CTIM	Time	1
DM02	Were you born in the U.S.	115
DM06	Are you high school grad	194
DM08	Highest degree received	195
DM12	Ethnicity, ethnic test variable	3
DM13	Respondent's county	224
DM14	Respondent's zip code	225
DM4A	If not born U.S., how long in U.S.	119
DM7A	Number of years attend college or univ	195
DMDF	Definition of ethnicities	11
DMET	Test for max number per ethnic group	14
DMEX	Reached maximum number for ethnic group	14
DMO7	Did you attend college or university	194
DOM1	Feelings - winning is more important	29
DOM2	Feelings - getting ahead by any means	30
DOM3	Feelings - sometimes war is necessary	31
DOM4	Feelings - inferior groups keep place	32
ET1S	Store result of ETH1 into DM12	11
ET2S	Store result of ETH2 into DM12	12
ET3S	Store result of ETH3 into DM12	13
ETEX	Reroute excess of filled ethnic group	14
ETH1	What is your race - ethnicity	10
ETH2	Which ethnic group identify with	12
ETH3	Which ethnic does community think of you	13
EXC1	Telephone exchange flag #1	229
EXC2	Telephone exchange flag #2	229
FAM1	Number of people in your household	196
FAM2	How many adults in household	197
FNL	Final outcome code	1
FNLD	Date	1
GP01	Feeling about business executives	63
GP02	Feeling about politicians	65
GP03	Feeling about whites	67
GP04	Feeling about Asians	69
GP05	Feeling about Hispanics	71
GP06	Feeling about blacks	73
GP07	Feeling about Hisp civil rights groups	75
GP08	Feeling about black civil rights groups	77
GP09	Feeling about militant Hispanics	78
GP10	Feeling about militant blacks	80
GRUP	Explanation of "Feeling Thermometer"	62
GVT1	Confidence - in Fed government	59
GVT2	Confidence - in State government	59
GVT3	Confidence - in local government	60
GVT5	Confidence - in local police	60
HAMN	Amnesty for illegal immigrants	173
HBIE	Bilingual education for immigrants	174

LACSS92

HBUS	Immigrant business owners hire American	176
HCOU	Reduce number of legal immigrants	177
HIC1	Economic growth due to immigrants in CA	178
HIC2	Lower qual education due to immigrants	179
HIC3	English as common language reduced	180
HIC4	Culture enriched by immigrants	181
HIC5	Increased crime due to immigrants	182
HIC6	Higher taxes due to immigrants	183
HIC7	Increase job demand due to immigrants	184
HIF1	Hispanics should adopt English language	185
HIF2	Hispanics strengthen work Amer. force	186
HPRE	Spending to prevent illegal immigration	175
HSR1	Hispanics don't need welfare	187
HSR2	Govt pays less attention to Hispanics	188
HSR3	Hispanics should progress without favor	189
HZS1	Hispanics cause fewer jobs for others	190
HZS2	More political influence for Hispanics	191
HZS3	Hispanics affect on available housing	192
HZS4	Hispanics succeed at expense of others	193
IMM2	Immigration reduces my politic influence	57
IMM3	Immigration reduces my econ opportunity	58
IMMG	CA should welcome immigrants or not	56
IN1	Did Respondent understand questions	226
IN5	Did interviewer have difficulty probing	226
IN6	Did Respondent ask questions	227
IN7	Did interviewer have enough info to ans	227
IN8	Did Resp complain about any questions	228
IN9	Did Resp make any comments about UCLA	228
INC1	Is household income above 30,000	200
INC2	Is household income under 10,000	201
INC3	Approximate household income range	201
INC4	Number of people contributing to income	202
INC5	Percent income from Respondent's salary	202
INQ1	Some people better for import. position	33
INQ2	Some people better at running things	34
INQ3	Everyone does not get an equal chance	35
INQ4	If work hard will get what they want	36
INQ5	Even if ambitious, people can't succeed	37
INQ6	If can't get ahead, should blame self	38
INTC	Interview conducted in English or Span	225
INVW	Interviewer number	1
IRAC	Interviewer ethnicity	229
LIF1	Satisfaction - job and conds of work	23
LIF2	Satisfaction - non-working activities	24
LIF3	Satisfaction - Family life	25
LIF4	Satisfaction - your friendships	26
LIF5	Satisfaction - your health	27
LJ1	How worried about losing job next 6 mo.	113
LZ1	Work in work group or alone	123
LZ2	Ethnicity of work group	124
LZ3	Is ethnicity of work group changing	125
LZ4	How is work group ethnicity changing	126
LZ5A	Is this ethnic change good or bad	127
LZ6	Is there language barrier with workers	127

LACSS92

MR1A	If not married, living with someone	114
MRS1	Marital status	114
MRS2	Spouse employment status	129
MRST	Test marital status	128
NB40	Main ethnic group in neighborhood	48
NB61	If NBH5 yes, what is ethnic change	49
NB7A	If NBH5 yes, is ethnic change good/bad	50
NB8T	Test on NBH1 for type of dwelling	27
NBC1	Society owes my group better opportun	220
NBH1	Kind of dwelling you live in	20
NBH2	Do you own or rent dwelling	21
NBH5	Is neighborhood ethnicity changing	49
NBH8	Satisfaction - the dwelling you live in	28
NDF4	Society not fair to people of my group	223
NFO2	Society provided us fair opportunity	221
NSO3	Grateful for special opportunities	222
OSFL	Oversample indicator	2
PA05	General political views	107
PA06	Very conservative or liberal	108
PA11	Which political party	109
PA12	Strong Democrat or Republican	110
PA13	If Moderate, close to Democats or Repub	111
PBM1	Spending - improving environment	15
PBM2	Spending - improving nations health	16
PBM3	Spending - halt rising crime rate	16
PBM4	Spending - improving nation's educ sys	17
PBM5	Spending - military armaments/defense	17
PBM6	Spending - social security	18
PBM7	Spending - programs for the poor	18
PNLN	Number of phone lines in household	224
POR1	Why poor - Failure to provide schools	39
POR2	Why poor - loose morals	40
POR3	Why poor - industry not provide jobs	41
POR4	Why poor - lack of effort by poor	42
RAC2	Attitude towards immigrants in So. CA	55
RAGE	Respondent's age	198
REB1	Blacks - racial discrimination	43
REB2	Blacks - have less ability	44
REB3	Blacks - no chance for education	45
REB4	Blacks - don't have motivation	46
REB5	Blacks - other races more capable	47
REL	Respondent's religion	200
REPL	Interviews from each sample replicate	2
RK01	Jury verdict in beating of Rodney King	51
RK02	Blacks not treated fair in courts	52
RK03	Limit police chief term	53
RK04	Disturbances were protest or looting	54
RKT	Route cases in process before R.King	50
RNDM	Random number for ballots	3
RSEX	Sex of Respondent	9
SBIR	If born U.S., which state born in	116
SC21	Blacks - live half white neighborhood	89
SC22	Blacks - live half Asian neighborhood	90
SC23	Blacks - live half Hispan neighborhood	91

LACSS92

SC24	Blacks - close relative marry a white	92
SC25	Blacks - close relative marry an Asian	93
SC26	Blacks - close relative marry an Hispan	94
SC31	Asians - live half black neighborhood	95
SC32	Asians - live half white neighborhood	96
SC33	Asians - live half Hispan neighborhood	97
SC34	Asians - close relative marry a black	98
SC35	Asians - close relative marry a white	99
SC36	Asians - close relative marry an Hispan	100
SC41	Hispan - live half black neighborhood	101
SC42	Hispan - live half Asian neighborhood	102
SC43	Hispan - live half white neighborhood	103
SC44	Hispan - close relative marry a black	104
SC45	Hispan - close relative marry an Asian	105
SC46	Hispan - close relative marry a white	106
SCD1	Whites - live half black neighborhood	83
SCD2	Whites - live half Asian neighborhood	84
SCD3	Whites - live half Hispan neighborhood	85
SCD4	Whites - close relative marry a black	86
SCD5	Whites - close relative marry an Asian	87
SCD6	Whites - close relative marry an Hispan	88
SCDT	Test ethnic for proper segment to ask	83
SCH1	Grade given to your public schools	19
SEH1	Rating of whites, getting along with	216
SEH2	Rating of blacks, getting along with	217
SEH3	Rating of Asians, getting along with	218
SEH4	Rating of Hispanics, getting along with	219
SOCD	Intro social contacts ethnic groups	82
SRP1	Rating of whites, rich to poor	204
SRP2	Rating of blacks, rich to poor	205
SRP3	Rating of Hispanics, rich to poor	206
SRP4	Rating of Asians, rich to poor	207
SSW1	Rating of whites, self-supporting	212
SSW2	Rating of blacks, self-supporting	213
SSW3	Rating of Asians, self-supporting	214
SSW4	Rating of Hispanics, self-supporting	215
STRO	Explanation of rating scale	203
SUI1	Rating of whites, intelligence	208
SUI2	Rating of blacks, intelligence	209
SUI3	Rating of Asians, intelligence	210
SUI4	Rating of Hispanics, intelligence	211
THAK	Thank Respondent, end of interview	225
WRK1	Respondent's employment status	122
WRK2	Respondent's occupation	122
WRK3	Respondent's industry	123
X39	Feelings about abortion issue	61
Z24A	Do you belong to a union	128
adlt	Are you over 18 years old	5
con2	How many adults in HH	6
con3	Next birthday (Respondent selection)	7
conf	Introduction and phone number confirm	4
home	Is this your home phone	5
rnam	First name of Respondent	7
x	May I speak to Respondent	8

CSID Respondent ID

Õ#case idå

1897 cases

input location: 1/1-5

FNL Final outcome code

Õ# FNL = final outcome codeå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	1	

1897 cases

input location: 1/6-7

INWV Interviewer number

Õ# INWV = current int'r ID # å

1897 cases

input location: 1/8-10

FNLD Date

Õ# FNLD = date final outcomeå

1897 cases

input location: 1/11-16

CTIM Time

Õ#CTIM = TIME at end of callå

1897 cases

input location: 1/17-20

LACSS92

REPL Interviews from each sample replicate

Œ# replicate numberå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
50.3	955	1	
11.6	220	2	
8.8	167	3	
9.3	176	4	
9.4	178	5	
8.5	161	6	
0.2	4	7	
0.2	4	8	
0.2	4	9	
0.2	4	10	
0.1	2	11	
0.3	6	12	
0.3	5	13	
0.2	4	14	
0.1	1	15	
0.3	6	16	

1897 cases

input location: 1/21-22

OSFL Oversample indicator

Œ# oversample flagå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
46.0	872	0	General Sample
30.8	585	2	Black Oversample
23.2	440	3	Asian Oversample

1897 cases

input location: 1/23

CNTY County code

Œ# county codeå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	6037	

1897 cases

input location: 1/24-28

LACSS92

RNDM Random number for ballots

Ø# random number assignedå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
32.8	623	0	
34.3	651	1	
32.8	623	2	

1897 cases

input location: 1/29

DM12 Ethnicity, ethnic test variable

Ø# driver for social distance questions 012892 rdtå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
32.9	625	1	White
25.1	477	2	Hispanic
25.5	483	3	Black
15.0	284	4	Asian or Pacific Islander
0.9	17	5	Native American or Alaskan native
0.1	2	98	DON'T KNOW
0.5	9	99	REFUSED

1897 cases

input location: 1/30-31

conf Introduction and phone number confirm

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å

Hello, my name is Õfill INAMå.

I'm calling from the University of California, Los Angeles. Here at the University we are currently working on a study for the Institute for Social Science Research. This annual study is an important part of the teaching and public service at UCLA. Our interview concerns many interesting topics, including the economy, government spending, and ethnic relations.

First of all, I need to be sure I've reached the right number. Is this Õfill PRFXå-Õfill SUFX:0å in area code (Õfill AREAå)?

<1> Yes - possible household
 <2> No - first contact with this wrong connection
 <5> No - second contact with this wrong connection
 <6> Answering service, possible residence
 <7> Business, commercial, pay or mobile phone
 <8> Group quarters, institution
 <9> Other non-household
 <r> Refer to a spanish language specialist Õgoto fchgå
 <x> callback
 <y> refused

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

	1897	cases	

input location: 5/9

home Is this your home phone

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å
Is this your home phone (not a business)?

- <1> yes Ögoto adltå
- <2> no Ögoto spekå
- <6> answering service, possible residence Ögoto anså
- <7> business, commercial, pay or mobile phone, other non-household Ögoto liveå
- <8> group quarters, institution Ögoto nreså
- <r> Refer to a spanish language specialist Ögoto fchgå
- <x> callback, bad connection after 2 tries Ögoto T140å
- <y> refused Ögoto T150å
- <z> problems Ögoto T160å

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

		1897 cases	

input location: 5/9

adlt Are you over 18 years old

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å
First, I need to know if you are 18 years old or older.

- <1> Yes, 18 years old or older Ögoto isexå
- <5> No, under 18 years old Ögoto adtbå
- <r> Refer to a spanish language specialist Ögoto fchgå
- <x> Callback Ögoto T141å
- <y> Refused Ögoto T151å
- <z> Problems -- language, hearing, too ill, incapable, deceased, out of town for the duration of the study, etc Ögoto T160å

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

		1897 cases	

input location: 5/9

con2

How many adults in HH

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å

I would like to interview someone in your household. In order to determine whom to interview, I need to know how many people 18 years of age or over currently live in your household as permanent residents. So, including yourself, how many persons live in your household who are at least 18 years of age?

<0> people live in household Ögoto T275å

<1> person lives in the household

<2-6> people live in the household

<7> or more people live in household

<r> Refer to a spanish language specialist Ögoto fchgå

<x> callback Ögoto T240å

<y> refused Ögoto T250å

<z> problems - language, hearing, too ill, incapable, deceased,
out of town for the duration of the study, etc Ögoto T265å

====>Ögoto T230å

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

	1897	cases	

input location: 5/9

con3 Next birthday (Respondent selection)

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å

Of the people you just included in your count of household members, who will have the next birthday?

IF INFORMANT DOES NOT KNOW ALL BIRTHDAYS, ASK:

Of the people in your household with birthdays that you do remember, who will have the next birthday?

IF NECESSARY, READ:

In order to obtain a scientific sample, we must choose a person at random. I will ask for a first name only. All information is kept strictly confidential. Names and phone numbers are not connected to the results of our study.

- <1> informant gives name Õgoto rnamå
- <5> informant does not know who has the next birthday. Õgoto con4å
- <r> Refer to a spanish language specialist Õgoto fchgå
- <x> callback Õgoto T311å
- <y> refused Õgoto T331å
- <z> problems - language, hearing, too ill, incapable, deceased, out of town for the duration of the study, etcÕgoto T321å

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

		1897 cases	

input location: 5/9

rnam First name of Respondent

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å

ENTER FIRST NAME OF RESPONDENT JUST GIVEN BY INFORMANT OR ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER.

NAME MAY BE 1 TO 15 CHARACTERS LONG.

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

		1897 cases	

input location: 5/9

x May I speak to Respondent

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å
May I please speak with Õfill rnamå?

<1> Respondent is person you are talking to. Õgoto T300å
<2> Respondent is available and comes to the phone. Õgoto explå
<3> Respondent is not available. Õgoto T316å

<r> Refer as a callback for a spanish language specialistÕgoto T318å

<y> refused Õgoto T336å
<z> problems - language, hearing, too ill, incapable, deceased,
out of town for the duration of the study, etc Õgoto T326å

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

		1897 cases	

input location: 5/9

CLIK Confidentiality

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEMå
Before we start, I would like to assure you that this interview is confidential and completely voluntary. If we should come to any question which you don't want to answer, just let me know and we'll go on to the next question.

IF RESPONDENT ASKS, SAY: The interview will take approximately 30 minutes depending on how much you have to say.

TYPE <g> TO PROCEED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

		1897 cases	

input location: 5/9

RSEX Sex of Respondent

SEX OF RESPONDENT

<1> MALE

<5> FEMALE

<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
45.1	856	1	MALE
54.9	1041	5	FEMALE

1897 cases

input location: 1/32

ETH1 What is your race - ethnicity

Before I begin, let me ask what is your race or ethnic origin.
 Are you white, Hispanic, black, Asian or Pacific Islander, American
 Indian or Alaskan native?

- <1> White
- <2> Hispanic
- <3> Black
- <4> Asian or Pacific Islander
- <5> Native American or Alaskan native
- 6 (Specify ethnic mix in order given by respondent.)
- <7> Identifies more than one of the above groups
- <98> DON'T KNOW
- <99> REFUSED
- <0> TO SEE ETHNIC DEFINITIONS

NOTE: If respondent says American or Don't Know, then probe by asking:
 "which ethnic group do people in your community think of you as
 belonging to?" If respondent still does not identify with one of the
 above ethnic groups, then code the response "DON'T KNOW" 98.

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
32.8	618	1	White
25.2	475	2	Hispanic
25.1	474	3	Black
15.0	282	4	Asian or Pacific Islander
0.9	17	5	Native American or Alaskan native
1.1	20	7	Identifies more than one of the above groups
		2	98 DON'T KNOW
		9	99 REFUSED

 1897 cases (11 cases missing data)

input location: 1/33-34

DMDF Definition of ethnicities

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å
 American Indian or Alaskan Native. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North America, and who maintains cultural identification through tribal affiliation or community recognition.

Asian or Pacific Islander. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, the Indian subcontinent, or the Pacific Islands. This area includes, for example, China, India, Japan, Korea, the Philippine Islands, and Samoa.

Black. A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.

Hispanic. A person of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central or South American or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.

White. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, North Africa, or the Middle East.

A person of mixed racial or ethnic origin should be classified according to the way he or she is recognized in their community. If R demurs, do not insist. Ethnic origins may not always be clear even if R cooperates. Do the best you can and describe any dilemmas in a note.

<g> TO PROCEED
 ==>Õgoto ETH1å

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

		1897 cases	

input location: 5/9

 ET1S Store result of ETH1 into DM12

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å
 Õ#store ETH1 in DM12å
 Õgoto DMETå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

		1897 cases	

input location: 5/9

ETH2 Which ethnic group identify with

Which ethnic group do you most closely identify with?

- <1> White
- <2> Hispanic
- <3> Black
- <4> Asian or Pacific Islander

<6> Still identifies with more than one of the above groups Ögogo ETH3å

====>Ögogo ET2Så

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1877	-1	
15.0	3	1	White
5.0	1	2	Hispanic
30.0	6	3	Black
10.0	2	4	Asian or Pacific Islander
40.0	8	6	Still identifies with more than one of the above

1897 cases (1877 cases missing data)

input location: 1/35-36

ET2S Store result of ETH2 into DM12

Ö# NOT A DATA ITEM å
Ö#store ETH2 in DM12å
Ögogo DMETå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

1897 cases

input location: 5/9

ETH3 Which ethnic does community think of you

Which ethnic group do people in your community think of you as belonging to?

- <1> White
- <2> Hispanic
- <3> Black
- <4> Asian or Pacific Islander

INSTRUCTIONS TO INTERVIEWER: If respondent still identifies with two or more different ethnic groups, then answer the question with the ethnic group the respondent first mentions. (TEXT SHOWN ABOVE) However, if the 1st mentioned is American Indian or Alaskan native, then code the response with the second mentioned ethnic group.

===>Ogoto ET3Så

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1889	-1	
50.0	4	1	White
12.5	1	2	Hispanic
37.5	3	3	Black

1897 cases (1889 cases missing data)			

input location: 1/37-38

 ET3S Store result of ETH3 into DM12

O# NOT A DATA ITEM å
 O#store ETH3 in DM12å
 Ogoto DMETå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

1897 cases			

input location: 5/9

DMET Test for max number per ethnic group

```

      Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å
Õif DM12 eq <1> goto PBM1å Õ# change "goto DMEX" to screen whiteså
Õif DM12 eq <2> goto PBM1å Õ# change "goto DMEX" to screen Hispanicså
Õif DM12 eq <3> goto PBM1å Õ# change "goto DMEX" to screen blackså
Õif DM12 eq <4> goto PBM1å Õ# change "goto DMEX" to screen Asianså
Õgoto PBM1å

```

```

      PCT      N  VALUE  LABEL
100.0  1897    -1
      ----
      1897 cases

```

input location: 5/9

DMEX Reached maximum number for ethnic group

```

      Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å
We are interviewing only a specific number of respondents within
each telephone exchange area in Los Angeles county. The computer
tells me that we have reached the maximum number of people
within your area. Unfortunately, I will not be able to interview
you. However, I would like to thank you very much for your
cooperation and  patience.

```

HANG UP AND TYPE <g> TO PROCEED

====>

```

      PCT      N  VALUE  LABEL
100.0  1897    -1
      ----
      1897 cases

```

input location: 5/9

ETEX Reroute excess of filled ethnic group

```

      Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å
Õstore <3> in CODEå
Õgoto MOD9å

```

```

      PCT      N  VALUE  LABEL
100.0  1897    -1
      ----
      1897 cases

```

input location: 5/9

PBM1

Spending - improving environment

First, I would like to talk with you about some things people think about today. We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount.

First, how about improving and protecting the environment?

Are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount?

<1> too much
 <2> too little
 <3> about the right amount

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
8.4	150	1	too much
62.7	1120	2	too little
28.9	516	3	about the right amount
	108	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (111 cases missing data)

input location: 1/39

PBM2

Spending - improving nations health

How about improving and protecting the nation's health?

Are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount?

<1> too much
<2> too little
<3> about the right amount

<8> DON'T KNOW
<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
8.9	162	1	too much
71.9	1313	2	too little
19.2	351	3	about the right amount
	68	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (71 cases missing data)

input location: 1/40

PBM3

Spending - halt rising crime rate

Halting the rising crime rate?

IF NECESSARY READ: Are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount?

<1> too much
<2> too little
<3> about the right amount

<8> DON'T KNOW
<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
8.7	156	1	too much
72.4	1294	2	too little
18.9	337	3	about the right amount
	107	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (110 cases missing data)

input location: 1/41

PBM4

Spending - improving nation's educ sys

Improving the nation's educational system?

IF NECESSARY READ: Are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount?

<1> too much
 <2> too little
 <3> about the right amount

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
4.6	85	1	too much
79.8	1487	2	too little
15.6	291	3	about the right amount
	31	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (34 cases missing data)

input location: 1/42

PBM5

Spending - military armaments/defense

The military, armaments and defense?

IF NECESSARY READ: Are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount?

<1> too much
 <2> too little
 <3> about the right amount

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
57.5	1033	1	too much
9.1	163	2	too little
33.5	602	3	about the right amount
	93	8	DON'T KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (99 cases missing data)

input location: 1/43

PBM6 Spending - social security

Social security?

IF NECESSARY READ: Are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount?

<1> too much
 <2> too little
 <3> about the right amount

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.7	168	1	too much
48.7	844	2	too little
41.6	721	3	about the right amount
	155	8	DON'T KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (164 cases missing data)

input location: 1/44

PBM7 Spending - programs for the poor

Programs for the poor?

IF NECESSARY READ: Are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount?

<1> too much
 <2> too little
 <3> about the right amount

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.4	172	1	too much
71.7	1308	2	too little
18.9	345	3	about the right amount
	68	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (72 cases missing data)

input location: 1/45

SCH1

Grade given to your public schools

Students are often given the grades A, B, C, D, and F to describe the quality of their work. Suppose the public schools in your community were also graded in this way. What grade would you give to the public schools here: A, B, C, D, or F?

<1> A
 <2> B
 <3> C
 <4> D
 <5> F
 <6> NO EXPERIENCE W/SCHOOLS

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
7.8	146	1	A
21.5	400	2	B
34.5	643	3	C
18.9	353	4	D
9.7	180	5	F
7.6	142	6	NO EXPERIENCE W/SCHOOLS
	29	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (33 cases missing data)

input location: 1/46

NBH1 Kind of dwelling you live in

Do you live in a ...

- <1> house,
- <2> apartment, (duplex, triplex, loft, co-op house, or private room)
- <3> townhouse, condominium, or
- <4> mobile home, (or travel trailer)?

5 (Specify) Õ#specifyå Õ#goto CMM1å

<6> OTHER Õgoto CMM1å Õ# added for codingå

<8> DON'T KNOW Õgoto CMM1å

<9> REFUSED Õgoto CMM1å

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
61.6	1168	1	house,
31.0	587	2	apartment, (duplex, triplex, loft, co-op house, or
6.6	126	3	townhouse, condominium, or
0.8	15	4	mobile home, (or travel trailer)?
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1 case missing data)

input location: 1/47

NBH2 Do you own or rent dwelling

1 if NBH1 eq <1> store <house> in WBUF
 2 if NBH1 eq <2> store <apartment> in WBUF
 3 if NBH1 eq <3> store <townhouse/condominium> in WBUF
 4 if NBH1 eq <4> store <mobile home> in WBUF

Do you own or rent the WBUF you live in?

- <1> OWN (buying, or making payments to purchase)
- <2> RENT (or lease with option to buy)

3 (Specify)

- <4> OTHER (live-in who does not own or rent) # added for coding
- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1	-1	
48.4	912	1	OWN (buying, or making payments to purchase)
50.1	944	2	RENT (or lease with option to buy)
1.4	27	4	OTHER (live-in who does not own or rent)
	10	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (14 cases missing data)

input location: 1/48

CMM1

Past 5 years LA better or worse living

Now, I'd like to ask you a few questions about the living situation in your community. In the last five years, do you think that Los Angeles has become a ...

- <1> better place to live,
- <2> a worse place to live, or
- <3> stayed the same?
- <4> HAS LIVED HERE LESS THAN 5 YEARS
- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
4.7	89	1	better place to live,
76.0	1433	2	a worse place to live, or
16.4	309	3	stayed the same?
2.9	55	4	HAS LIVED HERE LESS THAN 5 YEARS
	10	8	DON'T KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (11 cases missing data)

input location: 1/49

LIF1

Satisfaction - job and conds of work

Now I'm going to name several areas of life. For each area of life I'd like you to tell me how much satisfaction you get from it ranging from very satisfied, to somewhat satisfied, not too satisfied, or not at all satisfied.

First, how about your job and conditions of work? Are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not too satisfied, or not at all satisfied?

<1> very satisfied
 <2> somewhat satisfied
 <3> not too satisfied
 <4> not at all satisfied

<5> DOES NOT HAVE A JOB

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
24.2	458	1	very satisfied
32.5	615	2	somewhat satisfied
13.6	258	3	not too satisfied
6.8	129	4	not at all satisfied
22.8	432	5	DOES NOT HAVE A JOB
	2	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (5 cases missing data)

input location: 1/50

LIF2

Satisfaction - non-working activities

How about your non-working activities--hobbies and so on?

IF NECESSARY READ: Are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not too satisfied, or not at all satisfied.

<1> very satisfied
 <2> somewhat satisfied
 <3> not too satisfied
 <4> not at all satisfied

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
42.4	797	1	very satisfied
39.1	736	2	somewhat satisfied
12.7	238	3	not too satisfied
5.8	109	4	not at all satisfied
	12	8	DON'T KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (17 cases missing data)

input location: 1/51

LIF3

Satisfaction - Family life

Your family life?

IF NECESSARY READ: Are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied,
not too satisfied, or not at all satisfied.

<1> very satisfied
 <2> somewhat satisfied
 <3> not too satisfied
 <4> not at all satisfied

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
60.6	1134	1	very satisfied
28.5	533	2	somewhat satisfied
7.6	143	3	not too satisfied
3.3	62	4	not at all satisfied
	16	8	DON'T KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (25 cases missing data)

input location: 1/52

LIF4

Satisfaction - your friendships

Your friendships?

IF NECESSARY READ: Are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied,
not too satisfied, or not at all satisfied.

<1> very satisfied
 <2> somewhat satisfied
 <3> not too satisfied
 <4> not at all satisfied

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
60.5	1145	1	very satisfied
30.5	577	2	somewhat satisfied
6.8	128	3	not too satisfied
2.2	41	4	not at all satisfied
	4	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (6 cases missing data)

input location: 1/53

LIF5

Satisfaction - your health

Your health and physical condition?

IF NECESSARY READ: Are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied,
not too satisfied, or not at all satisfied.

<1> very satisfied
<2> somewhat satisfied
<3> not too satisfied
<4> not at all satisfied

<8> DON'T KNOW
<9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
52.7	998	1	very satisfied
33.2	629	2	somewhat satisfied
10.5	199	3	not too satisfied
3.5	67	4	not at all satisfied
	4	8	DON'T KNOW

1897 cases (4 cases missing data)

input location: 1/54

NB8T

Test on NBH1 for type of dwelling

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å
Õif NBH1 gt <4> goto DOM1å

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

1897 cases

input location: 5/9

NBH8 Satisfaction - the dwelling you live in

 If NBH1 eq <1> store <house> in WBUF
 If NBH1 eq <2> store <apartment> in WBUF
 If NBH1 eq <3> store <townhouse/condominium> in WBUF
 If NBH1 eq <4> store <mobile home> in WBUF

Finally, the fill WBUF you currently live in?

IF NECESSARY READ: Are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied,
 not too satisfied, or not at all satisfied?

- <1> very satisfied
- <2> somewhat satisfied
- <3> not too satisfied
- <4> not at all satisfied

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1	-1	
46.2	871	1	very satisfied
36.8	693	2	somewhat satisfied
12.2	229	3	not too satisfied
4.8	91	4	not at all satisfied
	12	8	DON'T KNOW

 1897 cases (13 cases missing data)

input location: 1/55

DOM1

Feelings - winning is more important

Now I will read you several general statements. For each one I'd like you to tell me your feelings about the statement where a score of 1 means you have very positive feelings, 2 means positive feelings, 3 means neither positive nor negative feelings, 4 means negative feelings, and 5 means very negative feelings.

First, winning is more important than how the game is played. Is your reaction to this statement very positive, positive, neither positive nor negative, negative, or very negative?

- <1> very positive
- <2> positive
- <3> neither positive nor negative
- <4> negative
- <5> very negative

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
6.0	112	1	very positive
27.2	510	2	positive
20.4	383	3	neither positive nor negative
30.3	569	4	negative
16.1	302	5	very negative
	19	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (21 cases missing data)

input location: 1/56

DOM2

Feelings - getting ahead by any means

Next, getting ahead in life by almost any means necessary.
 Is your reaction to this statement very positive, positive,
 neither positive nor negative, negative, or very negative?

<1> very positive
 <2> positive
 <3> neither positive nor negative
 <4> negative
 <5> very negative

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
6.1	115	1	very positive
21.8	409	2	positive
12.3	230	3	neither positive nor negative
32.5	609	4	negative
27.2	509	5	very negative
	24	8	DON'T KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (25 cases missing data)

input location: 1/57

DOM3

Feelings - sometimes war is necessary

Sometimes war is necessary to put other countries in their place.

IF NECESSARY READ: Is your reaction to this statement very positive, positive, neither positive nor negative, negative, or very negative?

<1> very positive
 <2> positive
 <3> neither positive nor negative
 <4> negative
 <5> very negative

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.3	173	1	very positive
29.0	541	2	positive
17.4	325	3	neither positive nor negative
28.0	521	4	negative
16.3	304	5	very negative
	26	8	DON'T KNOW
	7	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (33 cases missing data)

input location: 1/58

DOM4

Feelings - inferior groups keep place

This country would be better off if inferior groups stayed in their place.

IF NECESSARY READ: Is your reaction to this statement very positive, positive, neither positive nor negative, negative, or very negative?

<1> very positive
 <2> positive
 <3> neither positive nor negative
 <4> negative
 <5> very negative

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
5.8	104	1	very positive
17.6	314	2	positive
13.2	236	3	neither positive nor negative
30.9	552	4	negative
32.5	580	5	very negative
	79	8	DON'T KNOW
	32	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (111 cases missing data)

input location: 1/59

INQ1 Some people better for import. position

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:

Some people are just better cut out than others for important positions in society.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.7	181	1	strongly agree
48.7	907	2	agree
9.2	172	3	neither agree nor disagree
22.4	418	4	disagree
10.0	186	5	strongly disagree
	23	8	DON'T KNOW
	10	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (33 cases missing data)

input location: 1/60

INQ2

Some people better at running things

Some people are better at running things and should be allowed to do so.

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATAGORIES.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.7	219	1	strongly agree
65.5	1231	2	agree
6.5	123	3	neither agree nor disagree
12.7	239	4	disagree
3.5	66	5	strongly disagree
	14	8	DON'T KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (19 cases missing data)

input location: 1/61

INQ3

Everyone does not get an equal chance

One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance.

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATAGORIES.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
22.8	430	1	strongly agree
47.3	894	2	agree
6.1	116	3	neither agree nor disagree
20.1	380	4	disagree
3.7	69	5	strongly disagree
	7	8	DON'T KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (8 cases missing data)

input location: 1/62

INQ4

If work hard will get what they want

If people work hard they almost always get what they want.

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATAGORIES.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
10.8	203	1	strongly agree
41.4	782	2	agree
7.5	142	3	neither agree nor disagree
35.0	661	4	disagree
5.2	99	5	strongly disagree
	8	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (10 cases missing data)

input location: 1/63

INQ5

Even if ambitious, people can't succeed

Even if people are ambitious they often cannot succeed.

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATAGORIES.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
7.6	143	1	strongly agree
58.0	1089	2	agree
9.2	172	3	neither agree nor disagree
23.1	434	4	disagree
2.0	38	5	strongly disagree
	17	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (21 cases missing data)

input location: 1/64

INQ6

If can't get ahead, should blame self

Most people who don't get ahead should not blame the system; they really have only themselves to blame.

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATAGORIES.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
7.4	139	1	strongly agree
38.4	721	2	agree
13.3	249	3	neither agree nor disagree
35.8	671	4	disagree
5.1	96	5	strongly disagree
	13	8	DON'T KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (21 cases missing data)

input location: 1/65

POR1

Why poor - Failure to provide schools

Now I will read a list of reasons some people give to explain why there are poor people in this country. Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with the following reasons why there are poor people in this country.

Failure of society to provide good schools for many Americans?

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED
 ==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
15.5	289	1	strongly agree
42.2	788	2	agree
7.4	138	3	neither agree nor disagree
30.4	568	4	disagree
4.6	85	5	strongly disagree
	28	8	DON'T KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (29 cases missing data)

input location: 1/66

POR2

Why poor - loose morals

What about loose morals and drunkenness?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Do you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree,
or strongly disagree with this as a reason why there are poor people
in this country?

<1> strongly agree
<2> agree
<3> neither agree nor disagree
<4> disagree
<5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
<9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
13.2	245	1	strongly agree
38.3	711	2	agree
8.7	161	3	neither agree nor disagree
32.3	599	4	disagree
7.4	138	5	strongly disagree
	33	8	DON'T KNOW
	10	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (43 cases missing data)

input location: 1/67

POR3

Why poor - industry not provide jobs

What about failure of industry to provide enough jobs?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Do you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree,
or strongly disagree with this as a reason why there are poor people
in this country?

<1> strongly agree
<2> agree
<3> neither agree nor disagree
<4> disagree
<5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
<9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
13.7	256	1	strongly agree
48.5	905	2	agree
9.2	172	3	neither agree nor disagree
25.1	468	4	disagree
3.5	65	5	strongly disagree
	24	8	DON'T KNOW
	7	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (31 cases missing data)

input location: 1/68

POR4

Blacks - racial discrimination

Lack of effort by the poor themselves?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Do you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this as a reason why there are poor people in this country?

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
10.4	195	1	strongly agree
46.5	870	2	agree
13.0	243	3	neither agree nor disagree
26.4	493	4	disagree
3.7	69	5	strongly disagree
	24	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (27 cases missing data)

input location: 1/69

REB1 Blacks - racial discrimination

Now I will read a list of reasons some people give to explain why on the average blacks have worse jobs, income, and housing than white people. Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with the following reasons why blacks are worse off on average in this country.

Because of racial discrimination?

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
16.5	308	1	strongly agree
48.2	899	2	agree
8.4	157	3	neither agree nor disagree
23.6	440	4	disagree
3.3	62	5	strongly disagree
	20	8	DON'T KNOW
	11	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (31 cases missing data)

input location: 1/70

REB2

Blacks - have less ability

Because most blacks have less in-born ability to learn?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this as a reason why blacks are worse off on average in this country?

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.5	28	1	strongly agree
11.4	212	2	agree
4.4	82	3	neither agree nor disagree
51.6	963	4	disagree
31.2	582	5	strongly disagree
	16	8	DON'T KNOW
	14	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (30 cases missing data)

input location: 1/71

REB3

Blacks - no chance for education

Because most blacks don't have the chance for the education it takes to rise out of poverty?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this as a reason why blacks are worse off on average in this country?

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.1	209	1	strongly agree
41.4	778	2	agree
7.3	137	3	neither agree nor disagree
34.6	650	4	disagree
5.5	103	5	strongly disagree
	13	8	DON'T KNOW
	7	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (20 cases missing data)

input location: 2/1

REB4 Blacks - don't have motivation

Because most blacks just don't have the motivation or will power to pull themselves up out of poverty?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this as a reason why blacks are worse off on average in this country?

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
5.8	108	1	strongly agree
32.5	607	2	agree
10.8	202	3	neither agree nor disagree
37.2	695	4	disagree
13.6	254	5	strongly disagree
	20	8	DON'T KNOW
	11	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (31 cases missing data)

input location: 2/2

REB5

Blacks - other races more capable

Because other races are just more capable than blacks?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this as a reason why blacks are worse off on average in this country?

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.0	18	1	strongly agree
10.7	200	2	agree
4.3	81	3	neither agree nor disagree
53.0	989	4	disagree
31.0	578	5	strongly disagree
	18	8	DON'T KNOW
	13	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (31 cases missing data)

input location: 2/3

NB40

Main ethnic group in neighborhood

Now I'll ask you several questions about your neighborhood.
What is the main ethnic or racial group in your immediate neighborhood?

- <1> WHITE
- <2> BLACK
- <3> HISPANIC
- <4> ASIAN

- 5 OTHER (Specify) Õ#specifyå
- <6> EQUALLY BLACK AND HISPANIC Õ# added for coding å
- <7> OTHER, EQUALLY MIXED Õ# added for coding å
- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
26.9	500	1	WHITE
25.2	470	2	BLACK
22.2	414	3	HISPANIC
17.1	319	4	ASIAN
2.5	46	6	EQUALLY BLACK AND HISPANIC
6.1	113	7	OTHER, EQUALLY MIXED
	33	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (35 cases missing data)

input location: 2/4

NBH5 Is neighborhood ethnicity changing

Is the ethnic or racial makeup of your neighborhood changing?

- <1> YES Õgoto NB61å
- <5> NO
- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====> Õgoto RKTå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
56.4	1038	1	YES
43.6	802	5	NO
	55	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (57 cases missing data)

input location: 2/5

NB61 If NBH5 yes, what is ethnic change

What is the main ethnic or racial change in your neighborhood?

- <1> MORE BLACK
- <2> MORE HISPANIC
- <3> MORE ASIAN
- <4> MORE WHITE

5 (Specify)Õ#specifyå

- <6> EQUALLY BLACK AND HISPANIC Õ# added for coding å
- <7> OTHER, EQUALLY MIXED Õ# added for coding å
- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	859	-1	
8.1	83	1	MORE BLACK
46.2	474	2	MORE HISPANIC
36.6	375	3	MORE ASIAN
5.6	57	4	MORE WHITE
0.6	6	6	EQUALLY BLACK AND HISPANIC
2.9	30	7	OTHER, EQUALLY MIXED
	12	8	DON'T KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (872 cases missing data)

input location: 2/6

NB7A If NBH5 yes, is ethnic change good/bad

Is this change good or bad for your neighborhood?

<1> GOOD
 <5> BAD

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	859	-1	
63.4	477	1	GOOD
36.6	275	5	BAD
	258	8	DON'T KNOW
	28	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1145 cases missing data)			

input location: 2/7

RKT Route cases in process before R.King

 # NOT A DATA ITEM a

if RK01 eq <> goto RAC2a

if RK02 eq <> goto RAC2a

if RK03 eq <> goto RAC2a

if RK04 eq <> goto RAC2a

 # RK01-RK04 added 050192 rdt, equiv to adjust data position, dab

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

1897 cases			

input location: 5/9

RK01

Jury verdict in beating of Rodney King

Now I have some questions about the Rodney King case. First, how do you feel about the jury verdict acquitting the four police officers accused of beating Rodney King? Do you personally strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree or strongly disagree with the jury's verdict?

<1> Strongly agree
 <2> Agree
 <3> Neither agree nor disagree
 <4> Disagree
 <5> Strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1037	-1	
2.6	22	1	Strongly agree
8.1	68	2	Agree
8.9	74	3	Neither agree nor disagree
30.1	252	4	Disagree
50.2	420	5	Strongly disagree
	9	8	DON'T KNOW
	15	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1061 cases missing data)

input location: 5/1

RK02

Blacks not treated fair in courts

Second, how about the statement that blacks usually don't get fair treatment in the courts and the criminal justice system. Do you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with that statement?

<1> Strongly agree
 <2> Agree
 <3> Neither agree nor disagree
 <4> Disagree
 <5> Strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1037	-1	
18.9	157	1	Strongly agree
34.3	285	2	Agree
13.8	115	3	Neither agree nor disagree
27.2	226	4	Disagree
5.9	49	5	Strongly disagree
	20	8	DON'T KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (1065 cases missing data)

input location: 5/2

RK03

Limit police chief term

Third, do you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose an amendment to the Los Angeles City Charter that would limit the Los Angeles chief of police to no more than two five year terms?

<1> Strongly favor
 <2> Favor
 <3> Neither favor nor oppose
 <4> Oppose
 <5> Strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1037	-1	
23.5	191	1	Strongly favor
50.2	408	2	Favor
12.1	98	3	Neither favor nor oppose
10.8	88	4	Oppose
3.4	28	5	Strongly oppose
	38	8	DON'T KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (1084 cases missing data)

input location: 5/3

RK04

Disturbances were protest or looting

Fourth, some people say these disturbances are mainly a protest by Blacks against unfair conditions. Others say they are mainly a way of engaging in looting and street crime. Which of these seems more correct to you?

- <1> Mainly protest
- <2> Mainly looting and street crime
- <3> 50/50 mixture
- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1037	-1	
46.2	379	1	Mainly protest
44.6	366	2	Mainly looting and street crime
9.3	76	3	50/50 mixture
	29	8	DON'T KNOW
	10	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1076 cases missing data)

input location: 5/4

RAC2

Attitude towards immigrants in So. CA

Now I'd like to ask you about your attitudes toward immigrants who have moved to Southern California from other countries.

Which of the following statements represents your feelings?

- <1> The most important thing for an immigrant to do is learn English and the traditions of the United States, or
- <5> Immigrants should hold on to the language and culture of the country they came from and pass it on to their children.
- <7> BOTH REPRESENT RESPONDENT'S ATTITUDE
- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
60.2	1131	1	The most important thing for an immigrant to do is
11.4	215	5	Immigrants should hold on to the language and cult
28.3	532	7	BOTH REPRESENT RESPONDENT'S ATTITUDE
	12	8	DON'T KNOW
	7	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (19 cases missing data)

input location: 2/8

IMMG

CA should welcome immigrants or not

Again, which of the following statements represents your feelings?

- <1> California is a melting pot and should welcome immigrants who want to live here, or
 <5> California has too many immigrants and something should be done about it.
 <7> NEITHER
 <8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
43.6	804	1	California is a melting pot and should welcome imm
49.2	906	5	California has too many immigrants and something s
7.2	132	7	NEITHER
	38	8	DON'T KNOW
	17	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (55 cases missing data)

input location: 2/9

IMM2

Immigration reduces my politic influence

Now I'll ask you some questions about immigration in the country as a whole. If immigration to this country continues at the present rate, do you believe people like you will probably have much more political influence than you do now, some but not a lot more influence, no more or less influence than now, less influence than now, or a lot less influence than now?

- <1> much more influence
- <2> some but not a lot more influence
- <3> no more or less influence
- <4> less influence
- <5> a lot less influence

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
12.0	218	1	much more influence
17.8	325	2	some but not a lot more influence
34.6	630	3	no more or less influence
24.1	439	4	less influence
11.5	210	5	a lot less influence
	60	8	DON'T KNOW
	15	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (75 cases missing data)

input location: 2/10

IMM3

Immigration reduces my econ opportunity

If immigration to this country continues at the present rate, do you believe people like you will probably have much more economic opportunity than now, some but not a lot more opportunity, no more or less opportunity than now, less opportunity than now, or a lot less opportunity than now?

<1> much more opportunity
 <2> some but not a lot more opportunity
 <3> no more or less opporunity
 <4> less opportunity
 <5> a lot less opportunity

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
8.7	162	1	much more opportunity
17.6	327	2	some but not a lot more opportunity
34.4	638	3	no more or less opporunity
27.6	513	4	less opportunity
11.6	216	5	a lot less opportunity
	33	8	DON'T KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (41 cases missing data)

input location: 2/11

GVT1 Confidence - in Fed government

Now, I'd like to ask you about your attitudes toward several government organizations. How much confidence do you personally have in the Federal Government in Washington, D.C. at the present time? Would you say...

- <1> a lot of confidence,
- <2> some confidence, or
- <3> not much confidence?

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
7.7	142	1	a lot of confidence,
37.8	700	2	some confidence, or
54.5	1009	3	not much confidence?
	31	8	DON'T KNOW
	15	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (46 cases missing data)

input location: 2/12

GVT2 Confidence - in State government

How much confidence do you personally have in the state government in Sacramento at the present time? Would you say...

- <1> a lot of confidence,
- <2> some confidence, or
- <3> not much confidence?

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
6.4	118	1	a lot of confidence,
43.1	797	2	some confidence, or
50.5	935	3	not much confidence?
	38	8	DON'T KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (47 cases missing data)

input location: 2/13

GVT3

Confidence - in local government

How much confidence do you personally have in the local government in your city or county at the present time? Would you say...

<1> a lot of confidence,
 <2> some confidence, or
 <3> not much confidence?

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.0	203	1	a lot of confidence,
50.4	933	2	some confidence, or
38.7	716	3	not much confidence?
	39	8	DON'T KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (45 cases missing data)

input location: 2/14

 GVT5

Confidence - in local police

How about your local police?

IF NECESSARY READ: How much confidence do you personally have in your local police at the present time? Would you say...

<1> a lot of confidence,
 <2> some confidence, or
 <3> not much confidence?

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
26.1	491	1	a lot of confidence,
42.1	790	2	some confidence, or
31.8	597	3	not much confidence?
	14	8	DON'T KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (19 cases missing data)

input location: 2/15

X39

Feelings about abortion issue

Now, we are going to talk about the specific political issue, abortion. People have many different views on abortion. Some think that it should be allowed for any reason in the first trimester. Others feel that it should only be allowed in cases of rape, incest, or endangerment of the mother's life. And some believe that abortion should never be permitted. Which of these views is closest to your own?

- <1> ABORTION SHOULD BE ALLOWED FOR ANY REASON
- <2> ONLY IN CASES OF RAPE, INCEST, OR ENDANGERMENT TO MOTHER
- <3> ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE ALLOWED
- <4> NONE OF THESE VIEWS

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
47.6	885	1	ABORTION SHOULD BE ALLOWED FOR ANY REASON
35.2	655	2	ONLY IN CASES OF RAPE, INCEST, OR ENDANGERMENT TO
14.3	265	3	ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE ALLOWED
2.9	54	4	NONE OF THESE VIEWS
	22	8	DON'T KNOW
	16	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (38 cases missing data)

input location: 2/16

GRUP

Explanation of "Feeling Thermometer"

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å

Next, I'd like to get your feelings toward some groups of people who are in the news these days. I will use something we call the feeling thermometer, and here is how it works.

I'll read the name of a group and I'd like you to rate that group using the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. This means that the closer to 100 degrees the more favorable your feelings. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. This means that the closer to 0 is more unfavorable.

If we come to a group whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate the group. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

If you do recognize the name, but you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward it, you would rate the group at the 50 degree mark.

<g> TO PROCEED ==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

	1897	cases	

input location: 5/9

GP01

Feeling about business executives

Our first group is business executives?

<0-100> DEGREES

51-100 degrees; favorable/warm
 50 degrees; neither warm or cold
 0-49 degrees; not favorable/cold

<998> DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group

<999> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.7	46	000	DEGREES
0.1	1	002	DEGREES
0.2	3	005	DEGREES
0.3	5	008	DEGREES
0.1	1	009	DEGREES
1.3	23	010	DEGREES
0.1	2	011	DEGREES
1.6	28	020	DEGREES
2.0	34	025	DEGREES
3.2	54	030	DEGREES
0.6	11	035	DEGREES
0.1	1	038	DEGREES
0.1	1	039	DEGREES
4.4	76	040	DEGREES
1.3	22	045	DEGREES
0.2	3	048	DEGREES
0.5	8	049	DEGREES
43.4	743	050	DEGREES
0.6	10	051	DEGREES
0.2	3	052	DEGREES
0.1	1	054	DEGREES
1.1	19	055	DEGREES
0.1	1	056	DEGREES
8.5	145	060	DEGREES
1.5	26	065	DEGREES
0.1	1	067	DEGREES
6.7	114	070	DEGREES
0.1	1	072	DEGREES
8.5	146	075	DEGREES
4.4	76	080	DEGREES
0.6	10	085	DEGREES
0.1	2	089	DEGREES
1.8	30	090	DEGREES
0.1	2	095	DEGREES
0.1	1	098	DEGREES
3.6	61	100	DEGREES
	168	998	DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group
	18	999	REFUSED

LACSS92

0.0 0 BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)

1897 cases (186 cases missing data)

input location: 2/17-19

GP02

Feeling about politicians

The next group is politicians?

<0-100> DEGREES

51-100 degrees; favorable/warm
 50 degrees; neither warm or cold
 0-49 degrees; not favorable/cold

<998> DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group

<999> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
10.1	186	000	DEGREES
0.3	5	001	DEGREES
0.5	9	002	DEGREES
0.2	4	003	DEGREES
0.1	1	004	DEGREES
1.3	24	005	DEGREES
0.1	1	007	DEGREES
0.2	3	008	DEGREES
0.2	3	009	DEGREES
4.7	86	010	DEGREES
0.2	3	012	DEGREES
0.1	1	013	DEGREES
0.1	1	014	DEGREES
0.7	13	015	DEGREES
6.4	117	020	DEGREES
0.1	2	023	DEGREES
6.4	117	025	DEGREES
0.1	1	026	DEGREES
0.1	1	027	DEGREES
9.7	179	030	DEGREES
0.1	1	032	DEGREES
1.8	34	035	DEGREES
0.1	1	037	DEGREES
0.1	2	039	DEGREES
8.9	164	040	DEGREES
0.9	16	045	DEGREES
0.1	1	046	DEGREES
0.1	2	047	DEGREES
0.3	5	048	DEGREES
0.9	17	049	DEGREES
30.1	553	050	DEGREES
0.4	7	051	DEGREES
0.3	5	052	DEGREES
0.1	1	053	DEGREES
0.5	10	055	DEGREES
4.6	84	060	DEGREES
0.1	1	061	DEGREES
0.1	1	063	DEGREES

LACSS92

0.8	14	065	DEGREES
0.1	1	068	DEGREES
2.6	48	070	DEGREES
2.6	47	075	DEGREES
0.1	1	079	DEGREES
1.3	24	080	DEGREES
0.1	2	085	DEGREES
0.1	1	089	DEGREES
0.5	10	090	DEGREES
0.1	1	091	DEGREES
0.1	1	099	DEGREES
1.4	26	100	DEGREES
	52	998	DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group
	7	999	REFUSED
	0		BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)

1897 cases (59 cases missing data)

input location: 2/20-22

GP03

Feeling about whites

Whites?

<0-100> DEGREES

<998> DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group

<999> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.0	18	000	DEGREES
0.1	1	002	DEGREES
0.1	2	003	DEGREES
0.3	6	005	DEGREES
0.1	2	007	DEGREES
0.1	1	008	DEGREES
0.1	1	009	DEGREES
0.3	5	010	DEGREES
0.1	1	011	DEGREES
0.1	1	012	DEGREES
0.1	1	015	DEGREES
0.4	7	020	DEGREES
1.0	18	025	DEGREES
0.1	1	026	DEGREES
0.9	16	030	DEGREES
0.1	2	035	DEGREES
0.1	1	039	DEGREES
1.5	27	040	DEGREES
0.2	4	045	DEGREES
0.1	1	047	DEGREES
0.1	1	048	DEGREES
0.2	4	049	DEGREES
41.6	765	050	DEGREES
0.4	8	051	DEGREES
0.1	1	054	DEGREES
0.6	11	055	DEGREES
0.1	1	057	DEGREES
6.2	114	060	DEGREES
1.0	19	065	DEGREES
7.9	145	070	DEGREES
0.1	1	073	DEGREES
8.7	160	075	DEGREES
0.1	1	077	DEGREES
0.1	2	079	DEGREES
9.2	169	080	DEGREES
0.1	1	083	DEGREES
1.0	18	085	DEGREES
0.1	2	089	DEGREES
4.6	85	090	DEGREES
0.8	15	095	DEGREES
0.2	3	098	DEGREES
0.2	4	099	DEGREES

LACSS92

10.5	194	100	DEGREES
	30	998	DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group
	27	999	REFUSED
0.0	0		BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)

		1897	cases (57 cases missing data)

input location: 2/23-25

GP04

Feeling about Asians

Asians?

<0-100> DEGREES

<998> DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group

<999> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.4	43	000	DEGREES
0.1	2	001	DEGREES
0.1	1	002	DEGREES
0.1	1	003	DEGREES
0.5	9	005	DEGREES
0.1	1	008	DEGREES
0.9	17	010	DEGREES
0.1	1	011	DEGREES
0.1	1	012	DEGREES
0.2	3	015	DEGREES
0.1	1	019	DEGREES
1.5	27	020	DEGREES
1.9	34	025	DEGREES
2.4	44	030	DEGREES
0.4	7	035	DEGREES
4.6	83	040	DEGREES
0.1	2	043	DEGREES
0.6	11	045	DEGREES
0.1	2	048	DEGREES
0.4	7	049	DEGREES
39.1	706	050	DEGREES
0.3	5	051	DEGREES
0.1	1	052	DEGREES
0.1	1	053	DEGREES
0.6	10	055	DEGREES
7.4	134	060	DEGREES
1.7	30	065	DEGREES
7.4	134	070	DEGREES
0.1	1	073	DEGREES
6.9	125	075	DEGREES
0.1	1	078	DEGREES
0.1	1	079	DEGREES
7.0	127	080	DEGREES
0.1	1	084	DEGREES
0.7	13	085	DEGREES
3.7	66	090	DEGREES
0.6	11	095	DEGREES
0.1	1	097	DEGREES
0.1	2	098	DEGREES
0.2	3	099	DEGREES
7.4	134	100	DEGREES
	68	998	DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group

LACSS92

25 999 REFUSED
0 BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)

1897 cases (93 cases missing data)

input location: 2/26-28

GP05

Feeling about Hispanics

Hispanics?

<0-100> DEGREES

<998> DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group

<999> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.9	17	000	DEGREES
0.1	2	001	DEGREES
0.1	1	003	DEGREES
0.7	12	005	DEGREES
0.1	1	008	DEGREES
1.1	21	010	DEGREES
0.1	1	011	DEGREES
0.1	1	012	DEGREES
0.2	3	015	DEGREES
0.1	1	018	DEGREES
1.2	23	020	DEGREES
1.2	23	025	DEGREES
3.0	56	030	DEGREES
0.8	15	035	DEGREES
4.0	73	040	DEGREES
0.1	1	043	DEGREES
0.8	15	045	DEGREES
0.1	1	047	DEGREES
0.1	2	048	DEGREES
0.3	5	049	DEGREES
37.0	682	050	DEGREES
0.4	7	051	DEGREES
0.8	14	055	DEGREES
0.1	1	059	DEGREES
7.8	143	060	DEGREES
1.7	32	065	DEGREES
7.2	133	070	DEGREES
0.1	2	073	DEGREES
6.8	126	075	DEGREES
0.1	2	078	DEGREES
6.5	120	080	DEGREES
1.0	19	085	DEGREES
4.7	87	090	DEGREES
0.7	12	095	DEGREES
0.1	1	096	DEGREES
0.2	3	098	DEGREES
0.1	1	099	DEGREES
10.1	186	100	DEGREES
	27	998	DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group
	25	999	REFUSED
0.0	0		BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)

LACSS92

1897 cases (52 cases missing data)

input location: 2/29-31

GP06

Feeling about blacks

Blacks?

<0-100> DEGREES

<998> DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group

<999> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.4	26	000	DEGREES
0.1	1	001	DEGREES
0.2	3	002	DEGREES
0.1	2	003	DEGREES
0.5	9	005	DEGREES
0.1	2	006	DEGREES
0.1	1	009	DEGREES
0.8	14	010	DEGREES
0.1	1	011	DEGREES
0.1	1	012	DEGREES
0.3	5	015	DEGREES
1.7	32	020	DEGREES
1.2	23	025	DEGREES
2.4	45	030	DEGREES
0.1	1	033	DEGREES
0.5	10	035	DEGREES
0.1	2	039	DEGREES
4.9	91	040	DEGREES
0.1	1	042	DEGREES
0.9	16	045	DEGREES
0.1	2	048	DEGREES
0.4	7	049	DEGREES
38.2	707	050	DEGREES
0.4	7	051	DEGREES
0.1	1	053	DEGREES
0.8	15	055	DEGREES
0.1	1	059	DEGREES
6.7	124	060	DEGREES
1.2	22	065	DEGREES
6.6	122	070	DEGREES
0.1	1	071	DEGREES
0.1	1	073	DEGREES
6.6	123	075	DEGREES
5.8	108	080	DEGREES
0.1	1	081	DEGREES
0.1	1	082	DEGREES
1.0	19	085	DEGREES
4.2	78	090	DEGREES
0.1	1	092	DEGREES
0.9	17	095	DEGREES
0.1	1	097	DEGREES
0.1	2	098	DEGREES

LACSS92

0.2	3	099 DEGREES
10.9	202	100 DEGREES
	19	998 DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group
	26	999 REFUSED
0.0	0	BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)

1897 cases (45 cases missing data)

input location: 2/32-34

GP07

Feeling about Hisp civil rights groups

Hispanic civil rights groups?

<0-100> DEGREES

<998> DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group

<999> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.7	42	000	DEGREES
0.1	2	001	DEGREES
0.1	1	002	DEGREES
0.1	1	004	DEGREES
0.3	4	005	DEGREES
0.1	1	008	DEGREES
0.1	1	009	DEGREES
0.8	12	010	DEGREES
0.1	1	011	DEGREES
0.2	3	015	DEGREES
1.9	30	020	DEGREES
2.5	40	025	DEGREES
4.0	63	030	DEGREES
0.1	1	032	DEGREES
0.6	10	035	DEGREES
5.8	91	040	DEGREES
0.9	14	045	DEGREES
0.2	3	049	DEGREES
34.4	542	050	DEGREES
0.5	8	051	DEGREES
0.1	1	052	DEGREES
0.1	1	053	DEGREES
0.7	11	055	DEGREES
0.1	1	058	DEGREES
0.1	1	059	DEGREES
7.3	115	060	DEGREES
1.6	25	065	DEGREES
5.5	86	070	DEGREES
7.1	112	075	DEGREES
0.1	2	077	DEGREES
6.7	105	080	DEGREES
0.2	3	082	DEGREES
1.3	20	085	DEGREES
3.7	59	090	DEGREES
0.8	13	095	DEGREES
0.1	1	097	DEGREES
0.1	2	098	DEGREES
0.1	2	099	DEGREES
9.3	147	100	DEGREES
	305	998	DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group
	15	999	REFUSED
0.0	0		BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)

LACSS92

1897 cases (320 cases missing data)

input location: 2/35-37

GP08

Feeling about black civil rights groups

Black civil rights groups?

<0-100> DEGREES

<998> DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group

<999> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.9	51	000	DEGREES
0.1	2	001	DEGREES
0.1	2	004	DEGREES
0.5	8	005	DEGREES
0.2	3	008	DEGREES
0.1	1	009	DEGREES
1.0	17	010	DEGREES
0.1	1	011	DEGREES
0.3	5	015	DEGREES
2.4	42	020	DEGREES
2.3	40	025	DEGREES
4.7	82	030	DEGREES
0.9	16	035	DEGREES
6.2	109	040	DEGREES
1.0	18	045	DEGREES
0.1	2	049	DEGREES
30.6	537	050	DEGREES
0.3	5	051	DEGREES
0.1	1	052	DEGREES
0.9	15	055	DEGREES
7.5	132	060	DEGREES
1.4	25	065	DEGREES
0.1	1	067	DEGREES
6.2	108	070	DEGREES
7.4	129	075	DEGREES
6.8	119	080	DEGREES
1.1	20	085	DEGREES
0.1	1	089	DEGREES
3.6	64	090	DEGREES
0.9	16	095	DEGREES
0.1	1	097	DEGREES
0.2	3	098	DEGREES
0.1	2	099	DEGREES
10.0	176	100	DEGREES
	128	998	DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group
	15	999	REFUSED
0.0	0		BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)

1897 cases (143 cases missing data)

input location: 2/38-40

GP09

Feeling about militant Hispanics

Militant Hispanics?

<0-100> DEGREES

<998> DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group

<999> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
14.3	215	000	DEGREES
0.5	7	001	DEGREES
0.3	4	002	DEGREES
0.2	3	003	DEGREES
1.5	22	005	DEGREES
0.1	1	007	DEGREES
0.2	3	008	DEGREES
0.1	1	009	DEGREES
5.6	85	010	DEGREES
0.1	1	011	DEGREES
0.6	9	015	DEGREES
0.1	1	019	DEGREES
5.8	88	020	DEGREES
0.1	1	023	DEGREES
5.2	78	025	DEGREES
7.9	119	030	DEGREES
0.9	13	035	DEGREES
7.2	108	040	DEGREES
0.7	10	045	DEGREES
0.1	2	048	DEGREES
0.5	7	049	DEGREES
25.1	379	050	DEGREES
0.2	3	051	DEGREES
0.7	10	055	DEGREES
5.4	81	060	DEGREES
1.5	22	065	DEGREES
2.5	38	070	DEGREES
2.3	35	075	DEGREES
3.1	46	080	DEGREES
0.1	1	081	DEGREES
0.5	7	085	DEGREES
0.1	1	089	DEGREES
1.7	26	090	DEGREES
0.3	4	095	DEGREES
0.1	1	099	DEGREES
5.0	76	100	DEGREES
	368	998	DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group
	21	999	REFUSED
	0		BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)

	1897		cases (389 cases missing data)

LACSS92

input location: 2/41-43

GP10

Feeling about militant blacks

Militant blacks?

<0-100> DEGREES

<998> DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group

<999> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
15.2	242	000	DEGREES
0.4	7	001	DEGREES
0.3	5	002	DEGREES
0.3	5	003	DEGREES
0.1	2	004	DEGREES
1.6	25	005	DEGREES
0.1	1	006	DEGREES
0.3	4	008	DEGREES
0.2	3	009	DEGREES
5.3	84	010	DEGREES
0.1	1	011	DEGREES
0.1	1	012	DEGREES
0.1	1	014	DEGREES
0.6	9	015	DEGREES
0.1	1	019	DEGREES
5.9	94	020	DEGREES
0.1	1	023	DEGREES
5.1	81	025	DEGREES
8.2	130	030	DEGREES
0.9	15	035	DEGREES
0.1	1	038	DEGREES
0.1	2	039	DEGREES
7.3	116	040	DEGREES
0.8	12	045	DEGREES
0.1	1	047	DEGREES
0.4	6	049	DEGREES
23.6	376	050	DEGREES
0.2	3	051	DEGREES
0.1	1	053	DEGREES
0.9	14	055	DEGREES
0.1	1	056	DEGREES
4.9	78	060	DEGREES
1.3	21	065	DEGREES
2.5	40	070	DEGREES
0.1	1	072	DEGREES
2.7	43	075	DEGREES
0.1	1	078	DEGREES
2.8	45	080	DEGREES
0.6	10	085	DEGREES
1.6	26	090	DEGREES
0.1	1	092	DEGREES
0.1	1	093	DEGREES
0.3	4	095	DEGREES

LACSS92

0.1	2	099 DEGREES
4.8	76	100 DEGREES
	286	998 DON'T KNOW/don't recognize group
	17	999 REFUSED
0.0	0	BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)

1897 cases (303 cases missing data)

input location: 2/44-46

SOCIAL DISTANCE SECTION

white	black	Asian	Hispanic
SCD1	SC21	SC31	SC41
SCD2	SC22	SC32	SC42
SCD3	SC23	SC33	SC43
SCD4	SC24	SC34	SC44
SCD5	SC25	SC35	SC45
SCD6	SC26	SC36	SC46

SOCD Intro social contacts ethnic groups

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å
 Õif DM12 gt <4> goto PA05å Õ# Amer native/Alaskan native skip å
 Now I'm going to ask you about different types of contact with
 various groups of people. In each situation would you please
 tell me whether you would be very much in favor of it happening,
 somewhat in favor, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening,
 somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<g> PROCEED TO THE NEXT SCREEN

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

			1897 cases

input location: 5/9

SCDT Test ethnic for proper segment to ask

```

      Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å
Õif DM12 eq <1> goto SCD1å Õ# route whites å
Õif DM12 eq <3> goto SC21å Õ# route blacks å
Õif DM12 eq <2> goto SC41å Õ# route Hispanic å
Õif DM12 eq <4> goto SC31å Õ# route Asian å
Õgoto PA05å
    
```

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

	1897	cases	

input location: 5/9

SCD1 Whites - live half black neighborhood

How about living in a neighborhood where half of your neighbors would be black? Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

- <1> very much in favor
- <2> somewhat in favor
- <3> neither in favor nor opposed
- <4> somewhat opposed
- <5> very much opposed

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1272	-1	
7.0	43	1	very much in favor
12.7	78	2	somewhat in favor
46.0	282	3	neither in favor nor opposed
22.7	139	4	somewhat opposed
11.6	71	5	very much opposed
	7	8	DON'T KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1284 cases missing data)

input location: 2/47

SCD2

Whites - live half Asian neighborhood

How about living in a neighborhood where half of your neighbors would be Asian-American?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1272	-1	
9.1	56	1	very much in favor
19.1	118	2	somewhat in favor
49.2	304	3	neither in favor nor opposed
16.2	100	4	somewhat opposed
6.5	40	5	very much opposed
	3	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1279 cases missing data)

input location: 2/48

SCD3

Whites - live half Hispan neighborhood

How about living in a neighborhood where half of your neighbors would be Hispanic-American?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1272	-1	
6.9	43	1	very much in favor
20.2	125	2	somewhat in favor
47.3	293	3	neither in favor nor opposed
19.4	120	4	somewhat opposed
6.1	38	5	very much opposed
	3	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1278 cases missing data)

input location: 2/49

SCD4

Whites - close relative marry a black

What about having a close relative or family member marrying a black person?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1272	-1	
9.7	60	1	very much in favor
15.3	94	2	somewhat in favor
42.2	260	3	neither in favor nor opposed
14.1	87	4	somewhat opposed
18.7	115	5	very much opposed
	5	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1281 cases missing data)

input location: 2/50

SCD5

Whites - close relative marry an Asian

What about marrying an Asian-American person?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1272	-1	
11.4	70	1	very much in favor
18.2	112	2	somewhat in favor
44.6	275	3	neither in favor nor opposed
14.1	87	4	somewhat opposed
11.7	72	5	very much opposed
	5	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1281 cases missing data)

input location: 2/51

SCD6

Whites - close relative marry an Hispan

What about marrying an Hispanic-American person?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==> goto PA05a

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1272	-1	
12.1	75	1	very much in favor
21.8	135	2	somewhat in favor
46.8	290	3	neither in favor nor opposed
12.6	78	4	somewhat opposed
6.6	41	5	very much opposed
	2	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1278 cases missing data)

input location: 2/52

SC21

Blacks - live half white neighborhood

How about living in a neighborhood where half of your neighbors would be white? Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1414	-1	
23.9	115	1	very much in favor
33.9	163	2	somewhat in favor
33.9	163	3	neither in favor nor opposed
5.2	25	4	somewhat opposed
3.1	15	5	very much opposed
	1	8	DON'T KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1416 cases missing data)

input location: 2/53

SC22

Blacks - live half Asian neighborhood

How about living in a neighborhood where half of your neighbors would be Asian-American?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1414	-1	
16.5	79	1	very much in favor
29.1	139	2	somewhat in favor
35.1	168	3	neither in favor nor opposed
11.9	57	4	somewhat opposed
7.3	35	5	very much opposed
	4	8	DON'T KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1419 cases missing data)

input location: 2/54

SC23

Blacks - live half Hispan neighborhood

How about living in a neighborhood where half of your neighbors would be Hispanic?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1414	-1	
15.4	74	1	very much in favor
31.0	149	2	somewhat in favor
32.2	155	3	neither in favor nor opposed
15.4	74	4	somewhat opposed
6.0	29	5	very much opposed
	1	8	DON'T KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1416 cases missing data)

input location: 2/55

SC24

Blacks - close relative marry a white

What about having a close relative or family member marry a white person?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1414	-1	
30.8	147	1	very much in favor
26.4	126	2	somewhat in favor
33.1	158	3	neither in favor nor opposed
5.9	28	4	somewhat opposed
4.0	19	5	very much opposed
	2	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1419 cases missing data)

input location: 2/56

SC25

Blacks - close relative marry an Asian

What about marrying an Asian-American person?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1414	-1	
24.3	116	1	very much in favor
26.2	125	2	somewhat in favor
34.7	166	3	neither in favor nor opposed
7.3	35	4	somewhat opposed
7.5	36	5	very much opposed
	3	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1419 cases missing data)

input location: 2/57

SC26

Blacks - close relative marry an Hispan

What about marrying an Hispanic-American person?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==>Ogoto PA05a

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1414	-1	
24.7	119	1	very much in favor
28.1	135	2	somewhat in favor
35.3	170	3	neither in favor nor opposed
7.7	37	4	somewhat opposed
4.2	20	5	very much opposed
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1416 cases missing data)

input location: 2/58

SC31

Asians - live half black neighborhood

How about living in a neighborhood where half of your neighbors would be black? Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1613	-1	
2.5	7	1	very much in favor
14.4	40	2	somewhat in favor
36.8	102	3	neither in favor nor opposed
29.6	82	4	somewhat opposed
16.6	46	5	very much opposed
	4	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1620 cases missing data)

input location: 2/59

SC32

Asians - live half white neighborhood

How about living in a neighborhood where half of your neighbors would be white?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1613	-1	
14.2	40	1	very much in favor
40.9	115	2	somewhat in favor
38.8	109	3	neither in favor nor opposed
4.3	12	4	somewhat opposed
1.8	5	5	very much opposed
	1	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1616 cases missing data)

input location: 2/60

SC33

Asians - live half Hispan neighborhood

How about living in a neighborhood where half of your neighbors would be Hispanic-American?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1613	-1	
2.9	8	1	very much in favor
26.6	74	2	somewhat in favor
45.7	127	3	neither in favor nor opposed
17.3	48	4	somewhat opposed
7.6	21	5	very much opposed
	4	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1619 cases missing data)

input location: 2/61

SC34

Asians - close relative marry a black

What about having a close relative or family member marrying a black person?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1613	-1	
5.1	14	1	very much in favor
16.2	45	2	somewhat in favor
46.9	130	3	neither in favor nor opposed
18.4	51	4	somewhat opposed
13.4	37	5	very much opposed
	4	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1620 cases missing data)

input location: 2/62

SC35

Asians - close relative marry a white

What about marrying a white person?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1613	-1	
9.6	27	1	very much in favor
28.1	79	2	somewhat in favor
48.4	136	3	neither in favor nor opposed
11.0	31	4	somewhat opposed
2.8	8	5	very much opposed
	2	8	DON'T KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1616 cases missing data)

input location: 2/63

SC36

Asians - close relative marry an Hispan

What about marrying an Hispanic-American person?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==> goto PA05a

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1613	-1	
6.2	17	1	very much in favor
23.6	65	2	somewhat in favor
50.0	138	3	neither in favor nor opposed
13.8	38	4	somewhat opposed
6.5	18	5	very much opposed
	5	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1621 cases missing data)

input location: 2/64

SC41

Hispan - live half black neighborhood

How about living in a neighborhood where half of your neighbors would be black? Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

- <1> very much in favor
- <2> somewhat in favor
- <3> neither in favor nor opposed
- <4> somewhat opposed
- <5> very much opposed

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1420	-1	
6.6	31	1	very much in favor
20.5	97	2	somewhat in favor
40.2	190	3	neither in favor nor opposed
20.7	98	4	somewhat opposed
12.1	57	5	very much opposed
	3	8	DON'T KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1424 cases missing data)

input location: 2/65

SC42

Hispan - live half Asian neighborhood

How about living in a neighborhood where half of your neighbors would be Asian-American?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1420	-1	
9.7	46	1	very much in favor
29.4	139	2	somewhat in favor
40.3	190	3	neither in favor nor opposed
14.2	67	4	somewhat opposed
6.4	30	5	very much opposed
	5	8	DON'T KNOW

1897 cases (1425 cases missing data)

input location: 2/66

SC43

Hispan - live half white neighborhood

How about living in a neighborhood where half of your neighbors would be white?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1420	-1	
18.5	88	1	very much in favor
34.2	163	2	somewhat in favor
38.7	184	3	neither in favor nor opposed
6.3	30	4	somewhat opposed
2.3	11	5	very much opposed
	1	8	DON'T KNOW

1897 cases (1421 cases missing data)

input location: 2/67

SC44

Hispan - close relative marry a black

What about having a close relative or family member marry
a black person?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor
of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening,
neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed,
or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
<2> somewhat in favor
<3> neither in favor nor opposed
<4> somewhat opposed
<5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1420	-1	
10.1	48	1	very much in favor
22.6	107	2	somewhat in favor
41.8	198	3	neither in favor nor opposed
13.5	64	4	somewhat opposed
12.0	57	5	very much opposed
	1	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1423 cases missing data)

input location: 2/68

SC45

Hispan - close relative marry an Asian

What about marrying an Asian-American person?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1420	-1	
8.7	41	1	very much in favor
28.8	136	2	somewhat in favor
44.4	210	3	neither in favor nor opposed
11.0	52	4	somewhat opposed
7.2	34	5	very much opposed
	2	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1424 cases missing data)

input location: 2/69

SC46

Hispan - close relative marry a white

What about marrying a white person?

IF NECESSARY READ: Would you be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor of it happening, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or very much opposed to it happening?

<1> very much in favor
 <2> somewhat in favor
 <3> neither in favor nor opposed
 <4> somewhat opposed
 <5> very much opposed

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==>Ogoto PA05a

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1420	-1	
16.8	80	1	very much in favor
33.1	157	2	somewhat in favor
41.3	196	3	neither in favor nor opposed
7.2	34	4	somewhat opposed
1.7	8	5	very much opposed
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1422 cases missing data)

input location: 2/70

PA05

General political views

Next, I'd like you to think about your political views in general.
 Would you consider yourself as a...

- <1> Liberal Õgoto PA06å
 <2> Conservative Õgoto PA06å
 <3> Moderate, or Õgoto PA11å
 <4> don't you consider yourself that way? Õgoto PA11å
 <8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==> Õgoto CRM2å

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
24.9	460	1	Liberal
20.8	383	2	Conservative
27.2	501	3	Moderate, or
27.2	501	4	don't you consider yourself that way?
	45	8	DON'T KNOW
	7	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (52 cases missing data)

input location: 2/71

PA06 Very conservative or liberal

```

      Östore <> in MBUFå
Öif PA05 eq <1>å
      Östore <liberal> in MBUFå
Öendifå
Öif PA05 eq <2>å
      Östore <conservative> in MBUFå
Öendifå
Do you consider yourself...

<1> very Öfill MBUFå,
<2> slightly Öfill MBUFå, or
<3> don't you consider yourself that way?

<8> DON'T KNOW
<9> REFUSED

```

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1054	-1	
35.3	294	1	very
58.4	487	2	slightly
6.4	53	3	don't you consider yourself that way?
	9	8	DON'T KNOW

1897 cases (1063 cases missing data)

input location: 3/1

PA11 Which political party

Regardless of how you are registered, do you usually consider yourself...

- <1> Democrat, Õgoto PA12å
- <2> Republican, Õgoto PA12å
- <3> Independent, or Õgoto PA13å

4 (Specify)Õ#specifyå

- <5> something else (Socialist, Liberitarian, Progressive, Peace and Freedom, Union, Greenpeace, etc.) Õ# added for coding å
- <6> no political identity given (does not identify with any political party or group, person or issue and not the party, or no particular political party.) Õ# added for coding å
- <8> DON'T KNOW (or does not vote)
- <9> REFUSED

INTERVIEWER: RESPONDENT NEED NOT BE REGISTERED TO ANSWER.
 ===>Õgoto CRM2å

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	52	-1	
47.0	804	1	Democrat,
21.7	371	2	Republican,
27.1	463	3	Independent, or
0.9	16	5	something else (Socialist, Liberitarian, etc)
3.3	56	6	no political identity given
	101	8	DON'T KNOW (or does not vote)
	34	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (187 cases missing data)

input location: 3/2

PA12 Strong Democrat or Republican

```

  Õstore <> in MBUFå
  Õif PA11 eq <1>å
    Õstore <Democrat> in MBUFå
  Õendifå
  Õif PA11 eq <2>å
    Õstore <Republican> in MBUFå
  Õendifå

```

Do you consider yourself a strong Õfill MBUFå or not a strong Õfill MBUFå?

```

<1>  STRONG
<5>  NOT STRONG

<8>  DON'T KNOW
<9>  REFUSED

```

==>Õgoto CRM2å

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	722	-1	
43.9	507	1	STRONG
56.1	649	5	NOT STRONG
	17	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (741 cases missing data)

input location: 3/3

PA13

If Moderate, close to Democats or Repub

Do you feel closer to the Democrats or the Republicans?

- <1> DEMOCRATS
- <5> REPUBLICANS
- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1434	-1	
55.4	164	1	DEMOCRATS
44.6	132	5	REPUBLICANS
	147	8	DON'T KNOW
	20	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1601 cases missing data)

input location: 3/4

CRM2

How likely HH member victim of crime

Now, I'd like to ask you about crime and our system of justice.

How likely do you believe it is that you or any members of your household will be the victim of a crime in the next 12 months? Would you say...

- <1> very likely,
- <2> somewhat likely,
- <3> somewhat unlikely, or
- <4> very unlikely?
- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
16.9	315	1	very likely,
38.1	709	2	somewhat likely,
25.1	466	3	somewhat unlikely, or
19.9	370	4	very unlikely?
	31	8	DON'T KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (37 cases missing data)

input location: 3/5

CRM3

Favor or oppose death penalty

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder? Would you ...

<1> strongly favor,
 <2> somewhat favor,
 <3> somewhat oppose, or
 <4> strongly oppose?

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
41.2	757	1	strongly favor,
30.5	561	2	somewhat favor,
13.8	253	3	somewhat oppose, or
14.5	266	4	strongly oppose?
	40	8	DON'T KNOW
	20	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (60 cases missing data)

input location: 3/6

BSN5 Good or bad time for major purchase

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days.

Considering your financial condition and the state of the economy, do you think this is a good time or a bad time to make major purchases. That is, to buy things that require down payments and loans?

<1> GOOD TIME
 <5> BAD TIME

 <8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
22.9	424	1	GOOD TIME
77.1	1428	5	BAD TIME
	36	8	DON'T KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (45 cases missing data)

input location: 3/7

 LJ1 How worried about losing job next 6 mo.

How worried are you or any adult in your household about losing a job in the next six months? Would you say...

<1> a lot,
 <2> somewhat, or
 <3> not much at all?

 <8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
25.0	460	1	a lot,
22.0	404	2	somewhat, or
53.0	976	3	not much at all?
	46	8	DON'T KNOW
	11	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (57 cases missing data)

input location: 3/8

MRS1 Marital status

Now I'd like to ask some questions about yourself. Are you currently ...

- <1> married, Ögogo DM02å
- <2> widowed,
- <3> divorced,
- <4> separated, or
- <5> have you never been married?

<9> REFUSED Ögogo DM02å

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
46.0	868	1	married,
7.2	136	2	widowed,
13.0	245	3	divorced,
3.7	70	4	separated, or
30.1	569	5	have you never been married?
	9	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (9 cases missing data)

input location: 3/9

MR1A If not married, living with someone

Are you currently living with someone of the opposite sex as if married?

- <1> YES
- <5> NO

<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	877	-1	
10.6	107	1	YES
89.4	906	5	NO
	7	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (884 cases missing data)

input location: 3/10

DM02

Were you born in the U.S.

Were you born in the United States?

<1> YES Õgoto SBIRå

<5> NO Õgoto CBIRå

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

===>Õgoto WRK1å

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
66.2	1255	1	YES
33.8	642	5	NO

1897 cases

input location: 3/11

LACSS92

SBIR

If born U.S., which state born in

What state were you born in?

<141>	ALABAMA	<102>	MAINE	<172>	OREGON
<180>	ALASKA	<152>	MARYLAND	<114>	PENNSYLVANIA
<161>	ARIZONA	<103>	MASSACHUSETTS	<182>	PUERTO RICO
<142>	ARKANSAS	<123>	MICHIGAN	<105>	RHODE ISLAND
<171>	CALIFORNIA	<133>	MINNESOTA	<148>	S. CAROLINA
<162>	COLORADO	<146>	MISSISSIPPI	<137>	SOUTH DAKOTA
<101>	CONNECTICUT	<134>	MISSOURI	<154>	TENNESSEE
<106>	DELAWARE	<164>	MONTANA	<149>	TEXAS
<143>	FLORIDA	<135>	NEBRASKA	<167>	UTAH
<144>	GEORGIA	<165>	NEVADA	<107>	VERMONT
<181>	HAWAII	<104>	NEW HAMPSHIRE	<140>	VIRGINIA
<163>	IDAHO	<112>	NEW JERSEY	<173>	WASHINGTON
<121>	ILLINOIS	<166>	NEW MEXICO	<155>	WASHINGTON, D.C.
<122>	INDIANA	<113>	NEW YORK	<156>	WEST VIRGINIA
<131>	IOWA	<147>	NORTH CAROLINA	<125>	WISCONSIN
<132>	KANSAS	<136>	NORTH DAKOTA	<168>	WYOMING
<151>	KENTUCKY	<124>	OHIO	<998>	DON'T KNOW
<145>	LOUISIANA	<153>	OKLAHOMA	<999>	REFUSED
<997>	U.S. TERRITORIES (SUCH AS: GUAM, VIRGIN ISANDS, PUERTO RICO, ETC.)				
===>Ögoto ANCa					

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.3	4	101	CONNECTICUT
0.3	4	102	MAINE
0.9	11	103	MASSACHUSETTS
0.1	1	105	RHODE ISLAND
0.2	2	106	DELAWARE
0.1	1	107	VERMONT
1.4	17	112	NEW JERSEY
3.9	49	113	NEW YORK
2.4	30	114	PENNSYLVANIA
4.0	50	121	ILLINOIS
1.0	12	122	INDIANA
1.2	15	123	MICHIGAN
1.6	20	124	OHIO
0.7	9	125	WISCONSIN
0.8	10	131	IOWA
0.7	9	132	KANSAS
0.8	10	133	MINNESOTA
1.2	15	134	MISSOURI
0.9	11	135	NEBRASKA
0.2	2	136	NORTH DAKOTA
0.6	8	140	VIRGINIA
1.9	24	141	ALABAMA
2.1	26	142	ARKANSAS
0.9	11	143	FLORIDA
0.5	6	144	GEORGIA
4.5	56	145	LOUISIANA
1.8	22	146	MISSISSIPPI
0.2	3	147	NORTH CAROLINA
0.4	5	148	S. CAROLINA

LACSS92

4.9	61	149	TEXAS
0.6	7	151	KENTUCKY
0.4	5	152	MARYLAND
1.8	23	153	OKLAHOMA
1.1	14	154	TENNESSEE
0.2	3	155	WASHINGTON, D.C.
0.4	5	156	WEST VIRGINIA
1.0	12	161	ARIZONA
1.3	16	162	COLORADO
0.3	4	163	IDAHO
0.2	2	164	MONTANA
0.2	2	165	NEVADA
0.6	7	166	NEW MEXICO
0.4	5	167	UTAH
0.1	1	168	WYOMING
47.5	591	171	CALIFORNIA
0.2	3	172	OREGON
1.3	16	173	WASHINGTON
0.1	1	180	ALASKA
1.7	21	181	HAWAII
0.2	2	182	PUERTO RICO
0.1	1	997	U.S.TERRITORIES (GUAM, VIRGIN ISLANDS, PUERTO RICO, ETC.)
	10	999	REFUSED
	642		BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)

			1897 cases (652 cases missing data)

input location: 3/12-14

LACSS92

CBIR

If not born U.S., which country born in

What foreign country were you born in?

<704> AUSTRALIA	<333> HUNGARY	<337> RUSSIA (USSR)
<329> BULGARIA	<404> INDIA	<303> SCOTLAND
<207> CANADA	<502> IRAN	<655> SOUTH AFRICA
<201> CENTRAL AMERICA	<302> IRELAND	<202> SOUTH AMERICA
<431> CHINA	<504> ISRAEL	<353> SPAIN
<232> CUBA	<351> ITALY	<508> SYRIA
<331> CZECHOSLOVAKIA	<451> JAPAN	<432> TAIWAN
<324> DENMARK	<452> LATVIA	<335> TANZANIA
<501> EGYPT	<506> LEBANON	<503> TURKEY
<301> ENGLAND	<219> MEXICO	<203> WEST INDIES
<332> ESTONIA	<317> NETHERLANDS	<348> YUGOSLAVIA
<460> FIJI ISLANDS	<200> NORTH AMERICA	<322> SWEDEN
<312> FRANCE	<323> NORWAY	<434> VIETNAM
<314> GERMANY (EAST)	<405> PAKISTAN	<435> KOREA
<315> GERMANY (WEST)	<429> PHILLIPINES	<436> THAILAND
<346> GREECE	<336> POLAND	<437> CAMBODIA
<433> HONG KONG	<330> ROMANIA	<465> SAMOA
<466>INDONESIA/MALAYSIA/BURMA/LAOS	<509> JORDON	<510> SAUDI ARABIA
<654>AFRICA (EXCLUDING SOUTH AFRICA)	<998>OTHER	<999>REFUSED/DON'T KNOW

x (Specify)Ö#specifyå ===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
17.8	114	201	CENTRAL AMERICA
3.6	23	202	SOUTH AMERICA
2.3	15	203	WEST INDIES
2.3	15	207	CANADA
32.0	205	219	MEXICO
0.9	6	232	CUBA
0.6	4	301	ENGLAND
0.3	2	302	IRELAND
0.5	3	303	SCOTLAND
0.5	3	312	FRANCE
0.8	5	315	GERMANY (WEST)
0.6	4	317	NETHERLANDS
0.2	1	322	SWEDEN
0.2	1	330	ROMANIA
0.2	1	331	CZECHOSLOVAKIA
0.3	2	333	HUNGARY
0.8	5	336	POLAND
0.8	5	337	RUSSIA (USSR)
0.5	3	346	GREECE
0.2	1	348	YUGOSLAVIA
0.3	2	351	ITALY
0.5	3	353	SPAIN
0.6	4	404	INDIA
0.2	1	405	PAKISTAN
6.6	42	429	PHILLIPINES
3.4	22	431	CHINA
4.1	26	432	TAIWAN
3.8	24	433	HONG KONG
3.3	21	434	VIETNAM

LACSS92

```

2.2    14    435 KOREA
0.3     2    436 THAILAND
2.8    18    451 JAPAN
0.3     2    465 SAMOA
2.0    13    466 INDONESIA/MALAYSIA/BURMA/LAOS
0.5     3    501 EGYPT
1.9    12    502 IRAN
0.3     2    504 ISRAEL
0.2     1    506 LEBANON
0.3     2    508 SYRIA
0.3     2    509 JORDON
0.2     1    510 SAUDI ARABIA
0.6     4    654 AFRICA(EXCLUDING SOUTH AFRICA)
0.2     1    655 SOUTH AFRICA
        2    999 REFUSED/DON'T KNOW
1255          BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)
-----
1897 cases (1257 cases missing data)

```

input location: 3/15-17

DM4A

If not born U.S., how long in U.S.

About how many years have you lived in the United States?

- <1> LESS THAN 1 YEAR
- <2> 1-4 YEARS
- <3> 5-9 YEARS
- <4> 10-20 YEARS
- <5> MORE THAN 20 YEARS

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>Ogoto WRK1a

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1255	-1	
1.7	11	1	LESS THAN 1 YEAR
12.7	81	2	1-4 YEARS
19.9	127	3	5-9 YEARS
41.2	263	4	10-20 YEARS
24.5	156	5	MORE THAN 20 YEARS
	1	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1259 cases missing data)

input location: 3/18

ANC

If born U.S., what is ethnic heritage

In addition to being American, what do you consider to be your main ethnic heritage? MAIN ETHNIC HERITAGE = WHERE DID MOST OF RESPONDENT'S ANCESTORS COME FROM:

<83> AFRICAN	<28> FRENCH	<48> POLISH
<1> AMERICAN INDIAN	<29> GERMAN	<61> PORTUGUESE
<34> AUSTRALIAN	<55> GREEK	<12> PUERTO RICAN
<26> AUSTRIAN	<45> HUNGARIAN	<56> RUMANIAN
<27> BELGIAN	<67> INDIAN	<49> RUSSIAN
<2> CANADIAN	<74> IRAQI	<79> SAUDI ARABIAN
<5> CENTRAL AMERICAN	<75> IRANIAN	<40> SCANDINAVIAN
<69> CHINESE	<19> IRISH	<20> SCOTTISH
<8> CUBAN	<60> ITALIAN	<16> S.AMERICAN
<43> CZECHOSLOVAKIA	<70> JAPANESE	<68> S.EAST ASIAN
<35> DANISH	<71> KOREAN	<62> SPANISH
<31> DUTCH	<46> LATVIAN	<38> SWEDISH
<51> EAST EUROPEAN	<78> LEBANESE	<32> SWISS
<73> EGYPTIAN	<47> LITHUANIAN	<80> SYRIAN
<18> ENGLISH/BRITISH	<4> MEXICAN	<81> TURKISH
<64> EUROPEAN	<65> MIDDLE EASTERN/JEWISH	<9> VIRGIN ISLANDS
<72> FILIPINO	<39> NORTH EUROPEAN	<21> WELSH
<36> FINNISH	<37> NORWEGIAN	<33> WEST EUROPEAN
<14>WEST INDIES	<66>PACIFIC ISLANDER	<98>OTHER
<99>REFUSED/DON'T KNOW/AMERICAN		x (Specify) Õ#specifyå

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	642	-1	
3.8	45	1	AMERICAN INDIAN
0.2	2	2	CANADIAN
10.8	127	4	MEXICAN
0.4	5	5	CENTRAL AMERICAN
0.2	2	8	CUBAN
0.1	1	9	VIRGIN ISLANDS
0.3	3	12	PUERTO RICAN
0.8	9	14	WEST INDIES
0.5	6	16	S.AMERICAN
6.1	71	18	ENGLISH/BRITISH
6.5	76	19	IRISH
2.7	32	20	SCOTTISH
0.3	4	21	WELSH
0.2	2	26	AUSTRIAN
2.1	25	28	FRENCH
7.6	89	29	GERMAN
0.8	9	31	DUTCH
0.3	3	32	SWISS
0.1	1	33	WEST EUROPEAN
0.2	2	34	AUSTRALIAN
0.3	4	35	DANISH
1.0	12	37	NORWEGIAN
1.0	12	38	SWEDISH
0.3	4	40	SCANDINAVIAN

LACSS92

0.3	4	43	CZECHOSLOVAKIA
0.4	5	45	HUNGARIAN
0.2	2	46	LATVIAN
0.2	2	47	LITHUANIAN
1.3	15	48	POLISH
1.8	21	49	RUSSIAN
0.5	6	51	EAST EUROPEAN
0.1	1	55	GREEK
4.1	48	60	ITALIAN
2.0	23	62	SPANISH
3.8	45	64	EUROPEAN
0.8	9	65	MIDDLE EASTERN/JEWISH
0.5	6	66	PACIFIC ISLANDER
1.4	16	67	INDIAN
1.4	16	69	CHINESE
4.9	57	70	JAPANESE
0.6	7	72	FILIPINO
0.2	2	73	EGYPTIAN
0.1	1	80	SYRIAN
28.9	339	83	AFRICAN
	1	98	OTHER
	83	99	REFUSED/DON'T KNOW/AMERICAN

1897 cases (726 cases missing data)

input location: 3/19-20

WRK1 Respondent's employment status

Last week were you working full time, part time, going to school, keeping house, or something else?

- <1> WORKING FULL TIME
- <2> WORKING PART TIME
- <3> WITH A JOB, BUT NOT AT WORK (TEMPORARY ILLNESS, VACATION, STRIKE)
- <4> UNEMPLOYED, LAID OFF, LOOKING FOR WORK
- <5> RETIRED/DISABLED
- <6> GOING TO SCHOOL (FULL TIME STUDENT)
- <7> KEEPING HOUSE
- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====> MRST

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
49.8	932	1	WORKING FULL TIME
11.7	220	2	WORKING PART TIME
1.8	33	3	WITH A JOB, BUT NOT AT WORK (TEMPORARY ILLNESS, VA
3.9	73	4	UNEMPLOYED, LAID OFF, LOOKING FOR WORK
10.7	200	5	RETIRED/DISABLED
7.4	139	6	GOING TO SCHOOL (FULL TIME STUDENT)
14.7	276	7	KEEPING HOUSE
	21	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (24 cases missing data)

input location: 3/21

WRK2 Respondent's occupation

What type of work do you do? What do you actually do on that job?

RECORD SELF-EMPLOYMENT. ASK DUTIES.
(Specify)<1-999>
====> #specify

For percentage and frequency distribution refer to Appendix A.

1897 cases (726 cases missing data)

input location: 3/22-24

WRK3 Respondent's industry

What sort of industry or business do you work in? What kind of product is produced, or service provided?

(Specify) <1-999>

====> Õ#specifyå

For percentage and frequency distribution refer to Appendix A.

1897 cases (712 cases missing data)

input location: 3/25-27

LZ1 Work in work group or alone

At your place of employment, do you have a work group, such as a section, unit or department, or do you work alone?

<1> GROUP Õgoto LZ2å

<5> ALONE OR SELF-EMPLOYED

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

====> Õgoto Z24Aå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	712	-1	
68.6	805	1	GROUP
31.4	369	5	ALONE OR SELF-EMPLOYED
	8	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (723 cases missing data)

input location: 3/28

LZ2

Ethnicity of work group

What is the main ethnic or racial group in your immediate work group at your current employer?

- <1> WHITE
- <2> BLACK
- <3> HISPANIC
- <4> ASIAN

5 (Specify) Õ#specifyå

- <6> EQUALLY MIXED (VARIOUS COMBINATIONS OF ETHNIC GROUPS)
Õ# added for coding å

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1092	-1	
38.8	303	1	WHITE
10.9	85	2	BLACK
24.6	192	3	HISPANIC
9.4	73	4	ASIAN
16.3	127	6	EQUALLY MIXED (VARIOUS COMBINATIONS OF ETHNIC GROU
	22	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1117 cases missing data)

input location: 3/29

LZ3 Is ethnicity of work group changing

Is the ethnic or racial group of your work group at your current employer changing?

<1> YES Ögoto LZ4å
<5> NO

<8> DON'T KNOW
<9> REFUSED

====> Ögoto Z24Aå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1092	-1	
25.7	202	1	YES
74.3	585	5	NO
	16	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1110 cases missing data)

input location: 3/30

LZ4

How is work group ethnicity changing

What is the main ethnic or racial change in your work group?

- <1> MORE BLACK
- <2> MORE HISPANIC
- <3> MORE ASIAN
- <4> MORE WHITE

5 (Specify) Õ#specifyå

<6> EQUALLY MIXED (VARIOUS COMBINATIONS OF ETHNIC GROUPS)

Õ# added for coding å

<8> DON'T KNOW Õgoto Z24Aå

<9> REFUSED Õgoto Z24Aå

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1695	-1	
18.4	36	1	MORE BLACK
33.7	66	2	MORE HISPANIC
22.4	44	3	MORE ASIAN
10.2	20	4	MORE WHITE
15.3	30	6	EQUALLY MIXED (VARIOUS COMBINATIONS OF ETHNIC GROU
	5	8	DON'T KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1701 cases missing data)

input location: 3/31

LZ5A

Is this ethnic change good or bad

Is this change generally good or bad for your work group?

<1> GOOD

<5> BAD

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1701	-1	
81.6	129	1	GOOD
18.4	29	5	BAD
	32	8	DON'T KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1739 cases missing data)

input location: 3/32

LZ6

Is there language barrier with workers

If there are any problems at all with this change, do you experience difficulty in communication with co-workers because of language barriers?

<1> YES

<5> NO

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1701	-1	
25.6	50	1	YES
74.4	145	5	NO
	1	8	DON'T KNOW

1897 cases (1702 cases missing data)

input location: 3/33

LACSS92

Z24A Do you belong to a union

Do you belong to a union?

<1> YES

<5> NO

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	712	-1	
19.9	235	1	YES
80.1	943	5	NO
	5	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (719 cases missing data)

input location: 3/34

MRST Test marital status

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å
Õif MRS1 eq <1> goto MRS2å
Õif MR1A eq <1> goto MRS2å
Õgoto BALTå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

1897 cases

input location: 5/9

MRS2

Spouse employment status

```

  Õstore <> in EBUFå
  Õif MR1A eq <1>å Õstore <partner> in EBUFå Õendifå
  Õif MRS1 eq <1>å
    Õif RSEX eq <1>å Õstore <wife> in EBUFå
    ÕelseåÕif RSEX eq <5>åÕstore <husband> in EBUFå
    ÕelseåÕstore <partner> in EBUFåÕendifåÕendifåÕendifå
  Last week was your Õfill EBUFå working full time, part
  time, going to school, keeping house or something else?

```

```

<1> WORKING FULL TIME
<2> WORKING PART TIME
<3> WITH A JOB, BUT NOT AT WORK (TEMPORARY ILLNESS, VACATION,
    STRIKE)
<4> UNEMPLOYED, LAID OFF, LOOKING FOR WORK
<5> RETIRED/DISABLED
<6> GOING TO SCHOOL (FULL TIME STUDENT)
<7> KEEPING HOUSE
<8> DON'T KNOW/OTHER
<9> REFUSED
===>

```

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	922	-1	
56.3	530	1	WORKING FULL TIME
8.7	82	2	WORKING PART TIME
1.1	10	3	WITH A JOB, BUT NOT AT WORK (TEMPORARY ILLNESS, VA
2.7	25	4	UNEMPLOYED, LAID OFF, LOOKING FOR WORK
9.8	92	5	RETIRED/DISABLED
2.9	27	6	GOING TO SCHOOL (FULL TIME STUDENT)
18.6	175	7	KEEPING HOUSE
	27	8	DON'T KNOW/OTHER
	7	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (956 cases missing data)

input location: 3/35

SPLIT BALLOT SECTION

0	1	2
AAMN	BACC	HAMN
ABIE	BJTB	HBIE
APRE	BJTH	HPRE
ABUS	BJTA	HBUS
ACOU	BHPB	HCOU
AIC1	BHPH	HIC1
AIC2	BHPA	HIC2
AIC3	BSOB	HIC3
AIC4	BSOH	HIC4
AIC5	BOSA	HIC5
AIC6	BIF1	HIC6
AIC7	BIF2	HIC7
AIF1	BIF3	HIF1
AIF2	BIF4	HIF2
ASR1	BSR1	HSR1
ASR2	BSR2	HSR2
ASR3	BSR3	HSR3
AZS1	BZS1	HZS1
AZS2	BZS2	HZS2
AZS3	BZS3	HZS3
AZS4	BZS4	HZS4

BALT

Test on random number to select ballot

```

      Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å
Õif RNDM eq <0> goto AAMNå      Õ# SPLIT BALLOTS BRANCHED TOå
Õif RNDM eq <1> goto BAACå
Õif RNDM eq <2> goto HAMNå

```

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

1897 cases

input location: 5/9

AAMN

Amnesty for illegal immigrants

Now I have several questions concerning how government should deal with immigration to the U.S. Please tell me whether you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose each policy.

First, an amnesty program, so illegal immigrants who are presently working in the U.S. can remain here legally?

- <1> strongly favor
- <2> favor
- <3> neither favor nor oppose
- <4> oppose
- <5> strongly oppose

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
15.3	188	1	strongly favor
39.3	481	2	favor
14.4	176	3	neither favor nor oppose
20.5	251	4	oppose
10.5	129	5	strongly oppose
	15	8	DON'T KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (672 cases missing data)

input location: 4/20

ABIE

Bilingual education for immigrants

Bilingual education programs. In other words, teaching immigrant students in their own language as well as English? Please tell me whether you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose this statement.

<1> strongly favor
 <2> favor
 <3> neither favor nor oppose
 <4> oppose
 <5> strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
21.7	269	1	strongly favor
40.0	495	2	favor
7.5	93	3	neither favor nor oppose
19.0	235	4	oppose
11.8	146	5	strongly oppose
	6	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (659 cases missing data)

input location: 4/21

APRE

Spending to prevent illegal immigration

Increasing the amount of money spent in order to prevent illegal immigration?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose this statement.

<1> strongly favor
 <2> favor
 <3> neither favor nor oppose
 <4> oppose
 <5> strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
19.0	229	1	strongly favor
39.1	472	2	favor
16.9	204	3	neither favor nor oppose
19.0	230	4	oppose
6.0	73	5	strongly oppose
	29	8	DON'T KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (689 cases missing data)

input location: 4/22

ABUS

Immigrant business owners hire American

Requiring that new immigrants who own businesses hire as many American citizens as they do members of their own group?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose this statement.

<1> strongly favor
 <2> favor
 <3> neither favor nor oppose
 <4> oppose
 <5> strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
21.8	267	1	strongly favor
43.0	525	2	favor
15.7	192	3	neither favor nor oppose
15.2	186	4	oppose
4.3	52	5	strongly oppose
	15	8	DON'T KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (675 cases missing data)

input location: 4/23

ACOU Reduce number of legal immigrants

Reducing the number of immigrants allowed to enter the
country legally each year?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly favor, favor, neither favor
nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose this statement.

<1> strongly favor
<2> favor
<3> neither favor nor oppose
<4> oppose
<5> strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW
<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
21.8	264	1	strongly favor
34.6	419	2	favor
18.7	226	3	neither favor nor oppose
20.2	244	4	oppose
4.7	57	5	strongly oppose
	27	8	DON'T KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (687 cases missing data)

input location: 4/24

AIC1 Economic growth due to immigrants in CA

Now I am going to read a list of possible results from the increase in the number of immigrants in California. For each of these items, please tell me how likely it is to happen.

What's the likelihood of higher economic growth occurring as a result of the increase in the number of immigrants in California--very likely, somewhat likely, not too likely, or not at all likely?

<1> very likely
 <2> somewhat likely
 <3> not too likely
 <4> not at all likely

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
19.5	232	1	very likely
37.7	449	2	somewhat likely
30.1	358	3	not too likely
12.7	151	4	not at all likely
	50	8	DON'T KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (707 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/25

AIC2

Lower qual education due to immigrants

What's the likelihood of a lower quality of education in the public schools occurring as a result of the increase in the number of immigrants in California--very likely, somewhat likely, not too likely, or not at all likely?

<1> very likely
 <2> somewhat likely
 <3> not too likely
 <4> not at all likely

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
33.0	397	1	very likely
36.0	433	2	somewhat likely
22.3	268	3	not too likely
8.7	104	4	not at all likely
	34	8	DON'T KNOW
	10	9	REFUSED

	1897	cases	(695 cases missing data)

input location: 4/26

AIC3

English as common language reduced

What's the likelihood of the place of English as our common language being endangered occurring as a result of the increase in the number of immigrants in California?

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATEGORIES

<1> very likely
 <2> somewhat likely
 <3> not too likely
 <4> not at all likely

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
25.2	308	1	very likely
26.7	326	2	somewhat likely
26.7	327	3	not too likely
21.4	262	4	not at all likely
	20	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (674 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/27

AIC4

Culture enriched by immigrants

What's the likelihood of our culture being enriched by providing new ideas and customs as a result of the increase in the number of immigrants in California?

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATEGORIES

<1> very likely
 <2> somewhat likely
 <3> not too likely
 <4> not at all likely

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
31.9	385	1	very likely
43.9	529	2	somewhat likely
17.9	216	3	not too likely
6.3	76	4	not at all likely
	31	8	DON'T KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (691 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/28

AIC5

Increased crime due to immigrants

What's the likelihood of an increase in crime occurring as a result of the increase of immigrants in California?

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATEGORIES

<1> very likely
 <2> somewhat likely
 <3> not too likely
 <4> not at all likely

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
41.3	501	1	very likely
37.1	450	2	somewhat likely
16.6	202	3	not too likely
5.0	61	4	not at all likely
	24	8	DON'T KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (683 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/29

AIC6

Higher taxes due to immigrants

What's the likelihood of higher taxes due to more demands for public services occurring as a result of the increase in the number of immigrants in California?

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATEGORIES

<1> very likely
 <2> somewhat likely
 <3> not too likely
 <4> not at all likely

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
53.5	649	1	very likely
31.4	380	2	somewhat likely
11.9	144	3	not too likely
3.2	39	4	not at all likely
	29	8	DON'T KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (685 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/30

AIC7

Increase job demand due to immigrants

What's the likelihood of increasing the number of people anxious to work hard occurring as result of the increase in the number of immigrants in California?

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATEGORIES

<1> very likely
 <2> somewhat likely
 <3> not too likely
 <4> not at all likely

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
34.9	417	1	very likely
40.7	486	2	somewhat likely
18.9	226	3	not too likely
5.5	66	4	not at all likely
	38	8	DON'T KNOW
	13	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (702 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/31

AIF1 Asians should adopt English language

Now, I have some questions specifically about Asian-Americans.

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

Basic communication between people in the U.S. will become harder if new Asian immigrants don't adopt English as a common language.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
29.8	183	1	strongly agree
47.5	292	2	agree
10.1	62	3	neither agree nor disagree
10.1	62	4	disagree
2.6	16	5	strongly disagree
	8	8	DON'T KNOW

1897 cases (1282 cases missing data)

input location: 4/32

AIF2

Asians strengthen work Amer. force

New Asian immigration strengthens the American work force.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
7.8	47	1	strongly agree
38.4	231	2	agree
18.9	114	3	neither agree nor disagree
28.7	173	4	disagree
6.1	37	5	strongly disagree
	19	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1295 cases missing data)

input location: 4/33

ASR1 Asians don't need welfare

Most Asians who receive money from welfare programs could get along without if they tried.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
12.4	70	1	strongly agree
39.2	221	2	agree
16.7	94	3	neither agree nor disagree
27.3	154	4	disagree
4.4	25	5	strongly disagree
	51	8	DON'T KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1333 cases missing data)

input location: 4/34

ASR2

Govt pays less attention to Asians

Government officials usually pay less attention to a request or complaint from an Asian person than from a white person.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
7.2	39	1	strongly agree
33.6	183	2	agree
19.4	106	3	neither agree nor disagree
31.9	174	4	disagree
7.9	43	5	strongly disagree
	72	8	DON'T KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1352 cases missing data)

input location: 4/35

ASR3

Asians should progress without favor

Irish, Italian, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Asians should do the same without any special favors.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
16.0	97	1	strongly agree
58.6	356	2	agree
9.7	59	3	neither agree nor disagree
13.3	81	4	disagree
2.5	15	5	strongly disagree
	12	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1289 cases missing data)

input location: 4/36

AZS1 Asians cause fewer jobs for others

More good jobs for Asians means fewer good jobs for members of other groups.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
8.2	50	1	strongly agree
33.9	206	2	agree
13.3	81	3	neither agree nor disagree
38.7	235	4	disagree
5.8	35	5	strongly disagree
	12	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1290 cases missing data)

input location: 4/37

AZS2

More political influence for Asians

The more influence Asians have in local politics the less influence members of other groups will have in local politics.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
6.2	37	1	strongly agree
32.7	196	2	agree
12.2	73	3	neither agree nor disagree
44.9	269	4	disagree
4.0	24	5	strongly disagree
	20	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1298 cases missing data)

input location: 4/38

AZS3

Asians affect the available housing

As more good housing and neighborhoods goes to Asians the fewer good houses and neighborhoods there will be for members of other groups.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
6.8	41	1	strongly agree
30.3	184	2	agree
12.4	75	3	neither agree nor disagree
45.8	278	4	disagree
4.8	29	5	strongly disagree
	11	8	DON'T KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1290 cases missing data)

input location: 4/39

AZS4

Asians succeed at expense of others

Many Asians have been trying to get ahead economically at the expense of members of other groups.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>Ögoto WNINå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
8.0	47	1	strongly agree
34.9	204	2	agree
11.8	69	3	neither agree nor disagree
39.7	232	4	disagree
5.6	33	5	strongly disagree
	34	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1312 cases missing data)

input location: 4/40

BAAC

Affirmative action continue higher educ

These next few questions are about affirmative action.
 How important is it that California's public colleges and universities continue affirmative action policies and programs which give qualified minority students preference to overcome past disadvantage--would you say very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not important at all?

<1> very important
 <2> somewhat important
 <3> not too important
 <4> not at all important

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
54.2	345	1	very important
29.9	190	2	somewhat important
8.5	54	3	not too important
7.4	47	4	not at all important
	14	8	DON'T KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1261 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/41

BJTB

Special job training and asst for blacks

Some people feel that because of past disadvantages there are some groups in society that should receive special job training and assistance programs. Others say that it is unfair to give these groups special training and assistance. What about you?

Do you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose special job training and assistance programs for blacks?

- <1> strongly favor
- <2> favor
- <3> neither favor nor oppose
- <4> oppose
- <5> strongly oppose

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
26.6	172	1	strongly favor
37.7	244	2	favor
19.5	126	3	neither favor nor oppose
8.8	57	4	oppose
7.4	48	5	strongly oppose
	2	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1250 cases missing data)

input location: 4/42

BJTH

Special job training and asst for Hispan

How about special job training and assistance programs for Hispanics?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Do you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose special job training and assistance programs for Hispanics?

<1> strongly favor
 <2> favor
 <3> neither favor nor oppose
 <4> oppose
 <5> strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
25.7	166	1	strongly favor
40.8	263	2	favor
18.1	117	3	neither favor nor oppose
8.1	52	4	oppose
7.3	47	5	strongly oppose
	3	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1252 cases missing data)

input location: 4/43

BJTA

Special job training and asst for Asians

How about special job training and assistance programs for Asians?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Do you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose special job training and assistance programs for Asians?

<1> strongly favor
 <2> favor
 <3> neither favor nor oppose
 <4> oppose
 <5> strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
19.1	123	1	strongly favor
39.0	251	2	favor
21.8	140	3	neither favor nor oppose
11.8	76	4	oppose
8.2	53	5	strongly oppose
	5	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1254 cases missing data)

input location: 4/44

BHPB

Preferential hiring for blacks

Some people feel that because of past disadvantages, there are some groups in society that should be given preferential treatment in hiring and promotion. Others say that it is unfair to give these groups preferential treatment. What about you?

Do you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose preferential treatment in hiring and promotion for blacks?

<1> strongly favor
 <2> favor
 <3> neither favor nor oppose
 <4> oppose
 <5> strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
9.7	62	1	strongly favor
22.1	141	2	favor
25.9	165	3	neither favor nor oppose
25.9	165	4	oppose
16.3	104	5	strongly oppose
	9	8	DON'T KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1260 cases missing data)

input location: 4/45

BHPH

Preferential hiring for Hispanics

How about preferential treatment in hiring and promotion of Hispanics?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Do you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose preferential treatment in hiring and promotion of Hispanics?

<1> strongly favor
 <2> favor
 <3> neither favor nor oppose
 <4> oppose
 <5> strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
7.7	49	1	strongly favor
26.3	168	2	favor
24.9	159	3	neither favor nor oppose
26.4	169	4	oppose
14.7	94	5	strongly oppose
	7	8	DON'T KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1258 cases missing data)

input location: 4/46

BHPA

Preferential hiring for Asians

How about preferential treatment in hiring and promotion of Asians?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Do you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose preferential treatment in hiring and promotion of Asians?

<1> strongly favor
 <2> favor
 <3> neither favor nor oppose
 <4> oppose
 <5> strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
6.0	38	1	strongly favor
24.1	154	2	favor
26.5	169	3	neither favor nor oppose
27.0	172	4	oppose
16.5	105	5	strongly oppose
	7	8	DON'T KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1259 cases missing data)

input location: 4/47

BSOB

Special college opportun for blacks

Some people say that because of past disadvantages, colleges and universities should reserve openings for students from certain groups in society. Others say that setting up this kind of special arrangement is wrong. What about you? For each of the following groups, please tell me whether you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose setting up special openings in colleges and universities.

Do you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose setting up special openings in colleges and universities for blacks?

- <1> strongly favor
- <2> favor
- <3> neither favor nor oppose
- <4> oppose
- <5> strongly oppose

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
16.2	103	1	strongly favor
28.1	178	2	favor
20.5	130	3	neither favor nor oppose
24.0	152	4	oppose
11.2	71	5	strongly oppose
	9	8	DON'T KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1263 cases missing data)

input location: 4/48

BSOH

Special college opportun for Hispanics

How about special openings in colleges and universities for Hispanics?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Do you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose setting up special openings in colleges and universities for Hispanics?

<1> strongly favor
 <2> favor
 <3> neither favor nor oppose
 <4> oppose
 <5> strongly oppose

 <8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
13.8	88	1	strongly favor
31.3	200	2	favor
21.3	136	3	neither favor nor oppose
22.1	141	4	oppose
11.4	73	5	strongly oppose
	7	8	DON'T KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1259 cases missing data)

input location: 4/49

BOSA Special college opportun for Asians

How about special openings in colleges and universities for Asians?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Do you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose setting up special openings in colleges and universities for Asians?

<1> strongly favor
 <2> favor
 <3> neither favor nor oppose
 <4> oppose
 <5> strongly oppose

 <8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
9.4	60	1	strongly favor
28.6	182	2	favor
26.4	168	3	neither favor nor oppose
22.8	145	4	oppose
12.9	82	5	strongly oppose
	7	8	DON'T KNOW
	7	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1260 cases missing data)

input location: 4/50

BIF1

Affirm action for blacks is unfair

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree or disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:

Affirmative action for blacks is unfair to whites.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
7.4	45	1	strongly agree
26.9	163	2	agree
20.9	127	3	neither agree nor disagree
34.3	208	4	disagree
10.5	64	5	strongly disagree
	39	8	DON'T KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1290 cases missing data)

input location: 4/51

BIF2

Affirm action give opportun to blacks

Affirmative action in education gives an opportunity to qualified blacks who might not have had a chance without it.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
14.6	91	1	strongly agree
54.9	342	2	agree
15.1	94	3	neither agree nor disagree
13.5	84	4	disagree
1.9	12	5	strongly disagree
	23	8	DON'T KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1274 cases missing data)

input location: 4/52

BIF3

Affirm action makes hire unqualified

Affirmative action for blacks may force employers to hire unqualified people.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
7.7	48	1	strongly agree
36.9	229	2	agree
11.1	69	3	neither agree nor disagree
35.1	218	4	disagree
9.2	57	5	strongly disagree
	26	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1276 cases missing data)

input location: 4/53

BIF4 Affirm act keeps work force competitive

Affirmative action in the workplace for blacks helps make sure that the American workforce and economy remain competitive.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
6.9	42	1	strongly agree
41.1	251	2	agree
17.9	109	3	neither agree nor disagree
28.5	174	4	disagree
5.6	34	5	strongly disagree
	35	8	DON'T KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1287 cases missing data)

input location: 4/54

BSR1 Most blacks could do without welfare

Most blacks who receive money from welfare programs could get along without it if they tried.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
8.9	55	1	strongly agree
43.5	269	2	agree
11.3	70	3	neither agree nor disagree
29.6	183	4	disagree
6.6	41	5	strongly disagree
	25	8	DON'T KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1279 cases missing data)

input location: 4/55

BSR2

Govt pays less attention to blacks

Government officials usually pay less attention to a request or complaint from a black person than from a white person.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
11.1	68	1	strongly agree
47.8	294	2	agree
14.1	87	3	neither agree nor disagree
23.4	144	4	disagree
3.6	22	5	strongly disagree
	35	8	DON'T KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1282 cases missing data)

input location: 4/56

BSR3

Blacks should progress without favor

Irish, Italian, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
7.4	47	1	strongly agree
48.2	308	2	agree
10.3	66	3	neither agree nor disagree
26.0	166	4	disagree
8.1	52	5	strongly disagree
	8	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1258 cases missing data)

input location: 4/57

BZS1 More jobs for blacks, less for others

More good jobs for blacks means fewer good jobs for members of other groups.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
2.0	13	1	strongly agree
20.7	132	2	agree
14.9	95	3	neither agree nor disagree
52.7	337	4	disagree
9.7	62	5	strongly disagree
	11	8	DON'T KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1258 cases missing data)

input location: 4/58

BZS2

More political influence for blacks

The more influence blacks have in local politics the less influence members of other groups will have in local politics.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
2.2	14	1	strongly agree
24.1	151	2	agree
12.0	75	3	neither agree nor disagree
52.3	328	4	disagree
9.4	59	5	strongly disagree
	22	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1270 cases missing data)

input location: 4/59

BZS3

More housing for blacks less for others

As more good housing and neighborhoods goes to blacks the fewer good houses and neighborhoods there will be for members of other groups.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
1.7	11	1	strongly agree
20.4	130	2	agree
10.0	64	3	neither agree nor disagree
57.1	364	4	disagree
10.7	68	5	strongly disagree
	12	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (1260 cases missing data)

input location: 4/60

BZS4

Blacks succeed at expense of others

Many blacks have been trying to get ahead economically at the expense of members of other groups.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>Ogoto WNINå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1246	-1	
2.3	14	1	strongly agree
25.1	155	2	agree
11.8	73	3	neither agree nor disagree
50.2	310	4	disagree
10.5	65	5	strongly disagree
	30	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1280 cases missing data)

input location: 4/61

HAMN

Amnesty for illegal immigrants

Õequiv AAMNå

Now I have several questions concerning how government should deal with immigration to the U.S. Please tell me whether you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose each policy.

First, an amnesty program, so illegal immigrants who are presently working in the U.S. can remain here legally?

<1> strongly favor
 <2> favor
 <3> neither favor nor oppose
 <4> oppose
 <5> strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
15.3	188	1	strongly favor
39.3	481	2	favor
14.4	176	3	neither favor nor oppose
20.5	251	4	oppose
10.5	129	5	strongly oppose
	15	8	DON'T KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (672 cases missing data)

input location: 4/20

HBIE

Bilingual education for immigrants

Õequiv ABIEå

Bilingual education programs. In other words, teaching immigrant students in their own language as well as English?

Please tell me whether you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose this statement.

<1> strongly favor
 <2> favor
 <3> neither favor nor oppose
 <4> oppose
 <5> strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
21.7	269	1	strongly favor
40.0	495	2	favor
7.5	93	3	neither favor nor oppose
19.0	235	4	oppose
11.8	146	5	strongly oppose
	6	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (659 cases missing data)

input location: 4/21

HPRE Spending to prevent illegal immigration

Increasing the amount of money spent in order to prevent illegal immigration?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose this statement.

<1> strongly favor
 <2> favor
 <3> neither favor nor oppose
 <4> oppose
 <5> strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
19.0	229	1	strongly favor
39.1	472	2	favor
16.9	204	3	neither favor nor oppose
19.0	230	4	oppose
6.0	73	5	strongly oppose
	29	8	DON'T KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (689 cases missing data)

input location: 4/22

HBUS

Immigrant business owners hire American

Öequiv ABUSå

Requiring that new immigrants who own businesses hire as many American citizens as they do members of their own group?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly favor, favor, neither favor nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose this statement.

<1> strongly favor
 <2> favor
 <3> neither favor nor oppose
 <4> oppose
 <5> strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
21.8	267	1	strongly favor
43.0	525	2	favor
15.7	192	3	neither favor nor oppose
15.2	186	4	oppose
4.3	52	5	strongly oppose
	15	8	DON'T KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (675 cases missing data)

input location: 4/23

HCOU Reduce number of legal immigrants

Õequiv ACOUå

Reducing the number of immigrants allowed to enter the
country legally each year?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly favor, favor, neither favor
nor oppose, oppose, or strongly oppose this statement.

<1> strongly favor
<2> favor
<3> neither favor nor oppose
<4> oppose
<5> strongly oppose

<8> DON'T KNOW
<9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
21.8	264	1	strongly favor
34.6	419	2	favor
18.7	226	3	neither favor nor oppose
20.2	244	4	oppose
4.7	57	5	strongly oppose
	27	8	DON'T KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (687 cases missing data)

input location: 4/24

HIC1 Economic growth due to immigrants in CA

Õequiv AIC1å

Now I am going to read a list of possible results from the increase in the number of immigrants in California. For each of these items, please tell me how likely it is to happen.

What's the likelihood of higher economic growth occurring as a result of the increase in the number of immigrants in California-- very likely, somewhat likely, not too likely, or not at all likely?

<1> very likely
 <2> somewhat likely
 <3> not too likely
 <4> not at all likely

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
19.5	232	1	very likely
37.7	449	2	somewhat likely
30.1	358	3	not too likely
12.7	151	4	not at all likely
	50	8	DON'T KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (707 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/25

HIC2

Lower qual education due to immigrants

Õequiv AIC2å

What's the likelihood of a lower quality of education in the public schools occurring as a result of the increase in the number of immigrants in California--very likely, somewhat likely, not too likely, or not at all likely?

<1> very likely
 <2> somewhat likely
 <3> not too likely
 <4> not at all likely

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
33.0	397	1	very likely
36.0	433	2	somewhat likely
22.3	268	3	not too likely
8.7	104	4	not at all likely
	34	8	DON'T KNOW
	10	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (695 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/26

HIC3

English as common language reduced

Õequiv AIC3å

What's the likelihood of the place of English as our common language being endangered occurring as a result of the increase in the number of immigrants in California?

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATEGORIES.

<1> very likely
 <2> somewhat likely
 <3> not too likely
 <4> not at all likely

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
25.2	308	1	very likely
26.7	326	2	somewhat likely
26.7	327	3	not too likely
21.4	262	4	not at all likely
	20	8	DON'T KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (674 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/27

HIC4 Culture enriched by immigrants

Õequiv AIC4å

What's the likelihood of our culture being enriched by providing
new ideas and customs as a result of the increase in the number of
immigrants in California?

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATEGORIES.

<1> very likely
<2> somewhat likely
<3> not too likely
<4> not at all likely

<8> DON'T KNOW
<9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
31.9	385	1	very likely
43.9	529	2	somewhat likely
17.9	216	3	not too likely
6.3	76	4	not at all likely
	31	8	DON'T KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (691 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/28

HIC5 Increased crime due to immigrants

 Œequiv AIC5å

What's the likelihood of an increase in crime occurring as a result
of the increase of immigrants in California?

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATEGORIES.

<1> very likely
<2> somewhat likely
<3> not too likely
<4> not at all likely

<8> DON'T KNOW
<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
41.3	501	1	very likely
37.1	450	2	somewhat likely
16.6	202	3	not too likely
5.0	61	4	not at all likely
	24	8	DON'T KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (683 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/29

HIC6 Higher taxes due to immigrants

Õequiv AIC6å

What's the likelihood of higher taxes due to more demands for public services occurring as a result of the increase in the number of immigrants in California?

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATEGORIES.

<1> very likely
 <2> somewhat likely
 <3> not too likely
 <4> not at all likely

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
53.5	649	1	very likely
31.4	380	2	somewhat likely
11.9	144	3	not too likely
3.2	39	4	not at all likely
	29	8	DON'T KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (685 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/30

HIC7 Increase job demand due to immigrants

Õequiv AIC7å

What's the likelihood of increasing the number of people anxious to work hard occurring as result of the increase in the number of immigrants in California?

IF NECESSARY READ RESPONSE CATEGORIES.

<1> very likely
 <2> somewhat likely
 <3> not too likely
 <4> not at all likely

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	651	-1	
34.9	417	1	very likely
40.7	486	2	somewhat likely
18.9	226	3	not too likely
5.5	66	4	not at all likely
	38	8	DON'T KNOW
	13	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (702 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/31

HIF1 Hispanics should adopt English language

Now, I have some questions specifically about Hispanics.

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

Basic communication between people in the U.S. will become harder if new Hispanic immigrants don't adopt English as a common language.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
33.1	202	1	strongly agree
46.8	286	2	agree
6.7	41	3	neither agree nor disagree
10.8	66	4	disagree
2.6	16	5	strongly disagree
	10	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1286 cases missing data)

input location: 4/62

HIF2

Hispanics strengthen work Amer. force

New Hispanic immigration strengthens the American work force.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
8.6	52	1	strongly agree
33.8	204	2	agree
14.4	87	3	neither agree nor disagree
34.1	206	4	disagree
9.1	55	5	strongly disagree
	15	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1293 cases missing data)

input location: 4/63

HSR1 Hispanics don't need welfare

Most Hispanics who receive money from welfare programs could get along without if they tried.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
10.8	64	1	strongly agree
41.0	243	2	agree
11.6	69	3	neither agree nor disagree
29.3	174	4	disagree
7.3	43	5	strongly disagree
	25	8	DON'T KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1304 cases missing data)

input location: 4/64

HSR2

Govt pays less attention to Hispanics

Government officials usually pay less attention to a request or complaint from an Hispanic person than from a white person.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
14.1	81	1	strongly agree
49.8	287	2	agree
12.2	70	3	neither agree nor disagree
20.0	115	4	disagree
4.0	23	5	strongly disagree
	45	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1321 cases missing data)

input location: 4/65

HSR3

Hispanics should progress without favor

Irish, Italian, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Hispanics should do the same without any special favors.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
14.8	89	1	strongly agree
54.6	328	2	agree
10.6	64	3	neither agree nor disagree
17.3	104	4	disagree
2.7	16	5	strongly disagree
	14	8	DON'T KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1296 cases missing data)

input location: 4/66

HZS1 Hispanics cause fewer jobs for others

More good jobs for Hispanics means fewer good jobs for members of other groups.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
6.1	37	1	strongly agree
31.1	190	2	agree
10.5	64	3	neither agree nor disagree
45.4	277	4	disagree
6.9	42	5	strongly disagree
	6	8	DON'T KNOW
	7	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1287 cases missing data)

input location: 4/67

HZS2

More political influence for Hispanics

The more influence Hispanics have in local politics the less influence members of other groups will have in local politics.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
3.8	23	1	strongly agree
30.6	184	2	agree
13.0	78	3	neither agree nor disagree
46.5	280	4	disagree
6.1	37	5	strongly disagree
	17	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1295 cases missing data)

input location: 4/68

HZS3 Hispanics affect on available housing

As more good housing and neighborhoods goes to Hispanics the fewer good houses and neighborhoods there will be for members of other groups.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

- <1> strongly agree
- <2> agree
- <3> neither agree nor disagree
- <4> disagree
- <5> strongly disagree

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
3.9	24	1	strongly agree
24.4	149	2	agree
13.4	82	3	neither agree nor disagree
51.6	315	4	disagree
6.7	41	5	strongly disagree
	7	8	DON'T KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1286 cases missing data)

input location: 4/69

HZS4

Hispanics succeed at expense of others

Many Hispanics have been trying to get ahead economically at the expense of members of other groups.

IF NECESSARY READ:

Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement.

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>Ögoto WNINå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1274	-1	
2.9	17	1	strongly agree
26.9	157	2	agree
12.8	75	3	neither agree nor disagree
49.1	287	4	disagree
8.2	48	5	strongly disagree
	30	8	DON'T KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1313 cases missing data)

input location: 4/70

DM06 Are you high school grad

The next few questions are about your education.
Are you a high school graduate?

<1> YES

<5> NO

<9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
83.9	1591	1	YES
16.1	305	5	NO
	1	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1 case missing data)

input location: 3/36

DM07 Did you attend college or university

Do you or did you attend a college or university?

<1> YES Ögoto DM7Aå

<5> NO

<9> REFUSED

===>Ögoto FAM1å

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
66.5	1262	1	YES
33.5	635	5	NO

1897 cases

input location: 3/37

LACSS92

DM7A

Number of years attend college or univ

How many years did you attend a college or university?

<0-12> YEARS ATTENDED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	635	-1	
0.9	11	0	YEARS ATTENDED
14.2	179	1	
22.5	284	2	
13.5	171	3	
21.8	275	4	
9.9	125	5	
8.4	106	6	
3.3	42	7	
2.4	30	8	
1.0	12	9	
1.2	15	10	
0.2	2	11	
0.8	10	12	YEARS ATTENDED

1897 cases (635 cases missing data)

input location: 3/38-39

DM08

Highest degree received

What is the highest degree that you received?

<1> NO DEGREE
<2> AA DEGREE/VOCATIONAL OR TECH
<3> BA/BS DEGREE
<4> GRADUATE/PROFESSIONAL

<8> DON'T KNOW
<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	635	-1	
35.7	449	1	NO DEGREE
19.0	239	2	AA DEGREE/VOCATIONAL OR TECH
30.1	379	3	BA/BS DEGREE
15.2	191	4	GRADUATE/PROFESSIONAL
	4	8	DON'T KNOW

1897 cases (639 cases missing data)

input location: 3/40

LACSS92

FAM1

Number of people in your household

How many people live in your household?

<1-12> PEOPLE LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD

<98> DON'T KNOW

<99> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
19.2	363	1	PEOPLE LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD
24.8	468	2	
20.0	377	3	
18.1	342	4	
10.0	188	5	
4.5	84	6	
1.9	36	7	
1.0	18	8	
0.3	5	9	
0.1	2	10	
0.1	1	11	
0.2	3	12	PEOPLE LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD
	1	98	DON'T KNOW
	9	99	REFUSED

1897 cases (10 cases missing data)

input location: 3/41-42

LACSS92

FAM2

How many adults in household

How many are adults aged 18 and over?

<1-7> ADULTS LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD

<98> DON'T KNOW

<99> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
26.2	495	1	ADULTS LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD
46.0	870	2	
16.8	317	3	
7.9	150	4	
2.1	39	5	
0.6	12	6	
0.4	8	7	ADULTS LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD
	6	99	REFUSED

1897 cases (6 cases missing data)

input location: 3/43-44

LACSS92

RAGE

Respondent's age

What was your age at your last birthday?

<18-96> YEARS OLD

<99> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.0	55	18	YEARS OLD
1.8	33	19	
2.6	48	20	
2.3	42	21	
2.4	44	22	
2.1	40	23	
2.4	44	24	
2.3	42	25	
2.2	41	26	
2.4	45	27	
2.7	51	28	
2.6	49	29	
3.4	64	30	
3.0	56	31	
2.5	46	32	
3.3	62	33	
2.5	46	34	
2.3	43	35	
2.3	43	36	
2.2	41	37	
2.3	42	38	
1.6	29	39	
2.8	53	40	
1.8	33	41	
2.4	44	42	
1.5	28	43	
1.7	31	44	
2.1	40	45	
1.8	34	46	
1.2	22	47	
1.6	30	48	
1.4	26	49	
1.7	31	50	
1.0	18	51	
1.5	28	52	
0.8	14	53	
0.8	15	54	
1.3	24	55	
0.8	14	56	
0.6	11	57	
0.5	9	58	
0.8	15	59	
1.1	21	60	

LACSS92

1.2	22	61
1.3	24	62
1.2	22	63
1.0	19	64
1.3	25	65
0.9	17	66
0.5	10	67
1.0	18	68
1.0	18	69
1.1	20	70
0.5	9	71
0.9	17	72
0.5	10	73
0.5	10	74
0.4	7	75
0.4	8	76
0.6	11	77
0.5	9	78
0.4	7	79
0.4	7	80
0.3	6	81
0.3	5	82
0.2	4	83
0.2	4	84
0.2	3	85
0.1	1	87
0.1	1	88
0.1	1	90
0.1	2	91
	33	99 REFUSED

1897 cases (33 cases missing data)

input location: 3/45-46

REL Respondent's religion

What is your religion?

- <113> AME: AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPALIAN
- <728> AGNOSTIC
- <123> BAPTIST
- <721> BUDDHIST
- <200> CATHOLIC/ROMAN CATHOLIC
- <125> CHRISTIAN
- <116> EPISCOPAL/ANGLICAN
- <722> HINDU
- <154> JEHOVAH'S WITNESS
- <300> JEWISH
- <111> LUTHERAN
- <120> METHODIST
- <998> DON'T KNOW
- <997> NONE/ATHEIST
- <110> PRESBYTERIAN
- <100> PROTESTANT
- <729> SELF-DEFINED
- <117> PENTACOSTAL
- <126> NON-DENOMINATIONAL
- <730> CHRISTIAN/RELIGIOUS SCIENCE
- <155> SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST
- <152> MORMON/LATTER DAY SAINTS
- <725> MUSLIM
- <996> OTHER
- <999> REFUSED x (Specify)

====>

1897 cases (44 cases missing data)

input location: 3/47-49

INC1 Is household income above 30,000

Was your total household income before taxes in 1991...

- <1> above \$30,000, or
- <5> below \$30,000?
- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====> STRO

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
53.4	930	1	above \$30,000, or
46.6	812	5	below \$30,000?
	83	8	DON'T KNOW
	72	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (155 cases missing data)

input location: 3/50

INC2

Is household income under 10,000

Was your total household income before taxes in 1991...

- <1> under \$10,000, IF R SAYS \$10,000 CODE 2
- <2> \$10,000 to \$20,000, or IF R SAYS \$20,000 CODE 3
- <3> \$20,000 to \$30,000?

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

====> Ögöto INC4å

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL	
	1085	-1		
27.1	208	1	under \$10,000,	IF R SAYS \$10,000 CODE 2
42.5	326	2	\$10,000 to \$20,000, or	IF R SAYS \$20,000 CODE 3
30.4	233	3	\$20,000 to \$30,000?	
	29	8	DON'T KNOW	
	16	9	REFUSED	

1897 cases (1130 cases missing data)

input location: 3/51

INC3

Approximate household income range

Was your total household income before taxes in 1991...

- <1> between \$30,000 and \$40,000, IF R SAYS \$40,000 CODE 2
- <2> \$40,000 and \$50,000, IF R SAYS \$50,000 CODE 3
- <3> \$50,000 and \$60,000, IF R SAYS \$60,000 CODE 4
- <4> \$60,000 and \$70,000, or IF R SAYS \$70,000 CODE 5
- <5> over \$70,000?

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	967	-1	
32.8	288	1	between \$30,000 and \$40,000,
20.9	184	2	\$40,000 and \$50,000,
14.9	131	3	\$50,000 and \$60,000,
8.4	74	4	\$60,000 and \$70,000, or
23.0	202	5	over \$70,000?
	15	8	DON'T KNOW
	36	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (1018 cases missing data)

input location: 3/52

INC4 Number of people contributing to income

How many people in your household contribute to this total income?

- <1> PERSON Ögoto STROå
- <2-6> PEOPLE CONTRIBUTING TO HOUSEHOLD

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	155	-1	
47.7	826	1	PERSON
42.1	729	2	PEOPLE CONTRIBUTING TO HOUSEHOLD
6.6	114	3	
2.7	46	4	
0.6	10	5	
0.4	7	6	PEOPLE CONTRIBUTING TO HOUSEHOLD
	5	8	DON'T KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (165 cases missing data)

input location: 3/53

INC5 Percent income from Respondent's salary

What percentage of that income comes from your salary alone?

- <0-100> PERCENTAGE OF INCOME

- <998> DON'T KNOW
- <999> REFUSED

====>

1897 cases (1057 cases missing data)

input location: 3/54-56

STRO Explanation of rating scale

Ö# NOT A DATA ITEM å

Now I have some questions about different groups in our society.
I'm going to describe a 7-point scale that I'd like you to use to describe the characteristics of people in different groups. In the first statement a score of 1 means that you think people in that group tend to be "rich", a score of 7 means that you think people in that group tend to be "poor", a score of 4 means you think the group has absolutely no leaning one way or the other, and of course you may choose any number in between 1 and 7 that comes closest to where you think people in the group stand.

<g> PROCEED TO NEXT SCREEN

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

		1897	cases

input location: 5/9

SRP1

Rating of whites, rich to poor

Where would you rate whites in general on this scale where 1 meant tend to be rich, and 7 meant tend to be poor?

- <1> tend to be rich
- <2>
- <3>
- <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
- <5>
- <6>
- <7> tend to be poor

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
17.7	329	1	tend to be rich
13.5	251	2	
23.2	432	3	
31.5	585	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
8.7	162	5	
2.7	50	6	
2.7	51	7	tend to be poor
	25	8	DON'T KNOW
	12	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (37 cases missing data)

input location: 3/57

LACSS92

SRP2

Rating of blacks, rich to poor

Where would you rate blacks in general, where 1 meant tend to be rich and 7 meant tend to be poor?

- <1> tend to be rich
- <2>
- <3>
- <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
- <5>
- <6>
- <7> tend to be poor

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.7	50	1	tend to be rich
2.3	43	2	
7.9	147	3	
19.5	363	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
29.8	555	5	
23.0	428	6	
14.8	275	7	tend to be poor
	26	8	DON'T KNOW
	10	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (36 cases missing data)

input location: 3/58

SRP3

Rating of Hispanics, rich to poor

Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans in general?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans in general, where 1 meant tend to be rich and 7 meant tend to be poor?

<1> tend to be rich
 <2>
 <3>
 <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
 <5>
 <6>
 <7> tend to be poor

 <8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.5	47	1	tend to be rich
2.7	50	2	
7.0	130	3	
23.4	434	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
30.9	573	5	
20.6	382	6	
13.0	241	7	tend to be poor
	29	8	DON'T KNOW
	11	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (40 cases missing data)

input location: 3/59

LACSS92

SRP4

Rating of Asians, rich to poor

Where would you rate Asian-Americans in general?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Where would you rate Asian-Americans in general, where 1 meant
tend to be rich and 7 meant tend to be poor?

- <1> tend to be rich
- <2>
- <3>
- <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
- <5>
- <6>
- <7> tend to be poor

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
12.8	235	1	tend to be rich
13.3	245	2	
23.0	423	3	
28.6	525	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
13.4	246	5	
4.6	85	6	
4.3	79	7	tend to be poor
	48	8	DON'T KNOW
	11	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (59 cases missing data)

input location: 3/60

SUI1 Rating of whites, intelligence

The next set of characteristics asks if people in each group tend to be intelligent or tend to be unintelligent.

Where would you rate whites in general on this scale, where 1 meant intelligent and 7 meant unintelligent?

- <1> tend to be intelligent
- <2>
- <3>
- <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
- <5>
- <6>
- <7> tend to be unintelligent

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
19.5	361	1	tend to be intelligent
14.2	263	2	
19.9	368	3	
34.5	638	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
7.4	137	5	
1.7	31	6	
2.7	49	7	tend to be unintelligent
	18	8	DON'T KNOW
	32	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (50 cases missing data)

input location: 3/61

SUI2

Rating of blacks, intelligence

Where would you rate blacks in general on this scale?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Where would you rate blacks in general on this scale where 1 meant intelligent and 7 meant unintelligent?

<1> tend to be intelligent
 <2>
 <3>
 <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
 <5>
 <6>
 <7> tend to be unintelligent
 <8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
10.2	188	1	tend to be intelligent
5.1	94	2	
13.9	256	3	
40.9	753	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
19.3	355	5	
6.2	114	6	
4.3	79	7	tend to be unintelligent
	25	8	DON'T KNOW
	33	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (58 cases missing data)

input location: 3/62

SUI3

Rating of Asians, intelligence

Where would you rate Asian-Americans in general?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Where would you rate Asian-Americans in general on this scale where 1 meant intelligent and 7 meant unintelligent?

<1> tend to be intelligent
 <2>
 <3>
 <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
 <5>
 <6>
 <7> tend to be unintelligent
 <8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
18.7	342	1	tend to be intelligent
16.0	294	2	
21.5	394	3	
30.0	549	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
7.2	132	5	
3.9	71	6	
2.7	50	7	tend to be unintelligent
	36	8	DON'T KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (65 cases missing data)

input location: 3/63

SUI4

Rating of Hispanics, intelligence

Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans in general?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans in general on this scale where 1 meant intelligent and 7 meant unintelligent?

<1> tend to be intelligent
 <2>
 <3>
 <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
 <5>
 <6>
 <7> tend to be unintelligent
 <8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.7	179	1	tend to be intelligent
5.5	102	2	
15.3	281	3	
40.4	743	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
18.2	335	5	
6.7	123	6	
4.2	78	7	tend to be unintelligent
	30	8	DON'T KNOW
	26	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (56 cases missing data)

input location: 3/64

SSW1

Rating of whites, self-supporting

The next set of characteristics asks if people in each group tend to prefer to be self-supporting or tend to prefer to live off welfare?

Where would you rate whites in general on this scale, where 1 meant prefer to be self-supporting and 7 meant prefer to live off welfare?

- <1> prefer to be self-supporting
- <2>
- <3>
- <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
- <5>
- <6>
- <7> prefer to live off welfare
- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
28.7	528	1	prefer to be self-supporting
18.1	333	2	
19.5	358	3	
21.4	393	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
6.0	110	5	
2.7	50	6	
3.7	68	7	prefer to live off welfare
	39	8	DON'T KNOW
	18	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (57 cases missing data)

input location: 3/65

SSW2

Rating of blacks, self-supporting

Where would you rate blacks in general on this scale?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Where would you rate blacks in general on this scale, where 1 meant prefer to be self-supporting and 7 meant prefer to live off welfare?

<1> prefer to be self-supporting
 <2>
 <3>
 <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
 <5>
 <6>
 <7> prefer to live off welfare
 <8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.8	180	1	prefer to be self-supporting
5.0	92	2	
8.8	162	3	
25.6	473	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
21.6	398	5	
13.4	247	6	
15.9	294	7	prefer to live off welfare
	33	8	DON'T KNOW
	18	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (51 cases missing data)

input location: 3/66

SSW3

Rating of Asians, self-supporting

Where would you rate Asian-Americans in general?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Where would you rate Asian-Americans in general on this scale, where 1 meant prefer to be self-supporting and 7 meant prefer to live off welfare?

- <1> prefer to be self-supporting
- <2>
- <3>
- <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
- <5>
- <6>
- <7> prefer to live off welfare

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
25.0	453	1	prefer to be self-supporting
20.5	372	2	
17.8	322	3	
21.8	395	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
7.8	142	5	
3.1	56	6	
4.0	72	7	prefer to live off welfare
	69	8	DON'T KNOW
	16	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (85 cases missing data)

input location: 3/67

SSW4

Rating of Hispanics, self-supporting

Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans in general?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans in general on this scale, where 1 meant prefer to be self-supporting and 7 meant prefer to live off welfare?

- <1> prefer to be self-supporting
- <2>
- <3>
- <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
- <5>
- <6>
- <7> prefer to live off welfare

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.0	202	1	prefer to be self-supporting
6.5	120	2	
12.7	233	3	
31.0	569	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
18.1	333	5	
11.3	207	6	
9.5	174	7	prefer to live off welfare
	40	8	DON'T KNOW
	19	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (59 cases missing data)

input location: 3/68

SEH1

Rating of whites, getting along with

The last set of characteristics asks if people in each group tend to be easy to get along with or tend to be hard to get along with?

Where would you rate whites in general on this scale, where 1 meant tend to be easy to get along with and 7 meant tend to be hard to get along with?

- <1> tend to be easy to get along with
- <2>
- <3>
- <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
- <5>
- <6>
- <7> tend to be hard to get along with
- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
21.5	401	1	tend to be easy to get along with
17.1	319	2	
17.2	320	3	
26.5	494	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
8.0	149	5	
4.1	77	6	
5.6	105	7	tend to be hard to get along with
	19	8	DON'T KNOW
	13	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (32 cases missing data)

input location: 3/69

SEH2

Rating of blacks, getting along with

Where would you rate blacks in general on this scale?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Where would you rate blacks in general on this scale, where 1 meant tend to be easy to get along with and 7 meant tend to be hard to get along with?

- <1> tend to be easy to get along with
- <2>
- <3>
- <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
- <5>
- <6>
- <7> tend to be hard to get along with
- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.8	182	1	tend to be easy to get along with
9.1	170	2	
16.0	298	3	
32.4	604	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
15.2	283	5	
7.5	139	6	
10.0	187	7	tend to be hard to get along with
	20	8	DON'T KNOW
	14	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (34 cases missing data)

input location: 3/70

SEH3

Rating of Asians, getting along with

Where would you rate Asian-Americans in general?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Where would you rate Asian-Americans in general on this scale, where 1 meant tend to be easy to get along with and 7 meant tend to be hard to get along with?

<1> tend to be easy to get along with
 <2>
 <3>
 <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
 <5>
 <6>
 <7> tend to be hard to get along with
 <8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
10.7	195	1	tend to be easy to get along with
10.8	197	2	
17.1	312	3	
28.6	524	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
15.4	281	5	
7.8	142	6	
9.7	178	7	tend to be hard to get along with
	54	8	DON'T KNOW
	14	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (68 cases missing data)

input location: 3/71

SEH4

Rating of Hispanics, getting along with

Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans in general?

IF NECESSARY READ:

Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans in general on this scale, where 1 meant tend to be easy to get along with and 7 meant tend to be hard to get along with?

<1> tend to be easy to get along with
 <2>
 <3>
 <4> absolutely no leaning one way or the other
 <5>
 <6>
 <7> tend to be hard to get along with
 <8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
15.1	281	1	tend to be easy to get along with
13.3	247	2	
18.2	339	3	
33.2	618	4	absolutely no leaning one way or the other
11.4	213	5	
4.0	75	6	
4.8	89	7	tend to be hard to get along with
	23	8	DON'T KNOW
	12	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (35 cases missing data)

input location: 4/1

NBC1

Society owes my group better opportun

Now I will read several statements. Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree or disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with following statement.

American society owes people of my ethnic group a better chance in life than we currently have?

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.7	218	1	strongly agree
33.3	621	2	agree
17.0	318	3	neither agree nor disagree
27.8	519	4	disagree
10.2	190	5	strongly disagree
	15	8	DON'T KNOW
	16	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (31 cases missing data)

input location: 4/2

NFO2

Society provided us fair opportunity

American society has provided people of my ethnic group
a fair opportunity to get ahead in life?

<1> strongly agree
<2> agree
<3> neither agree nor disagree
<4> disagree
<5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
<9> REFUSED

==>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.8	184	1	strongly agree
54.2	1023	2	agree
9.4	177	3	neither agree nor disagree
21.0	397	4	disagree
5.6	105	5	strongly disagree
	7	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (11 cases missing data)

input location: 4/3

NSO3

Grateful for special opportunities

I am grateful for all the special opportunities
people of my ethnic group have found in America?

- <1> strongly agree
- <2> agree
- <3> neither agree nor disagree
- <4> disagree
- <5> strongly disagree

- <8> DON'T KNOW
- <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
13.3	248	1	strongly agree
59.9	1119	2	agree
12.5	234	3	neither agree nor disagree
10.8	201	4	disagree
3.5	66	5	strongly disagree
	17	8	DON'T KNOW
	12	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (29 cases missing data)

input location: 4/4

NDF4

Society not fair to people of my group

American society just hasn't dealt fairly with people from my background?

<1> strongly agree
 <2> agree
 <3> neither agree nor disagree
 <4> disagree
 <5> strongly disagree

<8> DON'T KNOW
 <9> REFUSED

===>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
8.0	148	1	strongly agree
31.0	576	2	agree
13.1	243	3	neither agree nor disagree
40.1	746	4	disagree
8.0	148	5	strongly disagree
	23	8	DON'T KNOW
	13	9	REFUSED

 1897 cases (36 cases missing data)

input location: 4/5

PNLN Number of phone lines in household

Now, could you tell me how many telephones you have with different telephone numbers in your household?

<1-7> INTERVIEWER: IF MORE THAN 7, ENTER A COMMENT AND CODE AS 7.

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
79.5	1504	1	INTERVIEWER: IF MORE THAN 7, ENTER A COMMENT AND
16.6	314	2	
3.1	58	3	
0.7	13	4	
0.1	1	5	
0.1	2	6	
	1	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED

1897 cases (5 cases missing data)

input location: 4/6

DM13 Respondent's county

Finally, what county do you live in?

<1> Los Angeles County

5 (Specify) 5 added for coding

<6> OTHER 5 added for coding

<8> DON'T KNOW

<9> REFUSED

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
99.6	1885	1	Los Angeles County
0.4	8	6	OTHER
	4	8	DON'T KNOW

1897 cases (4 cases missing data)

input location: 4/7

DM14 Respondent's zip code

Could you please tell me your Zip Code number?

IF DON'T KNOW OR REFUSED, ENTER 99999
<90000-99999>

====>

For percentage and frequency distribution refer to Appendix A.

1897 cases (46 cases missing data)

input location: 4/8-12

THAK Thank Respondent, end of interview

Õ# NOT A DATA ITEM å
Õ#store <> in CODEå
Thank you for your cooperation. We have now concluded the interview.
Your time has been sincerely appreciated by UCLA and the Institute for
Social Science Research.

<g> TO PROCEED

====>Õ#goto MOD7å

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	1897	-1	

		1897 cases	

input location: 5/9

INTC Interview conducted in English or Span

IN WHICH LANGUAGE WAS THE INTERVIEW CONDUCTED?

- <1> ENGLISH
- <5> SPANISH

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
86.0	1632	1	ENGLISH
14.0	265	5	SPANISH

		1897 cases	

input location: 4/13

IN1 Did Respondent understand questions

THE ANSWERS TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS WILL HELP US EVALUATE THE STUDY WHEN ALL INTERVIEWS HAVE BEEN COMPLETED.

DO YOU FEEL THAT THE RESPONDENT UNDERSTOOD THE QUESTIONS?

<1> YES

<5> NO (LANGUAGE DIFFICULTY, ENTER PROBLEM ITEMS) #specifyå

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
91.3	1732	1	YES
8.7	165	5	NO (LANGUAGE DIFFICULTY, ENTER PROBLEM ITEMS)

1897 cases

input location: 4/14

IN5 Did interviewer have difficulty probing

DID YOU HAVE ANY DIFFICULTY PROBING FOR AN ANSWER?

<1> YES (SPECIFY QNAMES THAT CAUSED DIFFICULTY) #specifyå

<5> NO

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.5	181	1	YES (SPECIFY QNAMES THAT CAUSED DIFFICULTY)
90.5	1716	5	NO

1897 cases

input location: 4/15

IN6 Did Respondent ask questions

DID THE RESPONDENT ASK QUESTIONS?

<1> YES (WHAT QUESTIONS WERE ASKED)Û#specifyå
<5> NO

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
23.0	437	1	YES (WHAT QUESTIONS WERE ASKED)
77.0	1460	5	NO

1897 cases			

input location: 4/16

IN7 Did interviewer have enough info to ans

Ûif IN6 eq <5> goto IN8å
DID YOU HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION TO ANSWER THE RESPONDENT'S QUESTIONS?

<1> YES
<5> NO (WHAT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WOULD BE HELPFUL)Û#specifyå

====>

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
	1460	-1	
95.0	415	1	YES
5.0	22	5	NO (WHAT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WOULD BE HELPFUL)

1897 cases (1460 cases missing data)			

input location: 4/17

IN8 Did Resp complain about any questions

DID THE RESPONDENT COMPLAIN ABOUT ANY QUESTION OR SEQUENCE OF QUESTIONS?

<1> YES (WHAT/WHICH ONES)
<5> NO

====>

Table with 4 columns: PCT, N, VALUE, LABEL. Rows: 10.2 194 1 YES (WHAT/WHICH ONES), 89.8 1703 5 NO, 1897 cases

input location: 4/18

IN9 Did Resp make any comments about UCLA

WHAT COMMENTS, IF ANY, DID THE RESPONDENT MAKE ABOUT UCLA?

<1> MADE COMMENTS (Specify)
<5> MADE NO COMMENTS

====> goto prota

Table with 4 columns: PCT, N, VALUE, LABEL. Rows: 5.9 112 1 MADE COMMENTS (Specify), 94.1 1785 5 MADE NO COMMENTS, 1897 cases

input location: 4/19

B4RK Flag - before or after jury verdict

set flag to determine if interview was completed
before the Rodney King verdict at 3:15 PM, 4/29/92

Table with 4 columns: PCT, N, VALUE, LABEL. Rows: 48.8 925 0, 51.2 972 1, 1897 cases

input location: 5/5

IRAC

Interviewer ethnicity

Õ# set the interviewer race and ID å

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
31.4	596	0	
33.6	638	1	
15.4	292	2	
10.9	206	3	
8.7	165	4	

1897 cases

input location: 5/6

EXC1

Telephone exchange flag #1

Õ# set first exchange flags based on sample area and exchangeå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
43.6	828	0	
31.4	595	3	
25.0	474	4	

1897 cases

input location: 5/7

EXC2

Telephone exchange flag #2

Õ# set second exchange flags based on sample area and exchangeå

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
99.9	1896	0	
0.1	1	4	

1897 cases

input location: 5/8

Appendix A.

This appendix contains item frequencies that were too long to be displayed at their logical positions in the codebook. Text for these items are displayed at their logical position in the codebook and redisplayed here in the appendix.

The three items displayed in this appendix are:

WRK2 Respondent's occupation
WRK3 Respondent's industry
DM14 Respondent's zip code

WRK2 Respondent's occupation

What type of work do you do? What do you actually do on that job?

RECORD SELF-EMPLOYMENT. ASK DUTIES.
(Specify)

For a description of these response categories refer to the LACSS92 Summary Report.

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.3	3	005	
0.8	9	007	
0.4	5	008	
0.1	1	009	
0.3	4	013	
0.8	9	014	
0.4	5	015	
1.0	12	016	
0.2	2	017	
5.1	60	019	
1.5	17	023	
0.3	3	024	
1.2	14	025	
0.1	1	026	
0.3	3	027	
0.1	1	029	
0.1	1	033	
0.3	4	034	
0.2	2	035	
0.3	4	036	
0.9	10	037	
0.2	2	043	
0.7	8	044	
0.1	1	045	
0.1	1	049	
0.3	3	053	
0.3	4	055	
0.2	2	057	
1.1	13	059	
0.3	4	064	
0.1	1	069	
0.1	1	078	
0.4	5	084	
0.3	3	085	
0.1	1	089	
1.7	20	095	
0.2	2	096	
0.1	1	103	
0.3	4	105	
0.5	6	106	
0.1	1	133	
0.1	1	137	

0.1	1	139
0.1	1	143
0.4	5	154

Oct 20 1992 Appendix A Page 3

0.2	2	155
1.5	17	156
0.6	7	157
0.4	5	158
1.6	19	159
0.3	4	163
0.1	1	164
0.3	3	167
0.8	9	174
0.1	1	175
0.1	1	176
0.8	9	178
0.1	1	183
0.3	4	184
0.3	3	185
0.3	3	186
0.6	7	187
0.3	3	188
0.2	2	189
0.1	1	193
0.2	2	195
0.1	1	199
0.6	7	203
0.1	1	206
1.6	19	207
0.8	9	208
0.5	6	213
0.2	2	216
0.2	2	217
0.1	1	224
1.2	14	229
0.4	5	234
0.7	8	235
1.5	17	243
0.6	7	253
0.9	11	254
0.3	3	255
0.2	2	256
0.3	3	257
0.3	3	259
0.3	3	263
0.3	4	264
0.2	2	266
0.1	1	267
2.2	26	274
0.1	1	275
2.0	23	276
0.1	1	283
0.4	5	285
0.5	6	303

0.1	1	304
0.1	1	305
0.2	2	307
0.3	4	308
3.6	42	313
0.2	2	315

Oct 20 1992 Appendix A Page 4

0.2	2	318
0.4	5	319
0.2	2	323
0.3	3	327
0.3	3	328
0.1	1	335
0.4	5	336
2.3	27	337
0.3	4	338
0.3	3	339
0.1	1	347
0.4	5	348
0.4	5	353
0.6	7	354
0.4	5	355
0.3	3	356
1.0	12	364
0.3	3	365
0.2	2	366
0.1	1	373
0.1	1	374
0.2	2	375
0.4	5	376
1.6	19	379
0.6	7	383
0.3	4	384
0.9	10	385
0.5	6	387
1.1	13	389
0.1	1	404
0.8	9	405
0.2	2	406
0.3	4	407
0.1	1	415
0.5	6	418
0.1	1	424
0.4	5	426
0.5	6	427
0.8	9	433
0.1	1	434
0.9	11	435
0.9	10	436
0.1	1	438
0.3	3	439
0.4	5	443
0.4	5	444
0.3	4	445

0.2	2	446
0.5	6	447
0.2	2	448
0.1	1	449
2.2	26	453
0.1	1	455
0.3	4	456
0.2	2	457
0.8	9	458

Oct 20 1992 Appendix A Page 5

0.1	1	463
0.3	3	465
0.1	1	466
0.8	9	468
0.5	6	469
0.1	1	483
0.1	1	485
0.4	5	486
0.1	1	503
0.7	8	505
0.1	1	507
0.1	1	508
0.2	2	514
0.3	4	515
0.2	2	516
0.3	4	519
0.2	2	523
0.3	3	533
0.1	1	536
0.1	1	543
0.2	2	547
0.2	2	549
0.1	1	554
0.1	1	555
0.1	1	556
0.1	1	557
0.3	4	558
0.1	1	563
1.1	13	567
0.5	6	575
0.6	7	579
0.5	6	585
0.1	1	595
0.1	1	596
0.1	1	598
0.7	8	599
0.3	4	633
0.3	4	647
0.2	2	653
0.1	1	659
0.2	2	666
0.3	3	667
0.4	5	673
0.5	6	674

0.1	1	676
0.4	5	683
0.6	7	684
0.2	2	686
0.1	1	687
0.6	7	689
0.1	1	694
0.2	2	699
0.3	3	715
0.1	1	717
0.2	2	719
0.1	1	723

Oct 20 1992 Appendix A Page 6

0.1	1	724
0.5	6	734
0.1	1	737
0.1	1	744
0.1	1	749
0.1	1	774
0.8	9	777
0.3	3	779
0.2	2	783
0.6	7	785
0.1	1	789
0.1	1	793
0.1	1	795
0.1	1	799
0.1	1	803
0.3	4	804
0.2	2	805
0.2	2	806
0.3	3	808
0.2	2	809
0.5	6	814
0.1	1	829
0.1	1	840
0.1	1	853
0.1	1	856
0.1	1	863
0.6	7	869
0.1	1	873
0.1	1	877
0.5	6	883
0.1	1	887
0.3	3	888
0.4	5	889
	14	999

712 BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)

1897 cases (726 missing data)

Allowed VALUES: 1-999

Record=3 Column=22 Width=3

WRK3 Respondent's industry

What sort of industry or business do you work in? What kind of product is produced, or service provided?
(Specify)

For a description of these response categories refer to the LACSS92 Summary Report.

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.1	1	015	
0.3	4	021	
6.8	80	060	
0.3	4	100	
0.1	1	112	
0.2	2	120	
0.1	1	121	
0.1	1	122	
0.1	1	150	
1.1	13	151	
0.7	8	152	
0.1	1	162	
0.3	4	171	
1.2	14	172	
0.2	2	180	
0.1	1	181	
0.1	1	192	
0.3	3	200	
0.3	3	201	
0.2	2	210	
0.1	1	211	
0.1	1	212	
0.1	1	232	
0.4	5	242	
0.2	2	262	
0.1	1	271	
0.1	1	272	
0.1	1	280	

0.2	2	281
0.3	3	300
0.1	1	301
0.1	1	312
0.1	1	321
0.3	3	322
0.1	1	331
0.3	4	332
0.1	1	340
0.2	2	341
1.3	15	342
0.3	3	350
0.3	4	351
3.5	41	352
0.8	10	362
0.3	3	372
0.1	1	380
0.1	1	381

Oct 20 1992 Appendix A Page 8

0.2	2	390
1.3	15	391
0.4	5	392
0.1	1	400
0.3	4	401
0.1	1	402
0.5	6	410
0.1	1	411
1.4	17	412
0.7	8	421
1.8	21	432
0.3	4	440
0.6	7	441
0.7	8	442
0.6	7	460
0.4	5	462
0.2	2	470
0.1	1	471
0.1	1	472
0.3	3	500
0.2	2	512
0.3	3	530
1.0	12	532
0.3	3	541
0.1	1	542
0.6	7	550
0.1	1	552
0.1	1	560
0.2	2	562
0.2	2	571
0.1	1	580
0.1	1	581
0.4	5	591
0.2	2	592
0.2	2	600

0.8	10	601
0.4	5	610
0.3	3	611
0.3	4	612
0.1	1	620
0.3	3	621
0.1	1	622
1.1	13	630
0.1	1	631
0.3	3	632
0.3	4	640
4.0	47	641
0.3	4	642
0.2	2	650
0.2	2	651
0.3	4	652
0.3	3	660
0.1	1	661
0.1	1	681
1.9	22	682
0.6	7	691

Oct 20 1992 Appendix A Page 9

1.8	21	700
0.4	5	701
0.3	3	702
0.7	8	710
1.9	22	711
2.7	32	712
0.6	7	721
1.1	13	722
0.2	2	731
0.2	2	732
0.6	7	740
1.0	12	741
0.5	6	742
0.3	4	750
0.7	8	751
0.3	4	752
0.9	11	760
0.7	8	761
0.6	7	762
0.2	2	770
0.2	2	771
0.8	10	772
0.3	3	780
0.1	1	781
0.2	2	790
0.8	10	791
0.1	1	792
1.0	12	800
2.0	24	802
0.3	4	812
0.7	8	820
0.2	2	830

3.9	46	831
0.4	5	832
4.3	51	840
1.6	19	841
3.2	38	842
1.6	19	850
0.3	3	851
3.9	46	860
0.2	2	861
0.7	8	862
0.3	3	870
1.3	15	871
0.5	6	880
0.3	3	881
0.2	2	882
1.4	16	890
0.3	4	892
2.3	27	901
1.9	22	910
0.3	3	921
0.1	1	922
0.4	5	930
0.5	6	932
0.8	10	990

Oct 20 1992 Appendix A Page 10

712 BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)

1897 cases (712 missing data)
Allowed VALUES: 1-999
Record=3 Column=25 Width=3

DM14 Respondent's zip code

Could you please tell me your Zip Code number?
IF DON'T KNOW OR REFUSED, ENTER 99999

PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.4	8	90001	-----
0.4	8	90002	-----
2.3	42	90003	-----
0.6	11	90004	-----
0.5	9	90005	-----
0.5	10	90006	-----
0.4	7	90007	-----
4.9	91	90008	-----
0.4	8	90011	-----
0.3	5	90012	-----
0.1	1	90013	-----
0.2	4	90015	-----
1.6	29	90016	-----
0.4	8	90018	-----
0.3	6	90019	-----
0.5	10	90020	-----
0.1	1	90022	-----
0.3	5	90023	-----
0.2	4	90024	-----

0.1	2	90025	-----
0.3	5	90026	-----
0.2	3	90027	-----
0.1	1	90028	-----
0.5	9	90029	-----
0.1	1	90030	-----
0.2	4	90031	-----
0.2	3	90032	-----
0.1	2	90033	-----
0.3	6	90034	-----
0.2	3	90035	-----
0.3	5	90036	-----
2.4	45	90037	-----
0.2	3	90038	-----
0.3	5	90039	-----
0.1	1	90040	-----
0.2	3	90041	-----
0.2	4	90042	-----
6.9	128	90043	-----
3.4	63	90044	-----
0.1	1	90045	-----
0.4	7	90046	-----
1.9	36	90047	-----
0.2	4	90049	-----
0.1	1	90051	-----
0.6	12	90056	-----
0.4	8	90057	-----
0.1	2	90058	-----
0.4	7	90059	-----
0.2	4	90061	-----

Oct 20 1992 Appendix A Page 12

2.8	52	90062	-----
0.2	3	90063	-----
0.3	6	90064	-----
0.3	6	90065	-----
0.8	14	90066	-----
0.4	8	90068	-----
0.1	2	90069	-----
0.1	1	90071	-----
0.2	4	90072	-----
0.1	1	90074	-----
0.1	1	90077	-----
0.1	1	90131	-----
0.2	4	90201	-----
0.1	1	90203	-----
0.1	1	90207	-----
0.1	1	90210	-----
0.5	10	90220	-----
0.2	3	90221	-----
0.4	8	90222	-----
0.4	7	90230	-----
0.1	1	90232	-----
0.2	3	90241	-----
0.4	7	90242	-----

0.2	3	90245	-----
1.9	36	90247	-----
0.4	7	90248	-----
0.4	8	90249	-----
0.4	7	90250	-----
0.3	5	90254	-----
0.4	8	90255	-----
0.1	1	90257	-----
0.2	3	90262	-----
0.2	3	90265	-----
0.3	6	90266	-----
0.1	1	90267	-----
0.1	1	90270	-----
0.3	6	90272	-----
0.3	5	90274	-----
0.2	4	90277	-----
0.4	8	90278	-----
0.3	6	90280	-----
0.1	1	90290	-----
0.3	5	90291	-----
0.2	3	90292	-----
0.1	2	90301	-----
0.2	3	90304	-----
0.4	8	90305	-----
0.1	1	90401	-----
0.2	3	90403	-----
0.2	3	90405	-----
0.3	5	90501	-----
0.2	3	90502	-----
0.3	5	90503	-----
1.0	18	90504	-----
0.4	8	90505	-----
0.1	2	90601	-----

Oct 20 1992 Appendix A Page 13

0.2	4	90602	-----
0.2	3	90603	-----
0.1	2	90604	-----
0.1	2	90605	-----
0.1	1	90606	-----
0.1	1	90613	-----
0.1	1	90616	-----
0.1	1	90623	-----
0.4	7	90638	-----
0.2	4	90640	-----
0.6	11	90650	-----
0.3	5	90660	-----
0.1	2	90670	-----
1.0	19	90701	-----
0.1	1	90703	-----
0.5	10	90706	-----
0.1	2	90710	-----
0.2	3	90712	-----
0.1	1	90713	-----
0.2	3	90715	-----

0.1	2	90716	-----
0.3	6	90717	-----
0.2	3	90723	-----
0.4	8	90731	-----
0.1	1	90732	-----
0.4	7	90744	-----
0.2	4	90745	-----
0.6	11	90746	-----
0.1	1	90747	-----
0.1	2	90801	-----
0.2	3	90802	-----
0.1	1	90803	-----
0.5	9	90805	-----
0.4	7	90806	-----
0.1	2	90807	-----
0.4	7	90808	-----
0.1	1	90810	-----
0.1	1	90813	-----
0.3	5	90814	-----
0.1	1	90815	-----
0.1	1	90890	-----
0.4	7	91001	-----
0.3	6	91006	-----
0.3	5	91007	-----
0.2	4	91010	-----
0.1	2	91011	-----
0.4	7	91016	-----
0.1	2	91020	-----
0.1	2	91024	-----
0.1	2	91030	-----
0.2	3	91040	-----
0.3	6	91042	-----
0.1	1	91101	-----
0.2	4	91103	-----
0.3	6	91104	-----
0.1	1	91105	-----

Oct 20 1992 Appendix A Page 14

0.1	1	91106	-----
0.3	6	91107	-----
0.2	3	91108	-----
0.1	1	91201	-----
0.2	3	91202	-----
0.2	3	91203	-----
0.1	1	91205	-----
0.2	3	91208	-----
0.2	4	91214	-----
0.2	3	91301	-----
0.1	2	91303	-----
0.2	4	91304	-----
0.2	4	91306	-----
0.1	1	91307	-----
0.1	1	91310	-----
0.2	4	91311	-----
0.1	1	91321	-----

0.1	1	91324	-----
0.3	5	91325	-----
0.2	3	91326	-----
0.4	7	91331	-----
0.1	1	91334	-----
0.3	6	91335	-----
0.1	1	91336	-----
0.2	4	91340	-----
0.3	5	91342	-----
0.4	8	91343	-----
0.4	7	91344	-----
0.1	1	91345	-----
0.4	7	91350	-----
0.4	7	91351	-----
0.2	4	91352	-----
0.3	5	91355	-----
0.2	4	91356	-----
0.1	1	91361	-----
0.1	1	91364	-----
0.2	4	91367	-----
0.1	1	91386	-----
0.3	5	91401	-----
0.2	4	91402	-----
0.1	2	91403	-----
0.3	5	91405	-----
0.2	4	91406	-----
0.1	2	91423	-----
0.1	1	91436	-----
0.1	2	91501	-----
0.1	1	91502	-----
0.1	1	91504	-----
0.3	6	91505	-----
0.1	2	91506	-----
0.1	1	91601	-----
0.1	1	91602	-----
0.1	2	91604	-----
0.3	5	91605	-----
0.3	6	91606	-----
0.2	3	91607	-----

Oct 20 1992 Appendix A Page 15

0.3	5	91702	-----
0.5	9	91706	-----
0.2	4	91711	-----
0.2	4	91722	-----
0.1	2	91723	-----
0.1	2	91724	-----
0.1	2	91731	-----
0.2	3	91732	-----
0.1	1	91733	-----
0.3	6	91740	-----
0.2	4	91744	-----
0.4	7	91745	-----
0.2	3	91746	-----
0.2	4	91748	-----

0.2	3	91750	-----
4.1	75	91754	-----
0.1	1	91757	-----
0.2	3	91763	-----
0.2	3	91765	-----
0.1	2	91766	-----
0.5	9	91767	-----
0.1	1	91768	-----
3.5	65	91770	-----
0.3	6	91773	-----
0.5	10	91775	-----
1.9	36	91776	-----
0.3	5	91780	-----
0.1	1	91786	-----
0.3	6	91789	-----
0.1	1	91790	-----
0.1	1	91791	-----
0.1	1	91792	-----
4.9	91	91801	-----
3.2	60	91803	-----
0.1	1	91873	-----
0.1	1	92436	-----
0.1	1	92702	-----
0.1	1	92808	-----
0.1	1	93350	-----
0.1	1	93532	-----
0.3	6	93534	-----
0.2	3	93535	-----
0.3	6	93536	-----
0.1	1	93543	-----
0.1	1	93544	-----
0.3	6	93550	-----
0.1	2	93551	-----
0.1	1	93552	-----
	46	99999	-----
	0		BLANK (SKIPPED QUESTION)

1897 cases (46 missing data)

Allowed VALUES: 90000-99999

Record=4 Column=8 Width=5

Appendix B.

This appendix contains the data record layout for the raw data set. The variables are ordered in the the way they appear in the data set, instead of being ordered by the way they appeared to the interviewer. Each case in the raw

data set contains a total of five records, and each record has width of eighty columns.

Oct 21 1992 Appendix B Page 2

Data record layout

Record length eighty columns. Five records per case.

Variable Record Column Width Vstate

CSID	1	1	5	0	case number
FNL	1	6	2	0	final outcome code
INWV	1	8	3	0	interviewer number
FNLD	1	11	6	0	date
CTIM	1	17	4	0	time
REPL	1	21	2	0	replicate number
OSFL	1	23	1	0	oversample indicator
CNTY	1	24	5	0	county code
RNDM	1	29	1	0	random number for ballots
DM12	1	30	2	0	ethnic test variable
RSEX	1	32	1	3305	
ETH1	1	33	2	3306	
ETH2	1	35	2	3308	
ETH3	1	37	2	3309	
PBM1	1	39	1	3311	
PBM2	1	40	1	3312	
PBM3	1	41	1	3313	
PBM4	1	42	1	3314	
PBM5	1	43	1	3315	
PBM6	1	44	1	3316	
PBM7	1	45	1	3317	
SCH1	1	46	1	3318	
NBH1	1	47	1	3319	
NBH2	1	48	1	3320	
CMM1	1	49	1	3321	
LIF1	1	50	1	3322	
LIF2	1	51	1	3323	
LIF3	1	52	1	3324	
LIF4	1	53	1	3325	
LIF5	1	54	1	3326	
NBH8	1	55	1	3327	
DOM1	1	56	1	3328	
DOM2	1	57	1	3329	
DOM3	1	58	1	3330	
DOM4	1	59	1	3331	
INQ1	1	60	1	3332	
INQ2	1	61	1	3333	
INQ3	1	62	1	3334	
INQ4	1	63	1	3335	
INQ5	1	64	1	3336	
INQ6	1	65	1	3337	
POR1	1	66	1	3338	
POR2	1	67	1	3339	
POR3	1	68	1	3340	
POR4	1	69	1	3341	
REB1	1	70	1	3342	
REB2	1	71	1	3343	
REB3	2	1	1	3344	

REB4	2	2	1	3345
REB5	2	3	1	3346
NB40	2	4	1	3347
NBH5	2	5	1	3348
NB61	2	6	1	3349

NB7A	2	7	1	3350
RAC2	2	8	1	3355
IMMG	2	9	1	3356
IMM2	2	10	1	3357
IMM3	2	11	1	3358
GVT1	2	12	1	3359
GVT2	2	13	1	3360
GVT3	2	14	1	3361
GVT5	2	15	1	3362
X39	2	16	1	3363
GP01	2	17	3	3365
GP02	2	20	3	3366
GP03	2	23	3	3367
GP04	2	26	3	3368
GP05	2	29	3	3369
GP06	2	32	3	3370
GP07	2	35	3	3371
GP08	2	38	3	3372
GP09	2	41	3	3373
GP10	2	44	3	3374
SCD1	2	47	1	3376
SCD2	2	48	1	3377
SCD3	2	49	1	3378
SCD4	2	50	1	3379
SCD5	2	51	1	3380
SCD6	2	52	1	3381
SC21	2	53	1	3382
SC22	2	54	1	3383
SC23	2	55	1	3384
SC24	2	56	1	3385
SC25	2	57	1	3386
SC26	2	58	1	3387
SC31	2	59	1	3388
SC32	2	60	1	3389
SC33	2	61	1	3390
SC34	2	62	1	3391
SC35	2	63	1	3392
SC36	2	64	1	3393
SC41	2	65	1	3394
SC42	2	66	1	3395
SC43	2	67	1	3396
SC44	2	68	1	3397
SC45	2	69	1	3398
SC46	2	70	1	3399
PA05	2	71	1	3400
PA06	3	1	1	3401
PA11	3	2	1	3402
PA12	3	3	1	3403
PA13	3	4	1	3404
CRM2	3	5	1	3405
CRM3	3	6	1	3406

BSN5	3	7	1	3407
LJ1	3	8	1	3408

MRS1	3	9	1	3409
MR1A	3	10	1	3410
DM02	3	11	1	3411
SBIR	3	12	3	3412
CBIR	3	15	3	3413
DM4A	3	18	1	3414
ANC	3	19	2	3415
WRK1	3	21	1	3416
WRK2	3	22	3	3417
WRK3	3	25	3	3418
LZ1	3	28	1	3419
LZ2	3	29	1	3420
LZ3	3	30	1	3421
LZ4	3	31	1	3422
LZ5A	3	32	1	3423
LZ6	3	33	1	3424
Z24A	3	34	1	3425
MRS2	3	35	1	3426
DM06	3	36	1	3427
DM07	3	37	1	3428
DM7A	3	38	2	3429
DM08	3	40	1	3430
FAM1	3	41	2	3431
FAM2	3	43	2	3432
RAGE	3	45	2	3433
REL	3	47	3	3434
INC1	3	50	1	3435
INC2	3	51	1	3436
INC3	3	52	1	3437
INC4	3	53	1	3438
INC5	3	54	3	3439
SRP1	3	57	1	3441
SRP2	3	58	1	3442
SRP3	3	59	1	3443
SRP4	3	60	1	3444
SUI1	3	61	1	3445
SUI2	3	62	1	3446
SUI3	3	63	1	3447
SUI4	3	64	1	3448
SSW1	3	65	1	3449
SSW2	3	66	1	3450
SSW3	3	67	1	3451
SSW4	3	68	1	3452
SEH1	3	69	1	3453
SEH2	3	70	1	3454
SEH3	3	71	1	3455
SEH4	4	1	1	3456
NBC1	4	2	1	3457
NFO2	4	3	1	3458
NSO3	4	4	1	3459
NDF4	4	5	1	3460
PNLN	4	6	1	3461
DM13	4	7	1	3462
DM14	4	8	5	3463

INTC	4	13	1	3465	
IN1	4	14	1	3466	
IN5	4	15	1	3467	
IN6	4	16	1	3468	
IN7	4	17	1	3469	
IN8	4	18	1	3470	
IN9	4	19	1	3471	
AAMN	4	20	1	3472	HAMN These items share data
locations					
ABIE	4	21	1	3473	HBIE
APRE	4	22	1	3474	HPRE
ABUS	4	23	1	3475	HBUS
ACOU	4	24	1	3476	HCOU
AIC1	4	25	1	3477	HIC1
AIC2	4	26	1	3478	HIC2
AIC3	4	27	1	3479	HIC3
AIC4	4	28	1	3480	HIC4
AIC5	4	29	1	3481	HIC5
AIC6	4	30	1	3482	HIC6
AIC7	4	31	1	3483	HIC7
AIF1	4	32	1	3484	
AIF2	4	33	1	3485	
ASR1	4	34	1	3486	
ASR2	4	35	1	3487	
ASR3	4	36	1	3488	
AZS1	4	37	1	3489	
AZS2	4	38	1	3490	
AZS3	4	39	1	3491	
AZS4	4	40	1	3492	
BAAC	4	41	1	3493	
BJTB	4	42	1	3494	
BJTH	4	43	1	3495	
BJTA	4	44	1	3496	
BHPB	4	45	1	3497	
BHPH	4	46	1	3498	
BHPA	4	47	1	3499	
BSOB	4	48	1	3500	
BSOH	4	49	1	3501	
BOSA	4	50	1	3502	
BIF1	4	51	1	3503	
BIF2	4	52	1	3504	
BIF3	4	53	1	3505	
BIF4	4	54	1	3506	
BSR1	4	55	1	3507	
BSR2	4	56	1	3508	
BSR3	4	57	1	3509	
BZS1	4	58	1	3510	
BZS2	4	59	1	3511	
BZS3	4	60	1	3512	
BZS4	4	61	1	3513	
HIF1	4	62	1	3526	
HIF2	4	63	1	3527	
HSR1	4	64	1	3528	
HSR2	4	65	1	3529	
HSR3	4	66	1	3530	
HZS1	4	67	1	3531	
HZS2	4	68	1	3532	

HZS3	4	69	1	3533
HZS4	4	70	1	3534
RK01	5	1	1	3351
RK02	5	2	1	3352
RK03	5	3	1	3353
RK04	5	4	1	3354
B4RK	5	5	1	0
IRAC	5	6	1	0
EXC1	5	7	1	0
EXC2	5	8	1	0

Before/after R. King verdict
Interviewer ethnicity
Oversample exchange flag#1
Oversample exchange flag#2

**Characteristics of
Los Angeles County Social Survey 1992**

Principal Investigator: Lawrence Bobo, Ph.D.

Sample: Random Digit Dial (RDD) sample of all telephone households in Los Angeles county, and RDD oversamples of African American and Asian American telephone households in Los Angeles county.

Respondent Selection: Person 18 years old or over who has the next birthday and is currently living in the household.

Field Period: February 3 through July 28, 1992

Number of Interviews: 1897

Interviews by Ethnicity:

White	625
African American	483
Latino	477
Asian American	284
Other	28

Average Interview Length: 38 minutes

Main Topics: Ethnic relations; social dominance; social distance; immigration; affirmative action; and employment.

Language: English and Spanish

Methodological Components: 1) Split ballot -- Respondents were randomly selected to answer a series of questions from one of three ballots concerning immigration and affirmative action; 2) Social distance questions -- a different series of social distance questions was asked depending on the respondent's ethnicity.

Interviewing Mode: Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) System

Report Prepared By: Michael T. Greenwell

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1. Interviewer Training Guide
- Figure 2. CATI Interviewer Training Guide
- Figure 3. Interviewer Monitoring Form
- Figure 4. Detailed Report of Final Outcome Codes
- Figure 5. Summary of Final Outcome Codes
- Figure 6. Description of Occupation and Industry Codes

ATTACHMENTS

Questionnaire

Codebook

Contents

INTRODUCTION

COMPUTER-ASSISTED STUDIES

- Introduction
- The Telephone Interviewing Facility
- The SunSPARC Computer
- The CATI System

SURVEY ADMINISTRATION

- Interviewer Training
- Interviewer Performance and Progress
- Data Collection Staff
- Interviewing Days and Times
- Calling Protocols

SAMPLING PROCEDURE

- Sampling Design
- Sampling Frames
- Sample Management
- Disproportionate Weighting
- Selection Probability
- Relative Weights
- Normalized Weights

DATA COLLECTION

- Survey Instrument
- Respondent Selection
- Productivity Reports
- Final Disposition of Cases
- Codebook

SURVEY RESPONSE AND SAMPLING STATISTICS

- Cooperation Rate
- Response Rate
- Margin of Error

CODEBOOK

- Introduction
- Table of Contents
- Questionnaire Methodology
- Spanish Translated Instrument
- Question Format
- Illustration of Question Format
- Coding Procedure
- Survey Instrument with Frequencies
- Appendix A (occupation and industry frequencies)
- Appendix B (data record layout)

INTRODUCTION

The Los Angeles County Social Survey (LACSS) is part of a continuing annual research project supported by the Institute for Social Science Research at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA). The primary purpose of the Los Angeles County Social Survey is to provide UCLA and the local community with information about the opinions and attitudes of Los Angeles County residents on a wide range of topics. The LACSS also provides UCLA undergraduate and graduate students with the experience of collecting survey research data. Each year a University of California researcher is given an opportunity to be principal investigator and to use a segment of the LACSS for his or her own research. The 1992 principal investigator was Dr. Lawrence Bobo, who is Associate Professor of Sociology at UCLA.

This year's annual Los Angeles County Social Survey was conducted between February and July of 1992. Los Angeles County residents were asked questions concerning ethnic relations, immigration, employment, and government. A random digit dial sample of telephone households in Los Angeles county was called, and interviews were completed with 1897 adult respondents. These respondents were selected in a two-stage process. First, telephone households were randomly selected. Then, one adult from each eligible household was selected to do an interview. In addition, an oversample of African American and Asian American telephone households was drawn from areas in Los Angeles county. The response rate for this survey is 45 percent. The margin of error for any given question is plus or minus 3 percentage points.

COMPUTER-ASSISTED STUDIES

Introduction

Computer-Assisted Studies (CAS), the Survey Research Center's CATI facility, was established in 1975. CAS is part of the Survey Research Center within the Institute for Social Science Research at the University of California, Los Angeles. Even though CAS's main activity is computer-based telephone interviewing, it also provides UCLA researchers with staff and resources to conduct computer simulation experiments.

Over the past 25 years, CAS has played an important role in telephone survey research. CAS staff have conducted some of the first surveys using a computer-based telephone interviewing system, and they assisted in conducting the first large state-wide survey using CATI. Other important contributions of CAS staff include regularly conducting bilingual surveys, and conducting an annual survey of Los Angeles area residents. Moreover, CAS staff have conducted hundreds of surveys, and conduct more interviews per year than most university-based CATI facilities.

The CAS originated from a collective effort between the directors of the Institute for Social Science Research (ISSR) and the Center for Computer-Based Behavioral Studies (CCBS). Dr. Gerald Shure, director of CCBS, had developed a computer-based interactive system for psychology experiments and analysis and was using the CCBS facility to conduct simulation experiments. At this time, Dr. Howard Freeman, director of ISSR, was interested in applying computer technology to telephone interviewing. Together, with their initiative, experience, and foresight, they were able to bring some of the first practical applications of computer-based telephone interviewing to university survey research.

With funds from the Russell Sage Foundation, a small survey was conducted using a CATI prototype in 1975. Shortly after developing this successful CATI prototype, National Science Foundation funds were obtained to further develop and evaluate the CATI system. To this end, researchers from UC Berkeley and UCLA came together in 1977 to develop a CATI system that would be used for a large California telephone survey. Through this groundbreaking survey, a CATI system was developed that would serve CAS for more than 15 years. Members of this collaborative effort went on to other organizations to create new CATI software and to set up CATI systems in survey facilities. Quite fittingly, CAS now uses CASES, a CATI system originally created at UC Berkeley by researchers who were key members in developing the ISSR/CCBS CATI system at UCLA.

The Telephone Interviewing Facility

The Computer-Assisted Studies facility has 16 telephone interviewing stations. Ten of these stations are fully enclosed rooms, each containing a computer terminal and a telephone with headset. The other 6 interviewing stations are in 3 enclosed rooms, with 2 interviewing stations in each room. Each interviewing telephone is connected to an audio monitor so that a supervisor can monitor interviewer performance. Each computer terminal is connected to a visual monitoring screen located in the supervisors' office. The supervisors' monitor does not carry a full image of the interviewer's screen. However, it gives the monitor enough information so that he or she can effectively use the audio monitor. The visual monitor lets the supervisor know who is logged on to the computer, which question interviewers have reached in the survey instrument, how much time interviewers spend on each question, and how long they have been interviewing a particular respondent.

The SunSPARC Computer

The CAS facility operates a Stanford University Network (SUN) SPARC workstation with a UNIX-based operating system called SunOS. CAS's 16 interviewing terminals are connected to the SPARC system through an ANNEX IIE terminal server. The server processes work done on the Falco 5000 terminals located in the 16 interviewing stations. The SunOS allows CAS to conduct multiple surveys and simulation experiments simultaneously. This computer network provides CAS with powerful programming languages and 2.2 gigabytes of disk space.

The CATI System

CAS uses the CASES CATI system from the Computer-Assisted Methods Program (CSM) at the University of California, Berkeley. CASES is a comprehensive CATI package. It provides many programs for survey research including programs for building complex survey instruments, managing cases, coding text responses, and analyzing data.

SURVEY ADMINISTRATION

Interviewer Training

Interviewers were trained in the use of standard interviewing techniques and basic execution functions on the computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) system. Interviewer training was comprehensive, including at least 12 hours of instruction and practice for each interviewer. This included six hours of classroom instruction in three two-hour sessions. The main topics of these sessions were as follows: (1) the telephone survey instrument, techniques for handling refusals at initial contact, and personnel policies; (2) standard interviewing techniques; and (3) the 1992 LACSS instrument. Figure 1 is a copy of the table of contents for the "Interviewer Training Guide."

The classroom instructions were followed by practical exercises in CATI commands and interviewing techniques. These practical application sessions were divided into two main parts: a CATI demonstration with exercises; and practice interviewing sessions using the 1992 LACSS CATI instrument. While in the practice sessions, interviewer trainees were expected to read questions aloud to themselves from the computer screen, and to role-play with another trainee. During the role-playing, each trainee acts as both a respondent and as an interviewer. In addition, each interviewer trainee interviewed a supervisor. Finally, a supervisor evaluated each interviewer trainee on how well he or she carried out CATI functions and used standard interviewing techniques before the trainee was allowed to call telephone numbers from the sample. Refer to Figure 2 for an outline of the "CATI Interviewer Training Guide."

After interviewer trainees were given approval by the supervisor to begin calling, supervisors would systematically monitor their performance at initial contact and during interviews. Supervisors use standard monitoring forms to record interviewer trainee performance, and give immediate feedback to interviewer trainees who need improvement. Refer to Figure 3 for a copy of the "Interviewer Monitoring Form" used by supervisors to evaluate interviewer performance. Also, interviewer trainees were instructed to immediately contact a supervisor when they accumulated at least three refusals in a single interviewing session.

Supervisors would evaluate each interviewer trainee's performance based on the following criteria: use of CATI commands and interviewing techniques through monitoring; completion and efficiency rates; note-taking style and completeness; and attendance and reliability. Interviewer performance was monitored and progress was charted throughout the course of the entire data collection period.

Interviewer Performance and Progress

When evaluating interviewer performance, it is important not only to evaluate how well each interviewer performs his or her tasks, but also to follow interviewer performance over the duration of the data collection period and intervene to ameliorate performance which does not meet expectations. In order to evaluate overall interviewer performance over an entire survey period, it is necessary to collect the results from several different evaluations of each interviewer's behavior, and record these results over time. Evaluating interviewer performance entails evaluating three main interviewer behaviors: interviewing skills, productivity, and cooperation and responsibility. Each interviewer is expected to competently use standard interviewing techniques and CATI functions, to complete an acceptable number of interviews in a reasonable amount of time, and to be a responsible and cooperative member of the data collection staff.

Interviewing skills are evaluated by a monitor listening to interviews and scoring interviewer behavior on a monitoring form. Interviewer productivity is evaluated by calculating interview completion and calling efficiency rates. Completion and efficiency rates are automatically calculated each day and presented in the form of a report. Interviewer cooperation and responsibility are evaluated mainly by monitoring interviewer attendance. The data used to evaluate these behaviors are taken from the monitoring form, reports of interview completion and calling efficiency rates, and an attendance form.

The monitoring form provides information about the interviewer's ability to use appropriate standard interviewing techniques and CATI functions. This information is an indicator of the quality of the interview, as well as of interviewer performance. The interview completion rate measures an interviewer's success in persuading respondents to complete interviews. This is calculated by dividing the number of interviews by the sum of interviews and refusals for each interviewer. The calling efficiency rate measures how well the interviewer uses his or her calling time. This is calculated by dividing an interviewer's interviewing time by his or her total calling time. The attendance form provides information about the interviewer's responsiveness to the scheduling needs of the survey.

Each evaluated behavior is given a grade that indicates how well an interviewer is performing a particular task. Grades are separated into positive categories so that interviewers can clearly see that they have an opportunity to improve their performance (when given an unsatisfactory grade). The grading categories used in evaluating interviewer performance are excellent, adequate, and unsatisfactory (needs improvement). In order to simplify the evaluation process, grade categories are incorporated into the following coding scheme:

- S = results from the monitoring form
- P = results from interview or efficiency rates
- A = results from weekly attendance report
- N = results from text for open-ended questions or other-specify responses
- + = excellent
- 0 = adequate
- = unsatisfactory (needs improvement)

For example, "S-" on the interviewer progress chart means that the results from the monitoring form were unsatisfactory, and that this interviewer needs to improve his or her interviewing techniques or execution of CATI commands.

After a supervisor evaluates an interviewer, then he or she records the graded task on the interviewer progress chart so that other supervisors can easily see how well interviewers are doing. Immediate feedback is given when the resulting grade for any task is "-"; that is, needs improvement. Supervisors review the progress chart daily to see how each interviewer is progressing over the course of the survey period. If an interviewer is not performing up to expectations, then the supervisor works with this interviewer to improve his or her performance.

During the first week of calling, immediately after training, supervisors closely monitor each interviewer's completion rate to see how successful he or she is at persuading respondents to complete an interview. If at any time, an interviewer records more refusals than interviews, then a supervisor intervenes and attempts to identify the problem. In addition, interviewers are instructed to immediately notify a supervisor when they receive three refusals during a single interviewing session.

Shortly after all interviewers enter production (i.e., begin calling regular sample), supervisors must adjust to this change by shifting their main activities from training interviewers (in CATI commands, interviewing techniques, and personnel procedures) to monitoring and evaluating interviewer performance, and giving corrective and positive feedback to interviewers. During this next phase of supervising, supervisors are expected to keep the interviewer progress chart up to date. Supervisors are expected to review and process reports, monitor and evaluate interviewer performance, and give feedback and encouragement to interviewers.

Data Collection Staff

A total of 83 interviewers completed interviews for this survey, including 59 undergraduate students and 24 professional interviewers. The supervisory staff included 3 supervisors from the professional staff and 3 graduate students who spent most of their time monitoring interviewers. Professional interviewers completed 1326 interviews, or 70 percent of the total number of interviews. Undergraduate students only interviewed for six weeks, and they completed a total of 569 interviews.

After the data collection period, an attempt was made to obtain interviewer ethnicity. By that time it was summer vacation and most of the undergraduate students had moved or changed their telephone numbers. Consequently, interviewer ethnicity was obtained from only 31 of the 83 interviewers. Of these 31 interviewers, it was determined that 13 were white, 7 were African American, 6 were Latino, and 5 were Asian American. White interviewers completed 638 interviews, African American interviewers completed 206 interviews, Latino interviewers completed 292 interviews, and Asian American interviewers completed 165 interviews.

Interviewing Days and Times

Interviewers were scheduled 7 days a week. The following schedule represents the maximum range of days and hours scheduled.

Monday through Thursday	12:00 noon to 9:00 p.m.
Friday	3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.
Saturday	11:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
Sunday	11:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The interviewing schedule changed over the course of the data collection period according to student interviewer schedules and workload needs.

Calling Protocols

Potential household cases introduced into the sample were called a minimum number of times before they were assigned a final disposition code. Non-working numbers, businesses, and other non-household cases, by contrast, were quickly given final codes and taken out of the calling process. Household and potential household numbers were called until they were either completed or called a specific number of times. The protocols for active potential interviews are as follows:

- o A no-contact case is defined as a case where no one ever answered the telephone. These no-contact cases included no answer, busy, and answering machine dispositions. Each no-contact case was called a minimum of twelve times on different days of the week and times of day before it was given a final disposition code.
- o At least three call back appointments were arranged with someone, and the call backs were made at the appointed times, before a case would be considered for finalization. Multiple call backs would usually be given a final disposition code of persistently unavailable. However, after reading interviewer notes, some multiple call back cases were finalized as refusals. Before a case would be considered for finalization, it was called back a minimum of six times and maximum of thirty times.
- o Cases resulting in a refusal were called back again unless the refusal was firm or hostile (firmness of refusal was evaluated by supervisors based on criteria given to them during training). Cases with a final disposition of disconnected or not-in-service were confirmed by calling the telephone number again.
- o An average of approximately 9 calls were made to each potential household number, excluding business and non-working numbers.

SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Sampling Design

The purpose of this study was to survey a representative sample of adults in Los Angeles county. The study was also designed to sample subpopulations disproportionately to insure that a sufficient number of interviews for analysis would be completed with African American, Latino, and Asian American respondents. This was accomplished by drawing oversamples from areas with high concentrations of African American and Asian American telephone households. As a result, telephone numbers from these oversamples had a disproportionately better chance of being selected into the sample than those respondents living in telephone households outside the oversample areas. Disproportionate weighting of these cases was done to compensate for the differential sampling. A description of how this weighting was done can be found in the section called "Disproportionate Weighting." In the next section, the construction of the sampling frames for the general sample and oversamples are described.

Sampling Frames

The random digit dial (RDD) sample for this survey was purchased from Survey Sampling, Inc. (SSI). The RDD general sample was drawn from a three-stage stratified sampling frame. In the first stage of constructing the sampling frame, the number of telephone households in Los Angeles county was estimated. In the second stage, the telephone exchanges in the county were identified. In the third stage, working blocks of telephone numbers within each telephone exchange were identified. Finally, when these three stages were complete, two randomly-selected numbers were added to the last two digits of each selected working block of numbers. A specific number of cases was requested for the sample, based upon estimates of expected response and contact rates. A sample of this size was then randomly drawn from the sampling frame described above.

In addition to the general county-wide RDD sample described above, two RDD oversamples of African American and Asian American telephone households within Los Angeles county were introduced into the sample of numbers to be called. These oversamples were drawn by randomly selecting telephone household numbers from telephone exchanges in zip code areas that were estimated to have large percentages of African American and Asian American telephone households. Telephone exchange coverage of zip code areas with large concentrations of African American and Asian American telephone households was based on current information from Pacific Bell telephone company and from projections of 1980 Census tract data. The African American oversample was drawn from zip code areas that were estimated to have 65 percent or more African American telephone households. The Asian American oversample was

drawn from zip code areas that were estimated to have 30 percent or more Asian American telephone households. The total number of oversample numbers needed for each ethnic group was based on estimates of response rate, ethnic population density, and contact rate.

For each of these three subsamples (a single general and two oversamples), the total number of households in the sampling frame had to be estimated in order to calculate estimated selection probabilities. The total estimated telephone households for the general and oversample frames were projections based on 1980 Census tract information, since 1990 telephone household information was not available when the sampling frame was created. The resulting totals of estimated telephone households for each sampling frame is as follows: 2,826,216 telephone households for the general sample; 47,417 for the African American oversample; and 111,240 for the Asian American oversample. Though oversample exchanges overlap with the general sample, oversample exchanges are mutually exclusive with regard to each other; an exchange number from one oversample is not contained in another oversample.

Sample Management

Completed interview goals for each ethnic group were set prior to data collection. Interview goals were as follows:

White.....	625 interviews
African American.....	475 interviews
Latino.....	475 interviews
Asian American.....	225 interviews
Total.....	1800 interviews

The general sample was introduced into the data collection process first, and oversample numbers were not added until the completion goal for white respondents was within reach. Then, African American oversample numbers were introduced. Replicates (new, randomly-selected groups of telephone numbers within a particular sample type) of African American oversample telephone numbers were introduced until it could be estimated that the completion goal would be met using the standard calling protocols. A description of standard calling procedures can be found in the section called "Calling Protocols." Lastly, replicates of the Asian American oversample were introduced to meet the completion goal for Asian American respondents.

From this point on, no more telephone numbers were introduced into the sample. All remaining cases were called until the survey's standard calling procedures were completed for these cases. Latino oversample numbers were not needed because the completion goal for this group was reached during the course of calling numbers from other subsamples. After a completion goal for a specific ethnic group was reached, potential interviews from that

ethnic group were systematically screened out. Specifically, screened-out respondents were asked only four questions, including ethnicity, and these potential interviews were then automatically ended if respondents were from an ethnic group for which the completion goal had already been met.

The following figure shows the distribution of interviews within each ethnic group across each sample type.

Interviews by Ethnicity and Oversample

	<u>General</u>	<u>Oversample</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
		<u>African American</u>	<u>Asian American</u>	
White	473	28	124	625
African American	80	403	0	483
Latino	232	126	119	477
Asian American	78	18	188	284
Other	7	2	8	17
DK	1	1	0	2
RF	<u>1</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>9</u>
TOTAL	872	585	440	1897

Disproportionate Weighting

Weights were used to compensate proportionally for differential selection probabilities. The weighting and normalizing procedure took basically three steps to complete. These steps involved estimating (1) a selection probability, (2) a relative weight, and (3) a normalized weight for each ethnic group within each sample type (hereafter "each ethnic group within each sample type" will be called "each sample group."). The first step was to calculate selection probabilities for each sample group, including the number of screened-out cases for each group. The second step was to calculate sample weights specific to each sample group so that each group would have a weight proportionate to the original number of interviews for that group. The third step was to calculate normalized weights so that the average interview would have the weight of one. This normalization was done so that the researchers could compute percentages accurately using a statistical package like SPSSX.

Selection Probability

When each telephone household does not have the same probability of selection, which was the case in this survey, it is necessary to calculate weights to adjust for the differential selection probabilities. This is done by first estimating selection probabilities for each sample group. The estimated selection probability for each sample group in this survey was calculated by using the following formula:

SAMPLE TYPE: General or Oversample
 ETHNIC GROUP: White, African American, Latino, or Asian American
 and Other

$$\frac{\text{eligible households}}{\text{est. telephone households}} \times \frac{\text{interviews}}{\text{interviews} + \text{screens}}$$

For example:

SAMPLE TYPE -- General
 ETHNIC GROUP -- Asian American, American Indian, and Other

$$\frac{2078}{2,826,216} \times \frac{87}{87 + 0} = .000735$$

Sample type -- General
 Ethnic group -- African American

$$\frac{2078}{2,826,216} \times \frac{80}{80 + 24} = .000565$$

* no cases were screened-out from this sample group,
 hence screens equal zero.

After the selection probability for each sample group was estimated, the reciprocal of the selection probability was taken for each sample group. The reciprocal of the selection probability was taken so that relative weights for each sample group could be calculated. An example of such a reciprocal is:

SAMPLE TYPE -- General
 ETHNIC GROUP -- African American

$$\frac{1}{.000565} = 1769.9115 \quad (\text{reciprocal of selection probability})$$

Relative Weights

After the reciprocal of the selection probability for each sample group was taken, a relative weight was assigned to each sample group. This relative weight for each sample group was calculated by first assigning the weight of 1 to the sample group with the highest reciprocal value (an arbitrary choice), and dividing the reciprocal value for each group by the highest reciprocal value among all the sample groups. For example, the reciprocal value for the Asian American/Other group in the general sample was 1360.5442 and the highest reciprocal value (for African American respondents in the general sample) was 1769.9115. Thus, the relative weight for the Asian American/Other group within the general sample was .7687 (1360.5442 divided by 1769.9115).

Normalized Weights

The next step was to normalize weights for all groups so that the average interview would have the weight of one. This was done by first multiplying the relative weight for each sample group by its total number of interviews. This produced a weighted total number of interviews for each sample group. The weighted group totals were then used to calculate an average weight for the entire sample as follows: the weighted group totals were summed across all sample groups, giving a total weighted number of interviews. This weighted total was then divided by the actual number of interviews, giving the overall average weight. In this case, 1897 completed interviews were divided by 778 weighted interviews. Hence, the overall average weight for this survey was 2.4383. Finally, a normalized weight for each sample group was calculated by multiplying the relative weight for each group by the overall average weight. This produced a normalized weight for each sample group. The normalized weights for sample groups are as follows:

Normalized Weights for Sample Groups

<u>General Sample</u>	<u>African American Oversample</u>	<u>Asian American Oversample</u>
Asian/Other 1.8743	Asian/Other .0392	Asian/Other .0577
White 2.0257	White .0504	White .1470
Latino 2.1193	Latino .0438	Latino .2040
African Am. 2.4383	African Am. .0658	*

* No interviews were conducted with African American respondents in the Asian American oversample.

DATA COLLECTION

Survey Instrument

The instrument used in this survey included approximately 160 substantive questions per interview: approximately 80 core questions were taken from last year's survey; 80 new substantive questions were added; and 7 standard interview evaluation questions were added to the end of the instrument. The total number of different questions on the survey instrument, counting duplicate questions in split ballots and parallel branching, was over 250. The average length of an interview was 38 minutes. A 1992 LACSS questionnaire without frequency distributions is attached to this summary report.

Respondent Selection

Interviewers selected a respondent to interview by asking to speak with someone 18 years old or over currently living in the household who will have the next birthday. Using the "next birthday" method for selecting a respondent (the person to

interview) assumes that the interviewer speaks with a knowledgeable person. If the person answering the telephone is not a knowledgeable person, then the respondent is randomly selected from the number of adults in the household. This type of selection is done by using a chart of random numbers. For example, if there were 3 adults living in the household, the interviewer would assign each eligible adult a number, then select the respondent whose number appears first on the chart of random numbers. This procedure for randomly selecting a respondent was seldom used. The distribution by gender of respondents who completed an interview is 54.9 percent female and 45.1 percent male.

Productivity Figures

A total of 58,064 calls were made to complete 1897 interviews; that is, an average of 31 calls was made per completed interview. These calls were distributed among 10,400 cases. Of these 10,400 cases, 6259 could be considered as eligible or potentially eligible households. Hence, an average of approximately 9 calls were made to each potentially eligible telephone household, excluding business and non-working numbers.

The 1897 interviews are distributed in the following ways:

Interviews by Interviewer Type and Language

	<u>Number of Interviews</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
<u>Interviewer Type</u>		
Students	569	30%
Professional	<u>1326</u>	<u>70</u>
TOTAL	1895	100%
 <u>Language in which Latinos were Interviewed</u>		
Spanish	265	56%
English	<u>212</u>	<u>44</u>
TOTAL	477	100%

Final Disposition Codes

After all the cases in the sample were called a sufficient number of times, every case was assigned a final disposition code. Most cases were automatically finalized during the course of data collection. However, some cases required that someone review the history of calls made and assign an appropriate final disposition code to each case. Figure 4 provides a list of final disposition codes and definitions. Figure 4 also shows the number of cases assigned to each final disposition code. Figure 5 provides a summary of those final codes and their totals. Final disposition codes are used to calculate cooperation and response rates, discussed below.

Codebook

A codebook was created using Conversational Survey Analysis program from the CASES CATI system. This comprehensive codebook is included as part of this summary report. For a detailed description of the codebook's contents, please refer to the introduction and table of contents of the attached codebook. Figure 6 is a description of the categories used to classify employed respondent's occupation and industry responses into numeric codes.

SURVEY RESPONSE AND SAMPLING STATISTICS

Cooperation Rate

After every case in the sample was assigned a final disposition code, cooperation and response rates were calculated. The cooperation rate is calculated by dividing the number of completed interviews by the sum of completed interviews plus refused cases. That is, given that an interviewer has someone on the telephone from an eligible household, the cooperation rate indicates how successful he or she was in obtaining an interview from that household. Unlike the response rate, the cooperation rate excludes callbacks from the denominator. As a result, the cooperation rate indicates how successful an interviewer is at conducting an interview with a case that results in either an interview or a refusal. Hence, the overall cooperation rate for the survey may offer some indication of how successful the interviewing staff was at persuading respondents to complete interviews. The cooperation rate for this survey was 55 percent.

Response Rate

The estimated response rate for this survey was 45 percent. A response rate is a way of evaluating data collection activities. The response rate can give the researcher an estimate of how successful the data collection effort was at conducting interviews with eligible telephone households in the sample. The response rate also gives the survey practitioner an estimate of how successful interviewers were at completing interviews with an eligible respondent from an eligible telephone household. The response rate calculation for this survey is as follows, with "CBs" indicating persistently unavailable call backs, "Refs" refusals, "Ill" that the respondent was too ill or incapable to complete an interview, and "away" that the respondent was away for the duration of the survey period:

$$\begin{array}{r} \text{Interviews} \\ \text{-----} = \\ (\text{Interviews} + \text{CBs.} + \text{Refs.} + \text{Ill} + \text{Away}) \\ \\ 1897 \\ \text{----} = .4530 \\ 4188 \end{array}$$

Margin of Error

The margin of error for this survey was plus or minus 3 percentage points, i.e., the chances are 95 in 100 that the value being estimated by the responses to any item lies within a range equal to the reported percentage plus or minus 3 percentage points. This estimated margin of error was taken from Table 14.1.I in Kish (1965, 576).

References

Kish, Leslie. 1965. Survey Sampling. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

GENERAL TRAINING MATERIALS

* Confidentiality Form i
 * Computer-Assisted Studies Map ii

INITIAL CONTACT

INTRODUCTIONS

* Persuasion Techniques iii
 * What Respondents Might Like To Know iv
 * Worksheet v

STANDARDIZED INTERVIEWING TECHNIQUES

MODEL INTERVIEW

* The Interviewer's Task 3
 * Model Interview 7

PART I: ASKING THE QUESTION

* Asking the Question 25
 * Exercise #1 53

PART II: CLARIFICATION 111

Q-BY-Q OBJECTIVES FOR MODEL INTERVIEW

* Questions about Employment 152

PART III: PROBING FOR ANSWERS

* Probing Techniques 172
 -Occupation/Industry and Special Probes 187
 -Neutral Prefaces 188
 * Standard Probes and Clarifications Key 197
 * Exercise #3 202

PART IV: FEEDBACK

* Standard Feedback Phrases 243
 * Exercise #5 247

PRACTICE INTERVIEWS #2

* Blank Questionnaire 325
 * Interview Evaluations 341
 * Answer Key or Script 361

/90

**Institute for Social Science Research
Survey Research Center
CATI Facility**

CATI INTERVIEWER TRAINING GUIDE

Welcome and introduction to the ISSR/SRC

Survey Research Center

Overview, background, and plans.

Relationship to other CATI facilities and research organizations across the country.

Relationship to the Institute and the University.

A summary of past SRC projects

SRC organizational structure

SRC computer, operating system, and CATI system.

Current schedule of surveys

Brief description of current projects

Budgets, competition, and costs (operations and quality).

Interviewer's role in the SRC

Personnel policies and procedures

Policies -- appearance, smoking, alcohol and drugs, breaks and lunch, shift hours, snacks, liquid containers, outside reading materials, and attendance.

Procedures -- parking, sign-in sheet, bulletin board, mailbox, fire exits, earth-quake provisions, first-aid supplies, water, restrooms, call-station assignments, project assignments, availability sheets, schedules (posted and personalized), timesheets, pay periods, and pay checks.

Job description

Evaluations:

Personnel -- attendance, cooperation, reliability, and efficiency.

Performance -- monitoring, interview rate, call back rate, and refusal conversion rate.

Confidentiality

Attendance

Interviewer availability

Scheduling

Interviewer feedback

Grievance procedures

CATI INTERVIEWER TRAINING GUIDE (continued)

Survey Research Process

Data collection process

Interviewing -- transformation of telephone numbers
Case flow -- calling, interviewing, finalizing, and activating cases.
Case management -- call limits for specific cases.
Distribution of interviews over time curve
The product -- dataset.

Interviewing standards

Training -- standardized interviewing techniques
Monitoring interviewer performance
CATI branching and checks
Verification of interviews

Standardized interviewing techniques

Rapport, reading questions as worded, pace, neutral probes and clarifications, and feedback.
Tape recording of a model interview
Exercises:
Listening and evaluating
Probes
Clarifications
Feedback
Practice interview with partner

Refusal conversion techniques and exercises

Introduction worksheet
Introduction in interviewer's own words
Scripts and techniques for converting reluctant and intimidated respondents.
Note-taking style
Calling a refusal back

Computer-assisted telephone interviewing

Summary of important CATI commands: entry level codes for closed-ended, open-ended, other specify, and range responses; and function level codes for jumping back to previously answered questions, changing an answer, noting a comment, setting a call back or a refusal, and sending a case to supervisorhold.

Front end of the instrument

verification of phone number, introduction and purpose of survey, persuasion (if needed), respondent selection, and screening.

Substantive part of the instrument

Questionnaire -- question form, question order, and response category form.

CATI INTERVIEWER TRAINING GUIDE (continued)

Characteristics of Current Survey(s)

Purpose of the survey

Sponsor, completion goal, length, and timeline.
Sample type, area, and size.

Survey instrument (front end)

Interviewer ID number
Interviewer login and dialing instructions
Introduction
Respondent selection process
Screening questions, if any.

Survey instrument (substantive)

Read through entire questionnaire and note:
General ordering of questions
Blocks and series of questions
Open-ended questions
Other specific questions
Questions that may need probes and clarifications.
Time references
Ambiguous terms
Special instructions

Application of CATI Commands and Interviewing Techniques

CATI interviewer training

A supervisor will instruct each interviewer how to go through a CATI instrument by showing them how to use CATI function keys. A supervisor also will assist the interviewer through a series of special CATI operations, including setting a call back, calling a call back, taking a note, setting a refusal, and sending a problem case to be held for a supervisor to review.

Practice interviewing

Each interviewer will read aloud a practice interview. Then the interviewer must conduct a practice interview with a supervisor.

Trainee evaluations

A supervisor must evaluate each interviewer trainee on his/her ability to use CATI interviewing operations and interviewing techniques. Each trainee must demonstrate that he/she can adequately carry out CATI functions and appropriately use standard interviewing techniques. A supervisor must approve each interviewer's performance, using a checklist of activities, before a trainee can begin to call telephone numbers in the sample.

1/92

CATI MONITORING FORM

Interviewer Name: _____
 Study Name: _____
 Case #: _____
 Question begin/end: _____
 Date: _____

Interviewer ID: _____
 Project #: _____
 Monitor #: _____
 Time begin/end: _____

YES NO/ITEM

INTRODUCTION

Mentions name	[]	[]
Mentions sponsorship	[]	[]
Confirms number	[]	[]
Establishes residence	[]	[]
Selects appropriate respondent	[]	[]
Mentions study's purpose	[]	[]
Mentions confidentiality	[]	[]
Is pleasant, positive approach	[]	[]
Persuades when necessary	[]	[]
Knowledgeable about study	[]	[]
Expression in own words	[]	[]
Maintains a continuous flow of expression	[]	[]
(does not pause too much)		
Expresses statements, not unnecessary questions	[]	[]

QUESTIONNAIRE

READING QUESTIONS AS WORDED

Reads question(s) correctly	[]	[]
Reads question(s) incorrectly, minor changes'	[]	[]
Reads question(s) with major changes	[]	[]

PACE

Reads question(s) at correct pace	[]	[]
Reads question(s) too fast	[]	[]
Reads question(s) too slow	[]	[]

CLARIFICATIONS

Provides definition(s)/clarification(s) correctly, does not provide personal definitions or interpretations	[]	[]
Provides definition(s)/clarification(s) when necessary	[]	[]

CATI MONITORING FORM (cont.)

YES NO/ITEM

PROBES

Probes for more specific information, attempts
to get clarity and direction [] []
Probes for more information when necessary [] []
Uses neutral probes [] []

REPEATING QUESTIONS

Repeats question(s) correctly, does not make change [] []
Fails to repeat question(s) when necessary [] []

REPEATING RESPONSE CATEGORIES

Repeats response categories correctly,
does not make change, or does not leave out
a category [] []
Repeats response categories when necessary [] []

FEEDBACK

Delivers appropriate feedback, does not
bias responses by expressing encouragement
or dissatisfaction [] []
Delivers feedback regularly, but not all the time [] []

OVERALL CLARITY

Pronounces words correctly, pronunciation does not
lead to (possible) misinterpretation [] []
Reads item(s) in a normal and in an easy to understand
manner (does not use inappropriate inflection or
exaggerated emphasis, lacks intonation, or has
monotone expression) [] []

NOTES

Appropriate note taking style [] []

CATI FUNCTIONS AND COMMANDS

Executes normal functions and commands correctly..... [] []

COMMENTS: _____

FEEDBACK GIVEN: _____

FIGURE 4.

Disposition of finalized cases by FNL values:

FNL	Description	Total
01	Completed interview	1897
02	Screen - No data	192
03	Screen - R.K. data only	756
04	Screen - R.K. data and data for preceeding questions	58
20	Refusal: residence not established	86
21	Refusal: respondent undetermined	535
22	Refusal by informant for respondent	98
23	Refusal by respondent	472
24	Respondent persistantly unavailable	566
25	Refusal - Firm Refusal by I (no conv. attempt)	269
26	Refusal - Firm Refusal by I for R (no conv. attempt)	20
27	Refusal - Firm Refusal by R (no conv. attempt)	56
29	Deny any such person at residence	0
30	Inaccessible: residence not established	0
31	Informant inaccessible	0
32	Respondent inaccessible, no longer at residence	25
33	Informant language barrier	64
34	Respondent language barrier	351
35	Respondent Deceased	1
36	Respondent incapacitated, too ill, disabled	94
37	Residents away for duration, on vacation, or moved	7
38	Respondent away for duration	48
40	No adults at this household	14
41	No adult citizens at this household	0
42	Business/Computer/Pay/Mobile phone/Fax	1146
43	Group quarters, nursing home, hospital	24
49	Other non-household	14
50	Non-working number	2871
51	Wrong connection or wrong number	60
52	Funny signal	4
53	No ring	0
60	Never answered (12 attempts)	401
61	Mechanical answering device (12 attempts)	168
62	Regular busy (12 attempts)	10
63	Two regular busies	0
64	Never answered/regular busy (12 attempts)	71
70	Circuit problems	3
71	Answering service	1
72	Temporarily not in service	4
73	Problems with the lines	0
74	Invalid interview	14

Total number of finalized cases = 10400

1992
 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SOCIAL SURVEY
 FINAL OUTCOME CODES AND TOTALS
 SUMMARY

TOTAL CASES IN SAMPLE = 10400

CONTACTS	OUTCOME	CODE	TOTAL
W/Households	Complete	(1)	1897
	Refusal	(20,21,22,23,25,26,27)	1536
	Language barrier	(33,34)	415
	Finalized household	(24,32,35,36,38)	734
	Other ineligible-household	(37,40)	21
	Invalid interview	(74)	14
	Screened interview	(2,3,4)	1006
		TOTAL	5623
Non-Households	Non-sample	(42,43,49,50,51,52,70,71,73)	4127
	No contact	(60,61,62,64)	650
		TOTAL	4777
GRAND TOTAL			10400

Occupational Classification System

FIGURE 6.

Equivalent numeric codes follow the alphabetic code. Either code may be used, depending on the processing method. Numbers in parentheses following the occupation categories are the 1980 Standard Occupational Classification code equivalents. The abbreviation "pt" means "part" and "n.e.c." means "not elsewhere classified."

Occupation code	Occupation category	Occupation code	Occupation category
MANAGERIAL AND PROFESSIONAL SPECIALTY OCCUPATIONS		MANAGERIAL AND PROFESSIONAL SPECIALTY OCCUPATIONS—Con.	
Executive, Administrative, and Managerial Occupations		Professional Specialty Occupations—Con.	
003	Legislators (111)	048	Chemical engineers (1826)
004	Chief executives and general administrators, public administration (112)	049	Nuclear engineers (1827)
005	Administrators and officials, public administration (1132-1139)	053	Civil engineers (1828)
006	Administrators, protective services (1131)	054	Agricultural engineers (1832)
007	Financial managers (122)	055	Electrical and electronic engineers (1633, 1636)
008	Personnel and labor relations managers (123)	056	Industrial engineers (1834)
009	Purchasing managers (124)	057	Mechanical engineers (1835)
013	Managers, marketing, advertising, and public relations (125)	058	Marine engineers and naval architects (1637)
014	Administrators, education and related fields (128)	059	Engineers, n.e.c. (1839)
015	Managers, medicine and health (131)	063	Surveyors and mapping scientists (184)
116	Managers, properties and real estate (1353)	Mathematical and computer scientists	
J17	Postmasters and mail superintendents (1344)	064	Computer systems analysts and scientists (171)
018	Funeral directors (pt 1359)	065	Operations and systems researchers and analysts (172)
019	Managers and administrators, n.e.c. (121, 126, 127, 132-139, except 1344, 1353, pt 1359)	066	Actuaries (1732)
Management related occupations		067	Statisticians (1733)
023	Accountants and auditors (1412)	068	Mathematical scientists, n.e.c. (1739)
024	Underwriters (1414)	Natural scientists	
025	Other financial officers (1415, 1419)	069	Physicists and astronomers (1842, 1843)
026	Management analysts (142)	073	Chemists, except biochemists (1845)
027	Personnel, training, and labor relations specialists (143)	074	Atmospheric and space scientists (1846)
028	Purchasing agents and buyers, farm products (1443)	075	Geologists and geodesists (1847)
029	Buyers, wholesale and retail trade, except farm products (1442)	076	Physical scientists, n.e.c. (1849)
033	Purchasing agents and buyers, n.e.c. (1449)	077	Agricultural and food scientists (1853)
034	Business and promotion agents (145)	078	Biological and life scientists (1854)
035	Construction inspectors (1472)	079	Forestry and conservation scientists (1852)
036	Inspectors and compliance officers, exc. construction (1473)	083	Medical scientists (1855)
037	Management related occupations, n.e.c. (149)	Health diagnosing occupations	
Professional Specialty Occupations		084	Physicians (261)
Engineers, architects, and surveyors		085	Dentists (262)
043	Architects (181)	086	Veterinarians (27)
Engineers		087	Optometrists (281)
044	Aerospace engineers (1822)	088	Podiatrists (283)
045	Metallurgical and materials engineers (1823)	089	Health diagnosing practitioners, n.e.c. (289)
46	Mining engineers (1824)	Health assessment and treating occupations	
047	Petroleum engineers (1825)	095	Registered nurses (29)
		096	Pharmacists (301)
		097	Dietitians (302)
		Therapists	
		098	Inhalation therapists (3031)
		099	Occupational therapists (3032)
		103	Physical therapists (3033)
		104	Speech therapists (3034)
		105	Therapists, n.e.c. (3039)

Occupational Classification System

Occupation code	Occupation category	Occupation code	Occupation category
MANAGERIAL AND PROFESSIONAL SPECIALTY OCCUPATIONS—Con.		MANAGERIAL AND PROFESSIONAL SPECIALTY OCCUPATIONS—Con.	
Professional Specialty Occupations—Con.		Professional Specialty Occupations—Con.	
Health assessment and treating occupations—Con.		Social, recreation, and religious workers	
106	Physicians' assistants (304)	174	Social workers (2032)
Teachers, postsecondary		175	Recreation workers (2033)
113	Earth, environmental, and marine science teachers (2212)	176	Clergy (2042)
114	Biological science teachers (2213)	177	Religious workers, n.s.c. (2049)
115	Chemistry teachers (2214)	178	Lawyers and judges
116	Physics teachers (2215)	179	Lawyers (211)
117	Natural science teachers, n.s.c. (2216)		Judges (212)
118	Psychology teachers (2217)	183	Writers, artists, entertainers, and athletes
119	Economics teachers (2218)	184	Authors (321)
123	History teachers (2222)	185	Technical writers (308)
124	Political science teachers (2223)	186	Designers (322)
125	Sociology teachers (2224)	187	Musicians and composers (323)
126	Social science teachers, n.s.c. (2225)	188	Actors and directors (324)
127	Engineering teachers (2226)		Painters, sculptors, craft-artists, and artist printmakers (325)
128	Mathematical science teachers (2227)	189	Photographers (326)
129	Computer science teachers (2228)	193	Dancers (327)
133	Medical science teachers (2231)	194	Artists, performers, and related workers, n.s.c. (328, 329)
134	Health specialties teachers (2232)	195	Editors and reporters (331)
135	Business, commerce, and marketing teachers (2233)	197	Public relations specialists (332)
136	Agriculture and forestry teachers (2234)	198	Announcers (333)
137	Art, drama, and music teachers (2235)	199	Athletes (34)
138	Physical education teachers (2236)		
139	Education teachers (2237)		
143	English teachers (2238)		
144	Foreign language teachers (2242)		
145	Law teachers (2243)		
146	Social work teachers (2244)		
147	Theology teachers (2245)		
148	Trade and industrial teachers (2246)		
149	Home economics teachers (2247)		
153	Teachers, postsecondary, n.s.c. (2249)	203	TECHNICAL, SALES, AND ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT OCCUPATIONS
154	Postsecondary teachers, subject not specified		
Teachers, except postsecondary			
155	Teachers, prekindergarten and kindergarten (231)	204	Technicians and Related Support Occupations
N (156)	Teachers, elementary school (232)	205	Health technologists and technicians
P (157)	Teachers, secondary school (233)	206	Clinical laboratory technologists and technicians (362)
158	Teachers, special education (235)	207	Dental hygienists (363)
159	Teachers, n.s.c. (236, 239)	208	Health record technologists and technicians (364)
163	Counselors, educational and vocational (24)		Radiologic technicians (365)
			Licensed practical nurses (366)
			Health technologists and technicians, n.s.c. (369)
			Technologists and technicians, except health
			Engineering and related technologists and technicians
		213	Electrical and electronic technicians (3711)
		214	Industrial engineering technicians (3712)
164	Librarians, archivists, and curators	215	Mechanical engineering technicians (3713)
165	Librarians (251)	216	Engineering technicians, n.s.c. (3719)
	Archivists and curators (252)	217	Drafting occupations (372)
		218	Surveying and mapping technicians (373)
	Social scientists and urban planners		Science technicians
166	Economists (1912)	223	Biological technicians (382)
167	Psychologists (1915)	224	Chemical technicians (3831)
168	Sociologists (1916)	225	Science technicians, n.s.c. (3832, 3833, 384, 389)
169	Social scientists, n.s.c. (1913, 1914, 1919)		
173	Urban planners (192)		

Occupation code	Occupation category	Occupation code	Occupation category
TECHNICAL, SALES, AND ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT OCCUPATIONS—Con.		TECHNICAL, SALES, AND ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT OCCUPATIONS—Con.	
Technicians and Related Support Occupations—Con.		Administrative Support Occupations, Including Clerical—Con.	
	Technicians, except health, engineering, and science		Supervisors, administrative support occupations—Con.
226	Airplane pilots and navigators (825)		Supervisors; distribution, scheduling, and adjusting clerks (4522, 4524-4528)
227	Air traffic controllers (382)	307	Computer equipment operators
228	Broadcast equipment operators (393)		Computer operators (4612)
229	Computer programmers (3971, 3972)		Peripheral equipment operators (4613)
233	Tool programmers, numerical control (3974)		Secretaries, stenographers, and typists
234	Legal assistants (398)	308	Secretaries (4622)
235	Technicians, n.e.c. (399)	309	Stenographers (4623)
			Typists (4624)
	Sales Occupations	R (313)	Information clerks
		314	Interviewers (4642)
243	Supervisors and proprietors, sales occupations (40)	315	Hotel clerks (4643)
	Sales representatives, finance and business services		Transportation ticket and reservation agents (4644)
253	Insurance sales occupations (4122)	316	Receptionists (4645)
254	Real estate sales occupations (4123)	317	Information clerks, n.e.c. (4649)
255	Securities and financial services sales occupations (4124)	318	Records processing occupations, except financial
256	Advertising and related sales occupations (4153)	319	Classified-ad clerks (4662)
257	Sales occupations, other business services (4152)	323	Correspondence clerks (4663)
	Sales representatives, commodities except retail	325	Order clerks (4664)
258	Sales engineers (421)	326	Personnel clerks, except payroll and timekeeping (4662)
259	Sales representatives, mining, manufacturing, and wholesale (423, 424)	327	Library clerks (4694)
	Sales workers, retail and personal services	328	File clerks (4696)
263	Sales workers, motor vehicles and boats (4342, 4344)	329	Records clerks (4699)
264	Sales workers, apparel (4346)		Financial records processing occupations
265	Sales workers, shoes (4351)		Bookkeepers, accounting, and auditing clerks (4712)
266	Sales workers, furniture and home furnishings (4348)	S (337)	Payroll and timekeeping clerks (4713)
267	Sales workers; radio, television, hi-fi, and appliances (4343, 4352)	338	Billing clerks (4715)
268	Sales workers, hardware and building supplies (4353)	339	Cost and rate clerks (4716)
269	Sales workers, parts (4367)	343	Billing, posting, and calculating machine operators (4718)
274	Sales workers, other commodities (4345, 4347, 4354, 4356, 4359, 4362, 4369)	344	Duplicating, mail and other office machine operators
275	Sales counter clerks (4363)		Duplicating machine operators (4722)
Q (276)	Cashiers (4364)	345	Mail preparing and paper handling machine operators (4723)
277	Street and door-to-door sales workers (4366)	346	Office machine operators, n.e.c. (4729)
278	News vendors (4365)	347	Communications equipment operators
	Sales related occupations		Telephone operators (4732)
283	Demonstrators, promoters and models, sales (445)		Telegraphers (4733)
284	Auctioneers (447)	348	Communications equipment operators, n.e.c. (4739)
285	Sales support occupations, n.e.c. (444, 446, 449)	349	Mail and message distributing occupations
		353	Postal clerks, exc. mail carriers (4742)
	Administrative Support Occupations, Including Clerical		Mail carriers, postal service (4743)
	Supervisors, administrative support occupations		Mail clerks, exc. postal service (4744)
303	Supervisors, general office (4511, 4513-4519, 4629)	354	Messengers (4745)
		355	Material recording, scheduling, and distributing clerks, n.e.c.
304	Supervisors, computer equipment operators (4512)	356	Dispatchers (4751)
309	Supervisors, financial records processing (4521)	357	Production coordinators (4752)
306	Chief communications operators (4523)	359	
		363	

Occupation code	Occupation category	Occupation code	Occupation category
	TECHNICAL, SALES, AND ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT OCCUPATIONS—Con.		SERVICE OCCUPATIONS—Con.
	Administrative Support Occupations, Including Clerical—Con.		Protective Service Occupations—Con.
	Material recording, scheduling, and distributing clerks, n.s.c.—Con.		Guards
	Traffic, shipping, and receiving clerks (4753)	425	Crossing guards (5142)
364	Stock and inventory clerks (4754)	426	Guards and police, exc. public service (5144)
365	Meter readers (4755)	427	Protective service occupations, n.s.c. (5149)
366	Weighers, measurers, and checkers (4756)		Service Occupations, Except Protective and Household
368	Samplers (4757)	433	Food preparation and service occupations
369	Expeditors (4758)		Supervisors, food preparation and service occupations (5211)
373	Material recording, scheduling, and distributing clerks, n.s.c. (4759)	434	Bartenders (5212)
374	Adjusters and investigators	U (435)	Waiters and waitresses (5213)
	Insurance adjusters, examiners, and investigators (4782)	436	Cooks, except short order (5214)
375	Investigators and adjusters, except insurance (4783)	437	Short-order cooks (5215)
376	Eligibility clerks, social welfare (4784)	438	Food counter, fountain and related occupations (5216)
377	Bill and account collectors (4786)	439	Kitchen workers, food preparation (5217)
378	Miscellaneous administrative support occupations	443	Waiters'/waitresses' assistants (5218)
	General office clerks (463)	444	Miscellaneous food preparation occupations (5219)
379	Bank tellers (4791)		Health service occupations
383	Proofreaders (4792)	445	Dental assistants (5232)
384	Data-entry keyers (4793)	446	Health aides, except nursing (5233)
385	Statistical clerks (4794)	447	Nursing aides, orderlies, and attendants (5236)
386	Teachers' aides (4795)		Cleaning and building service occupations, except household
387	Administrative support occupations, n.s.c. (4787, 4799)	448	Supervisors, cleaning and building service workers (5241)
		449	Maids and housemen (5242, 5249)
		V (453)	Janitors and cleaners (5244)
	SERVICE OCCUPATIONS	454	Elevator operators (5245)
	Private Household Occupations	455	Pest control occupations (5246)
	Launderers and ironers (503)		Personal service occupations
403	Cooks, private household (504)	456	Supervisors, personal service occupations (5251)
404	Housekeepers and butlers (505)	457	Barbers (5252)
405	Child care workers, private household (506)	458	Hairdressers and cosmetologists (5253)
406	Private household cleaners and servants (502, 507, 509)	459	Attendants, amusement and recreation facilities (5254)
T (407)			Guides (5255)
	Protective Service Occupations	463	Ushers (5256)
	Supervisors, protective service occupations	464	Public transportation attendants (5257)
413	Supervisors, firefighting and fire prevention occupations (5111)	465	Baggage porters and bellhops (5262)
414	Supervisors, police and detectives (5112)	466	Welfare service aides (5263)
415	Supervisors, guards (5113)	467	Child care workers, except private household (5264)
	Firefighting and fire prevention occupations	468	Personal service occupations, n.s.c. (5258, 5269)
416	Fire inspection and fire prevention occupations (5122)	469	
417	Firefighting occupations (5123)		FARMING, FORESTRY, AND FISHING OCCUPATIONS
	Police and detectives		Farm operators and managers
418	Police and detectives, public service (5132)	W (473)	Farmers, except horticultural (5512-5514)
423	Sheriffs, bailiffs, and other law enforcement officers (5134)	474	Horticultural specialty farmers (5515)
424	Correctional institution officers (5133)	475	Managers, farms, except horticultural (5522-5524)
		476	Managers, horticultural specialty farms (5525)

Occupation code	Occupation category	Occupation code	Occupation category
FARMING, FORESTRY, AND FISHING OCCUPATIONS—Con.		PRECISION PRODUCTION, CRAFT, AND REPAIR OCCUPATIONS—Con.	
Other agricultural and related occupations		Mechanics and repairers—Con.	
Farm occupations, except managerial		Mechanics and repairers, except supervisors—Con.	
477	Supervisors, farm workers (5611)	535	Miscellaneous mechanics and repairers
479	Farm workers (5612-5617)		Camera, watch, and musical instrument repairers (6171, 6172)
483	Marine life cultivation workers (5618)	536	Locksmiths and safe repairers (6173)
484	Nursery workers (5619)	538	Office machine repairers (6174)
Related agricultural occupations		539	Mechanical controls and valve repairers (6175)
485	Supervisors, related agricultural occupations (5621)	543	Elevator installers and repairers (6176)
486	Groundskeepers and gardeners, except farm (5622)	544	Millwrights (6178)
487	Animal caretakers, except farm (5624)	547	Specified mechanics and repairers, n.s.c. (6177, 6179)
488	Graders and sorters, agricultural products (5625)		Not specified mechanics and repairers
489	Inspectors, agricultural products (5627)	549	
Forestry and logging occupations		Construction trades	
494	Supervisors, forestry and logging workers (571)	553	Supervisors, construction occupations
495	Forestry workers, except logging (572)		Supervisors; brickmasons, stonemasons, and tile setters (6312)
496	Timber cutting and logging occupations (573, 579)	554	Supervisors, carpenters and related workers (6313)
Fishers, hunters, and trappers		555	Supervisors, electricians and power transmission installers (6314)
497	Captains and other officers, fishing vessels (pt 8241)	556	Supervisors; painters, paperhangers, and plasterers (6315)
498	Fishers (583)	557	Supervisors; plumbers, pipefitters, and steamfitters (6316)
499	Hunters and trappers (584)	558	Supervisors, n.s.c. (6311, 6318)
PRECISION PRODUCTION, CRAFT, AND REPAIR OCCUPATIONS		Construction trades, except supervisors	
Mechanics and repairers		563	Brickmasons and stonemasons (pt 6412, pt 6413)
503	Supervisors, mechanics and repairers (60)	564	Brickmason and stonemason apprentices (pt 6412, pt 6413)
Mechanics and repairers, except supervisors		565	Tile setters, hard and soft (6414, pt 6462)
Vehicle and mobile equipment mechanics and repairers		566	Carpet installers (pt 6462)
X (505)	Automobile mechanics (pt 6111)	Y (567)	Carpenters (pt 6422)
506	Automobile mechanic apprentices (pt 6111)	569	Carpenter apprentices (pt 6422)
507	Bus, truck, and stationary engine mechanics (6112)	573	Drywall installers (6424)
508	Aircraft engine mechanics (6113)	575	Electricians (pt 6432)
509	Small engine repairers (6114)	576	Electrician apprentices (pt 6432)
514	Automobile body and related repairers (6115)	577	Electrical power installers and repairers (6433)
515	Aircraft mechanics, exc. engine (6116)	579	Painters, construction and maintenance (6442)
516	Heavy equipment mechanics (6117)	583	Paperhangers (6443)
517	Farm equipment mechanics (6118)	584	Plasterers (6444)
518	Industrial machinery repairers (613)	585	Plumbers, pipefitters, and steamfitters (pt 645)
519	Machinery maintenance occupations (614)	587	Plumber, pipefitter, and steamfitter apprentices (pt 645)
Electrical and electronic equipment repairers		588	Concrete and terrazzo finishers (6463)
523	Electronic repairers, communications and industrial equipment (6151, 6153, 6155)	589	Glaziers (6464)
525	Data processing equipment repairers (6154)	593	Insulation workers (6465)
526	Household appliance and power tool repairers (6156)	594	Paving, surfacing, and tamping equipment operators (6466)
527	Telephone line installers and repairers (6157)	595	Roofers (6468)
529	Telephone installers and repairers (6158)	596	Sheetmetal duct installers (6472)
533	Miscellaneous electrical and electronic equipment repairers (6152, 6159)	597	Structural metal workers (6473)
534	Heating, air conditioning, and refrigeration mechanics (616)	598	Drillers, earth (6474)

Occupation code	Occupation category	Occupation code	Occupation category
PRECISION PRODUCTION, CRAFT, AND REPAIR OCCUPATIONS—Con.		PRECISION PRODUCTION, CRAFT, AND REPAIR OCCUPATIONS—Con.	
Construction trades—Con.		Precision production occupations—Con.	
Construction trades, except supervisors—Con.		Precision workers, assorted materials—Con.	
809	Construction trades, n.s.c. (6467, 6475, 6476, 6479)	684	Miscellaneous precision workers, n.s.c. (6869)
Extractive occupations		686	Precision food production occupations
613	Supervisors, extractive occupations (632)	687	Butchers and meat cutters (6871)
614	Drillers, oil well (652)	688	Bakers (6872)
615	Explosives workers (653)		Food batchmakers (6873, 6879)
616	Mining machine operators (654)	689	Precision inspectors, testers, and related workers
617	Mining occupations, n.s.c. (655)	693	Inspectors, testers, and graders (6881, 628)
Precision production occupations			Adjusters and calibrators (6882)
633	Supervisors, production occupations (67, 71)	694	Plant and system operators
Precision metal working occupations		695	Water and sewage treatment plant operators (691)
634	Tool and die makers (pt 6811)	696	Power plant operators (pt 693)
635	Tool and die maker apprentices (pt 6811)	699	Stationary engineers (pt 693, 7668)
636	Precision assemblers, metal (6812)		Miscellaneous plant and system operators (692, 694, 695, 696)
637	Machinists (pt 6813)		
639	Machinist apprentices (pt 6813)		
643	Boilermakers (6814)		
644	Precision grinders, fitters, and tool sharpeners (6816)		
645	Patternmakers and model makers, metal (6817)		
646	Lay-out workers (6821)		
647	Precious stones and metals workers (jewelers) (6822, 6866)	703	OPERATORS, FABRICATORS, AND LABORERS
649	Engravers, metal (6823)	704	Machine Operator, Assemblers, and Inspectors
653	Sheet metal workers (pt 6824)	705	Machine operators and tenders, except precision
654	Sheet metal worker apprentices (pt 6824)		Metalworking and plastic working machine operators
655	Miscellaneous precision metal workers (6829)	706	Lathe and turning machine set-up operators (7312)
Precision woodworking occupations			Lathe and turning machine operators (7512)
656	Patternmakers and model makers, wood (6831)	707	Milling and planing machine operators (7313, 7513)
657	Cabinet makers and bench carpenters (6832)	708	Punching and stamping press machine operators (7314, 7317, 7514, 7517)
658	Furniture and wood finishers (6835)		Rolling machine operators (7316, 7516)
659	Miscellaneous precision woodworkers (6839)	709	Drilling and boring machine operators (7318, 7518)
Precision textile, apparel, and furnishings machine workers			Grinding, abrading, buffing, and polishing machine operators (7322, 7324, 7522)
666	Dressmakers (pt 6852, pt 7752)	713	Forging machine operators (7319, 7519)
667	Tailors (pt 6852)	714	Numerical control machine operators (7326)
668	Upholsterers (6853)	715	Miscellaneous metal, plastic, stone, and glass working machine operators (7329, 7529)
669	Shoe repairers (6854)	717	Fabricating machine operators, n.s.c. (7339, 7539)
673	Apparel and fabric patternmakers (6856)	719	Metal and plastic processing machine operators
674	Miscellaneous precision apparel and fabric workers (6859, pt 7752)	723	Molding and casting machine operators (7315, 7342, 7515, 7542)
Precision workers, assorted materials		724	Metal plating machine operators (7343, 7543)
675	Hand molders and shapers, except jewelers (6861)	725	Heat treating equipment operators (7344, 7544)
676	Patternmakers, lay-out workers, and cutters (6862)		Miscellaneous metal and plastic processing machine operators (7349, 7549)
677	Optical goods workers (6864, pt 7477, pt 7677)		
678	Dental laboratory and medical appliance technicians (6865)	726	Woodworking machine operators
679	Bookbinders (6844)	727	Wood lathe, routing, and planing machine operators (7431, 7432, 7631, 7632)
683	Electrical and electronic equipment assemblers (6867)	728	Sewing machine operators (7433, 7633)
			Shaping and joining machine operators (7435, 7635)

Occupation	Occupation category	Occupation code	Occupation category
	OPERATORS, FABRICATORS, AND LABORERS—Con.		OPERATORS, FABRICATORS, AND LABORERS—Con.
	Machine Operators, Assemblers, and Inspectors—Con.		Machine operators, Assemblers, and Inspectors—Con.
	Machine operators and tenders, except precision—Con.		Machine operators and tenders, except precision—Con.
	Woodworking machine operators—Con.		Machine operators, assorted materials—Con.
729	Nailing and tacking machine operators (7636)	777	Miscellaneous machine operators, n.s.c. (pt 7479, 7665, 7679)
733	Miscellaneous woodworking machine operators (7434, 7439, 7634, 7639)	779	Machine operators, not specified
	Printing machine operators		Fabricators, assemblers, and hand working occupations
734	Printing machine operators (7443, 7643)	783	Welders and cutters (7332, 7532, 7714)
735	Photoengravers and lithographers (6842, 7444, 7644)	784	Solderers and brazers (7333, 7533, 7717)
736	Typesetters and compositors (6841, 7642)	785	Assemblers (772, 774)
737	Miscellaneous printing machine operators (6849, 7449, 7649)	786	Hand cutting and trimming occupations (7753)
	Textile, apparel, and furnishings machine operators	787	Hand molding, casting, and forming occupations (7754, 7755)
738	Winding and twisting machine operators (7451, 7651)	789	Hand painting, coating, and decorating occupations (7756)
739	Knitting, looping, taping, and weaving machine operators (7452, 7652)	793	Hand engraving and printing occupations (7757)
743	Textile cutting machine operators (7654)	794	Hand grinding and polishing occupations (7758)
744	Textile sewing machine operators (7655)	795	Miscellaneous hand working occupations (7759)
745	Shoe machine operators (7656)	796	Production inspectors, testers, samplers, and weighers
747	Pressing machine operators (7657)	797	Production inspectors, checkers, and examiners (782, 787)
748	Laundry and dry cleaning machine operators (6855, 7658)	798	Production testers (783)
749	Miscellaneous textile machine operators (7459, 7659)	799	Production samplers and weighers (784)
	Machine operators, assorted materials		Graders and sorters, except agricultural (785)
753	Cementing and gluing machine operators (7661)		
754	Packaging and filling machine operators (7462, 7662)		Transportation and Material Moving Occupations
755	Extruding and forming machine operators (7463, 7663)		Motor vehicle operators
756	Mixing and blending machine operators (7664)	803	Supervisors, motor vehicle operators (8111)
757	Separating, filtering, and clarifying machine operators (7476, 7666, 7676)	Z (804)	Truck drivers, heavy (8212, 8213)
758	Compressing and compacting machine operators (7467, 7667)	805	Truck drivers, light (8214)
759	Painting and paint spraying machine operators (7669)	806	Driver-sales workers (8218)
763	Roasting and baking machine operators, food (7472, 7672)	808	Bus drivers (8215)
764	Washing, cleaning, and pickling machine operators (7673)	809	Taxicab drivers and chauffeurs (8216)
765	Folding machine operators (7474, 7674)	813	Parking lot attendants (874)
766	Furnace, kiln, and oven operators, exc. food (7675)	814	Motor transportation occupations, n.s.c. (8219)
768	Brushing and grinding machine operators (pt 7477, pt 7677)		Transportation occupations, except motor vehicles
769	Slicing and cutting machine operators (7478, 7678)		Rail transportation occupations
773	Motion picture projectionists (pt 7479)	823	Railroad conductors and yardmasters (8113)
774	Photographic process machine operators (6863, 6868, 7671)	824	Locomotive operating occupations (8232)
		825	Railroad brake, signal, and switch operators (8233)
		826	Rail vehicle operators, n.s.c. (8239)
			Water transportation occupations
		828	Ship captains and mates, except fishing boats (pt 8241, 8242)
		829	Sailors and deckhands (8243)
		833	Marine engineers (8244)
		834	Bridge, lock, and lighthouse tenders (8245)
			Material moving equipment operators
		843	Supervisors, material moving equipment operators (812)
		844	Operating engineers (8312)

Occupational Classification System

Occupation code	Occupation category	Occupation code	Occupation category
	OPERATORS, FABRICATORS, AND LABORERS—Con.		OPERATORS, FABRICATORS, AND LABORERS—Con.
	Transportation and Material Moving Occupations—Con.		Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers, and Laborers—Con.
	Material moving equipment operators—Con.	869	Construction laborers (871)
845	Longshore equipment operators (8313)	873	Production helpers (861, 862)
848	Hoist and winch operators (8314)		Freight, stock, and material handlers
849	Crane and tower operators (8315)	875	Garbage collectors (8722)
853	Excavating and loading machine operators (8316)	876	Stevedores (8723)
855	Grader, dozer, and scraper operators (8317)	877	Stock handlers and baggers (8724)
856	Industrial truck and tractor equipment operators (8318)	878	Machine feeders and offbearers (8725)
859	Miscellaneous material moving equipment operators (8319)	883	Freight, stock, and material handlers, n.e.c. (8726)
	Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers, and Laborers	885	Garage and service station related occupations (873)
863	Supervisors; handlers, equipment cleaners, and laborers, n.e.c. (85)	887	Vehicle washers and equipment cleaners (875)
864	Helpers, mechanics and repairers (863)	888	Hand packers and packagers (8761)
	Helpers, construction and extractive occupations	889	Laborers, except construction (8769)
865	Helpers, construction trades (8641-8645, 8648)		
866	Helpers, surveyor (8646)	999	OCCUPATION NOT REPORTED¹
867	Helpers, extractive occupations (865)		

¹ Code used when not-reported cases are not allocated.

Industrial Classification System

Equivalent numeric codes follow the alphabetic codes. Either code may be used, depending on the processing method. Numbers in parentheses following the industry categories are the SIC definitions. The abbreviation "pt" means "part" and "n.s.c." means "not elsewhere classified."

Industry code	Industry category	Industry code	Industry category
AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY, AND FISHERIES		MANUFACTURING—Con.	
A (010)	Agricultural production, crops (01)	Nondurable Goods—Con.	
011	Agricultural production, livestock (02)	Paper and allied products	
020	Agricultural services, except horticultural (07, except 078)	180	Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills (261-263, 266)
021	Horticultural services (078)	181	Miscellaneous paper and pulp products (264)
030	Forestry (08)	182	Paperboard containers and boxes (265)
031	Fishing, hunting, and trapping (09)	Printing, publishing, and allied industries	
MINING		C (171)	Newspaper publishing and printing (271)
040	Metal mining (10)	172	Printing, publishing, and allied industries, except newspapers (272-279)
041	Coal mining (11, 12)	Chemicals and allied products	
042	Crude petroleum and natural gas extraction (13)	180	Plastics, synthetics, and resins (282)
080	Nonmetallic mining and quarrying, except fuel (14)	181	Drugs (283)
B (080) CONSTRUCTION (15, 16, 17)		182	Soaps and cosmetics (284)
MANUFACTURING		190	Paints, varnishes, and related products (285)
Nondurable Goods		191	Agricultural chemicals (287)
Food and kindred products		192	Industrial and miscellaneous chemicals (281, 286, 289)
100	Meat products (201)	200	Petroleum and coal products
101	Dairy products (202)	201	Petroleum refining (291)
102	Canned and preserved fruits and vegetables (203)	201	Miscellaneous petroleum and coal products (295, 299)
110	Grain mill products (204)	210	Rubber and miscellaneous plastics products
111	Bakery products (205)	211	Tires and inner tubes (301)
112	Sugar and confectionery products (206)	211	Other rubber products, and plastics footwear and belting (302-304, 306)
120	Beverage industries (208)	212	Miscellaneous plastics products (307)
121	Miscellaneous food preparations and kindred products (207, 209)	Leather and leather products	
122	Not specified food industries	220	Leather tanning and finishing (311)
130	Tobacco manufactures (21)	221	Footwear, except rubber and plastic (313, 314)
Textile mill products		222	Leather products, except footwear (315-317, 319)
132	Knitting mills (225)	Durable Goods	
140	Dyeing and finishing textiles, except wool and knit goods (226)	Lumber and wood products, except furniture	
141	Floor coverings, except hard surface (227)	230	Logging (241)
142	Yarn, thread, and fabric mills (228, 221-224)	231	Sawmills, planing mills, and millwork (242, 243)
150	Miscellaneous textile mill products (229)	232	Wood buildings and mobile homes (245)
Apparel and other finished textile products		241	Miscellaneous wood products (244, 249)
151	Apparel and accessories, except knit (231-238)	242	Furniture and fixtures (25)
152	Miscellaneous fabricated textile products (239)	250	Stone, clay, glass, and concrete products
		251	Glass and glass products (321-323)
		252	Cement, concrete, gypsum, and plaster products (324, 327)
		252	Structural clay products (325)
		251	Pottery and related products (326)
		252	Miscellaneous nonmetallic mineral and stone products (328, 329)

Industry code	Industry category	Industry code	Industry category
MANUFACTURING—Con.		TRANSPORTATION, COMMUNICATIONS, AND OTHER PUBLIC UTILITIES	
Durable Goods—Con.			
Metal Industries		Transportation	
270	Blast furnaces, steelworks, rolling and finishing mills (331)	400	Railroads (40)
271	Iron and steel foundries (332)	401	Bus service and urban transit (41, except 412)
272	Primary aluminum industries (3334, pt 334, 3353-3355, 3361)	402	Taxicab service (412)
280	Other primary metal industries (3331-3333, 3339, pt 334, 3351, 3356, 3357, 3362, 3369, 339)	410	Trucking service (421, 423)
281	Cutlery, hand tools, and other hardware (342)	411	Warehousing and storage (422)
282	Fabricated structural metal products (344)	412	U.S. Postal Service (43)
290	Screw machine products (345)	420	Water transportation (44)
291	Metal forgings and stampings (346)	421	Air transportation (45)
292	Ordnance (348)	422	Pipe lines, except natural gas (46)
300	Miscellaneous fabricated metal products (341, 343, 347, 349)	432	Services incidental to transportation (47)
301	Not specified metal industries	Communications	
Machinery, except electrical		440	Radio and television broadcasting (483)
310	Engines and turbines (351)	441	Telephone (wire and radio) (481)
311	Farm machinery and equipment (352)	442	Telegraph and miscellaneous communication services (482, 489)
312	Construction and material handling machines (353)	Utilities and sanitary services	
320	Metalworking machinery (354)	460	Electric light and power (491)
321	Office and accounting machines (357, except 3573)	461	Gas and steam supply systems (492, 496)
322	Electronic computing equipment (3573)	462	Electric and gas, and other combinations (493)
331	Machinery, except electrical, n.s.c. (355, 356, 358, 359)	470	Water supply and irrigation (494, 497)
332	Not specified machinery	471	Sanitary services (495)
Electrical machinery, equipment, and supplies		472	Not specified utilities
340	Household appliances (363)	WHOLESALE TRADE	
341	Radio, TV, and communication equipment (365, 366)	Durable Goods	
342	Electrical machinery, equipment, and supplies, n.s.c. (361, 362, 364, 367, 369)	500	Motor vehicles and equipment (501)
350	Not specified electrical machinery, equipment, and supplies	501	Furniture and home furnishings (502)
Transportation equipment		502	Lumber and construction materials (503)
351	Motor vehicles and motor vehicle equipment (371)	510	Sporting goods, toys, and hobby goods (504)
352	Aircraft and parts (372)	511	Metals and minerals, except petroleum (505)
360	Ship and boat building and repairing (373)	512	Electrical goods (506)
361	Railroad locomotives and equipment (374)	521	Hardware, plumbing and heating supplies (507)
362	Guided missiles, space vehicles, and parts (376)	522	Not specified electrical and hardware products
370	Cycles and miscellaneous transportation equipment (375, 379)	530	Machinery, equipment, and supplies (508)
Professional and photographic equipment, and watches		531	Scrap and waste materials (5093)
371	Scientific and controlling instruments (381, 382)	532	Miscellaneous wholesale, durable goods (5094, 5099)
372	Optical and health services supplies (383, 384, 385)	Nondurable Goods	
380	Photographic equipment and supplies (386)	540	Paper and paper products (511)
381	Watches, clocks, and clockwork operated devices (387)	541	Drugs, chemicals, and allied products (512, 516)
382	Not specified professional equipment	542	Apparel, fabrics, and notions (513)
390	Toys, amusement, and sporting goods (394)	550	Groceries and related products (514)
391	Miscellaneous manufacturing industries (39 exc. 394)	551	Farm products—raw materials (515)
392	Not specified manufacturing industries	552	Petroleum products (517)
		560	Alcoholic beverages (518)
		561	Farm supplies (5191)
		562	Miscellaneous wholesale, nondurable goods (5194, 5198, 5199)
		571	Not specified wholesale trade

Industry code	Industry category	Industry code	Industry category
RETAIL TRADE		BUSINESS AND REPAIR SERVICES—Con.	
580	Lumber and building material retailing (521, 523)	730	Commercial research, development, and testing labs (7391, 7397)
581	Hardware stores (525)	731	Personnel supply services (738)
582	Retail nurseries and garden stores (526)	732	Business management and consulting services (7392)
590	Mobile home dealers (527)	740	Computer and data processing services (737)
D (591)	Department stores (531)	741	Detective and protective services (7393)
592	Variety stores (533)	742	Business services, n.s.c. (732, 733, 735, 7394, 7395, 7396, 7399)
600	Miscellaneous general merchandise stores (539)	750	Automotive services, except repair (751, 752, 754)
E (601)	Grocery stores (541)	751	Automotive repair shops (753)
602	Dairy products stores (545)	752	Electrical repair shops (752, 7594)
610	Retail bakeries (546)	760	Miscellaneous repair services (763, 764, 7692, 7699)
611	Food stores, n.s.c. (542, 543, 544, 549)		
612	Motor vehicle dealers (551, 552)	PERSONAL SERVICES	
620	Auto and home supply stores (553)	J (761)	Private households (68)
621	Gasoline service stations (554)	762	Hotels and motels (701)
622	Miscellaneous vehicle dealers (555, 556, 557, 559)	770	Lodging places, except hotels and motels (702, 703, 704)
630	Apparel and accessory stores, except shoe (56, except 566)	771	Laundry, cleaning, and garment services (721)
631	Shoe stores (566)	772	Beauty shops (723)
632	Furniture and home furnishings stores (571)	780	Barber shops (724)
640	Household appliances, TV, and radio stores (572, 573)	781	Funeral service and crematories (726)
F (641)	Eating and drinking places (58)	782	Shoe repair shops (725)
642	Drug stores (591)	790	Dressmaking shops (pt 729)
650	Liquor stores (592)	791	Miscellaneous personal services (722, pt 729)
651	Sporting goods, bicycles, and hobby stores (5941, 5945, 5946)	ENTERTAINMENT AND RECREATION SERVICES	
652	Book and stationery stores (5942, 5943)	800	Theaters and motion pictures (78, 792)
660	Jewelry stores (5944)	801	Bowling alleys, billiard and pool parlors (793)
661	Sewing, needlework, and piece goods stores (5949)	802	Miscellaneous entertainment and recreation services (791, 794, 799)
662	Mail order houses (5961)		
670	Vending machine operators (5962)	PROFESSIONAL AND RELATED SERVICES	
671	Direct selling establishments (5963)	812	Offices of physicians (801, 803)
672	Fuel and ice dealers (598)	820	Offices of dentists (802)
681	Retail florists (5992)	821	Offices of chiropractors (8041)
682	Miscellaneous retail stores (593, 5947, 5948, 5993, 5994, 5999)	822	Offices of optometrists (8042)
691	Not specified retail trade	830	Offices of health practitioners, n.s.c. (8049)
FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL ESTATE		K (831)	Hospitals (806)
700 (700)	Banking (60)	832	Nursing and personal care facilities (805)
701	Savings and loan associations (612)	840	Health services, n.s.c. (807, 808, 809)
702	Credit agencies, n.s.c. (61, except 612)	841	Legal services (81)
710	Security, commodity brokerage, and investment companies (62, 67)	L (842)	Elementary and secondary schools (821)
71 (711)	Insurance (63, 64)	M (850)	Colleges and universities (822)
712	Real estate, including real estate-insurance-law offices (65, 66)	851	Business, trade, and vocational schools (824)
BUSINESS AND REPAIR SERVICES		852	Libraries (823)
721	Advertising (731)	860	Educational services, n.s.c. (829)
722	Services to dwellings and other buildings (734)	861	Job training and vocational rehabilitation services (833)
		862	Child day care services (835)

Industrial Classification System

Indus- try code	Industry category	Indus- try code	Industry category
	PROFESSIONAL AND RELATED SERVICES—Con.		PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION—Con.
870	Residential care facilities, without nursing (836)	910	Justice, public order, and safety (92)
871	Social services, n.s.c. (832, 839)	921	Public finance, taxation, and monetary policy (93)
872	Museums, art galleries, and zoos (84)	922	Administration of human resources programs (94)
880	Religious organizations (865)	930	Administration of environmental quality and housing programs (95)
881	Membership organizations (861-865, 869)	931	Administration of economic programs (96)
882	Engineering, architectural, and surveying services (891)	932	National security and international affairs (97)
890	Accounting, auditing, and bookkeeping services (893)		
891	Noncommercial educational and scientific research (892)		
892	Miscellaneous professional and related services (899)		
	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION	990	INDUSTRY NOT REPORTED¹
900	Executive and legislative offices (911-913)		
901	General government, n.s.c. (919)		

¹ Code used when not-reported cases are not allocated.